Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.ham-radio

2003

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2003-01-02 through 2003-12-31

Source text: rec.ham-radio.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 161

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. need to borrow, buy or rent shortwave radios for performance- Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-01-02 02:22:36 UTC
From: Brad Bordine <bradandmarina@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BA38E26D.221%bradandmarina@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio

Happy new year everyone-
my apologies for the cross-posting, please reply via email if you can help...
I am looking for advice and/or benevolent acts to aid a performance of Cage's Radio Music in Los Angeles on January 17. Our efforts at interpreting the score place the frequency range between 1900khz-5400khz (the tuning numbers in the score range from 55 to 156 -- we assume these are wavelengths, as the score is from 1956 -- please advise if we are wrong) and we need up to 8 radios for the performance. Thanks to any who can help.
We will be performing this at ASTO Gallery in Los Angeles on January 17 at 8 p.m. Other pieces on the program are Steve Reich's Pendulum Music and an improvisation with cello and laptop. On January 30, we will perform Cage's Solo for Cello and a cello/laptop improvisation. ASTO is in the Artist's District between Cafe Metropol and R23. More information can be found on their web site, www.artst.org <http://www.artst.org> .

2. Re: need to borrow, buy or rent shortwave radios for performance- Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-01-02 07:03:10 UTC
From: Charles Gillen <see-my-sig@below.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92F714F435FEFgillen@216.194.225.92>
Source record: 309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio

Brad Bordine <bradandmarina@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

> I am looking for advice and/or benevolent acts to aid a performance of
> Cage's Radio Music in Los Angeles on January 17. Our efforts at
> interpreting the score place the frequency range between
> 1900khz-5400khz (the tuning numbers in the score range from 55 to 156
> -- we assume these are wavelengths, as the score is from 1956 -- please
> advise if we are wrong)

OK, you are wrong :^)

Most likely, the tuning numbers in the score refer to the regular AM
broadcast band, i.e. 550 to 1560 in 1956-era kilocycles. Perhaps Cage's
radio lacked that last zero :^)

Actually, such crackpot "performance art" is one of the dumbest ideas I've
ever heard. A waste of good radios.

--
Anti-Spam address: my last name at his dot com
Charles Gillen -- Reston, Virginia, USA

3. Online HAM Radio Practice Exams

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-01-07 23:55:06 UTC
From: N4RPD <n4rpd@cox.net>
Message-ID: <uNJS9.6472$t06.115111@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 310
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.fm,alt.ham-radio.ssb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Are you ready to finally get that HAM Radio license that you always wanted?
Maybe you need to upgrade that license?

Take a FREE Online HAM Radio practice exam and see if your ready. You can
take the exam as often as you like and best of all, IT'S FREE.

www.qsl.net/n4rpd N4RPD's HAM Radio Website has a practice exam for all the
current license classes. A new exam is generated every time you visit too.

Good luck and 73,
Rob N4RPD

4. PSK31 Realtime monitor in DL via Internet!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-01-13 11:08:52 UTC
From: Andre Vaupel <dl4qb@darc.de>
Message-ID: <87xU9.376222$vi4.48459@post-02.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 311
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.digital,japan.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio

Hi!

There is a new realtime psk31 monitor available at
http://www.hamradio-portal.com/psk !

Watch propagation or your own signal on 20m at 14.070 Mhz !

Please use on the JAVA Applet below the RED color checkbox to get a zoom to

14.070 and don't mind about the right scale ! If you use the red checkbox
you're defently

on 14.070 Mhz.

This monitoring is available 24h every day...ocator is JO31SQ / Germany

Have fun !

Andre

http://www.hamradio-portal.com

5. Re: PSK31 Realtime monitor in DL via Internet!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-01-25 21:21:31 UTC
From: zoran <zkorolje@inet.hr>
Message-ID: <b0uv45imm1@bagan.srce.hr>
Source record: 312
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.digital,japan.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio

IC 706MKIIG - Powi�kszenie

cz�c tylna urz�dzenia

```
ICOM - 706 MKIIG
```

Cz�stotliwo��:
RX: 0.030 - 199.999MHz, 400 - 470MHz
TX:
1.8 - 1.999 MHz*
3.5 - 3.999 MHz*
7.0 - 7.300MHz
10.1 - 10.150MHz
14.0 - 14.350MHz
18.068 - 18.168MHz
21.0 - 21.450MHz
24.89 - 24.990MHz
28.0 - 29.700MHz
50.0 - 54.000MHz
144.0 - 148.000MHz
430.0 - 450.000MHz
Emisje: USB, LSB, CW, RTTY (FSK), AM, FM, WFM (RX tylko)
Ilo�� kom�rek pami�ci:107
Impedancja anteny:50Ohm
Napi�cie: 13.8V ?15%
Stabilno�� cz�stotliwo�ci: ?7ppm dla 1 min. do 60 min.
Pob�r pr�du: TX max.20A; RX:1.8A, max. audio 2.0A
Temperatura otoczenia: -10�C do 60�C
Moc wyj�ciowa: 100W/KF, 50W/2m, 20W/70cm.
Ci�ar: 2.45kg
Wymiary: 16.7 x 5.8 x 20cm

Czu�o�� odbiornika (przedwzmacniacz w��czony,
z wyj�tkiem zakres�w 4 - 4.5MHz i 8 - 9MHz)

```
SSB/CW
10 dB S/N AM
10 dB S/N FM
12 dB SINAD WFM
12 dB SINAD
0.5 - 1.8 MHz - 13 ?V - -
1.8 - 28 MHz 0.15 ?V 2.0 ?V - -
28 - 29.7 MHz 0.15 ?V 2.0 ?V 0.5 ?V -
50 MHz band 0.12 ?V 1.0 ?V 0.25 ?V -
```

```
76 - 108 MHz - - - 10.0 ?V
144/440 MHz band 0.11 ?V 1.0 ?V 0.18 ?V -
```

(Squelch) Czu�o��:

```
SSB Less than 5.6 ?V
FM Less than 0.3 ?V
```

Selektywno��:

```
SSB, CW, RTTY More than 2.4 kHz/- 6 dB
Less than 4.8 kHz/ -60 dB
AM/FM-N More than 8.0 kHz/-6 dB
Less than 30 kHz/-36 dB
FM More than 12 kHz/-6 dB
Less than 30 kHz/-60 dB
```

"Andre Vaupel" <dl4qb@darc.de> wrote in message
news:87xU9.376222$vi4.48459@post-02.news.easynews.com...
> Hi!
>
> There is a new realtime psk31 monitor available at
> http://www.hamradio-portal.com/psk !
>
> Watch propagation or your own signal on 20m at 14.070 Mhz !
>
> Please use on the JAVA Applet below the RED color checkbox to get a zoom
to
>
> 14.070 and don�t mind about the right scale ! If you use the red checkbox
> you�re defently
>
> on 14.070 Mhz.
>
> This monitoring is available 24h every day...ocator is JO31SQ / Germany
>
> Have fun !
>
> Andre
>
> http://www.hamradio-portal.com
>
>
>
>

6. Wanted: Manual for Wavetek 2407

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-03-08 21:08:22 UTC
From: Rick Miller <k1rdm@n0spam.bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <aZsaa.12381$S_4.10052@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 313
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Looking for manual for Wavetek 2407 Signal Generator.

Can anyone help?

Thanks,

Rick
K1RDM

reply to k1rdm at bigfoot dot com

7. Re: Wanted: Manual for Wavetek 2407

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-03-11 00:39:11 UTC
From: Dean Arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Message-ID: <3E6D3036.97BFECE1@silverstar.com>
Source record: 314
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Have you checked with ManualsRUs.com? Or Test Labs Company
[http://www.TLC.com]

8. WTB: KDK FM-2033 Operating Manual

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-03-12 03:13:57 UTC
From: watsu <watsu@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <VBxba.216705$UXa.21679@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 315
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am looking for the operating manual for an old radio, a KDK FM-2033.

On the other hand, I would appreciate it if someone could tell me how to operate it if he/she knows how and can relate to me by e-mail.

Thanks.

73.....VA3TSU

Reply to: watsu@rogers.com

9. Re: WTB: KDK FM-2033 Operating Manual

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-03-12 15:03:04 UTC
From: "Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns933C47BA66A0Epookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 316
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"watsu" <watsu@rogers.com> wrote in
news:VBxba.216705$UXa.21679@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com:

> I am looking for the operating manual for an old radio, a KDK FM-2033.
>
> On the other hand, I would appreciate it if someone could tell me how
> to operate it if he/she knows how and can relate to me by e-mail.
>
> Thanks.
>

I had one of those. Piece of junk, especially where noise rejection
matters. Get something newer.

--
Bill, W7TI

10. Re: WTB: KDK FM-2033 Operating Manual

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-04-03 21:12:41 UTC
From: sadie1977@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <18a88f8d.0304031312.7b96b470@posting.google.com>
Source record: 317
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Tried posting my own thread and it would not let me..Im looking to
find out what kind of radio I should buy my husband as a present...He
doesnt have a clue that Im doing this but If I ask him he will.Thanks
for your help in advance

"Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now> wrote in message
news:<Xns933C47BA66A0Epookster@216.168.3.50>...
> "watsu" <watsu@rogers.com> wrote in
> news:VBxba.216705$UXa.21679@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com:
>
> > I am looking for the operating manual for an old radio, a KDK FM-2033.
> >
> > On the other hand, I would appreciate it if someone could tell me how
> > to operate it if he/she knows how and can relate to me by e-mail.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
>
> --
>
> I had one of those. Piece of junk, especially where noise rejection
> matters. Get something newer.

11. Re: WTB: KDK FM-2033 Operating Manual

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-04-04 15:00:05 UTC
From: "Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns9353473A9F156pookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 318
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

sadie1977@yahoo.com (Sara) wrote in news:18a88f8d.0304031312.7b96b470
@posting.google.com:

> Im looking to
> find out what kind of radio I should buy my husband as a present...He
> doesnt have a clue that Im doing this but If I ask him he will

---

Would you like some advice? Ask him. Hams are *very* particular about
their radios. Save the element of surprise for something less important.

--
Bill, W7TI

12. Need some help!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-04-12 00:01:14 UTC
From: eCaVeMaN <me@home.com>
Message-ID: <eHIla.44467$Xd1.14059444@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 319
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello All!

I have a problem I'm hoping someone can help me with.

I have a Kenwood TS-140S that seems to have a transmit problem. I think its the Microphone but I'm not sure. My funds are VERY limited at the moment so I don't want to buy a mike unless I'm sure thats the problem. I try to transmit and see no output on the meter. When I tap on the mike I see the meter deflect, or if i tap the mike the meter deflects with the taps. I checked all the connections and they are fine (Inside the mike also). If I whistle I also see the meter deflect for a second. The radio was fine and then this started the other day. I tried the mod for intemittent TX power that i found during a search but that didnt help (and the problem isnt intermittent).

Can someone steer a financially strapped Ham with some suggestions.

Thanks in Advance!

The eCaveMan

13. Re: Need some help!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-04-12 01:34:02 UTC
From: MH <hald@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9er8aj0ocli74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 320
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I'm not sure I understand your symptoms/observations correctly, however, are you sure it's not working correctly? What you describe regarding whistling or tapping the mic causing meter deflection sounds fairly normal for SSB operation - i.e., no output until audio is provided from the mic.

regards,

mark

ab1x

"eCaVeMaN" <me@home.com> wrote in message news:eHIla.44467$Xd1.14059444@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Hello All!
>
> I have a problem I'm hoping someone can help me with.
>
> I have a Kenwood TS-140S that seems to have a transmit problem. I think its
> the Microphone but I'm not sure. My funds are VERY limited at the moment so
> I don't want to buy a mike unless I'm sure thats the problem. I try to
> transmit and see no output on the meter. When I tap on the mike I see the
> meter deflect, or if i tap the mike the meter deflects with the taps. I
> checked all the connections and they are fine (Inside the mike also). If I
> whistle I also see the meter deflect for a second. The radio was fine and
> then this started the other day. I tried the mod for intemittent TX power
> that i found during a search but that didnt help (and the problem isnt
> intermittent).
>
> Can someone steer a financially strapped Ham with some suggestions.
>
> Thanks in Advance!
>
> The eCaveMan
>
>

14. Re: Need some help!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-04-12 03:30:15 UTC
From: Steve KA4TMB <sheyde@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WomcnW7y8KTYFQqjXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 321
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I agree with Mark, but want to take it one step further. If the problem is NOT in the SSB mode, try
CW mode with the key down momentarily. Don't forget to increase the RF gain (more power) to see if
the rig will give you a solid output level.

Steve KA4TMB

"MH" <hald@charter.net> wrote in message news:v9er8aj0ocli74@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm not sure I understand your symptoms/observations correctly, however, are
> you sure it's not working correctly? What you describe regarding whistling
> or tapping the mic causing meter deflection sounds fairly normal for SSB
> operation - i.e., no output until audio is provided from the mic.
>
> regards,
>
> mark
>
> ab1x
>
>
> "eCaVeMaN" <me@home.com> wrote in message
> news:eHIla.44467$Xd1.14059444@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > Hello All!
> >
> > I have a problem I'm hoping someone can help me with.
> >
> > I have a Kenwood TS-140S that seems to have a transmit problem. I think
> its
> > the Microphone but I'm not sure. My funds are VERY limited at the moment
> so
> > I don't want to buy a mike unless I'm sure thats the problem. I try to
> > transmit and see no output on the meter. When I tap on the mike I see the
> > meter deflect, or if i tap the mike the meter deflects with the taps. I
> > checked all the connections and they are fine (Inside the mike also). If I
> > whistle I also see the meter deflect for a second. The radio was fine and
> > then this started the other day. I tried the mod for intemittent TX power
> > that i found during a search but that didnt help (and the problem isnt
> > intermittent).
> >
> > Can someone steer a financially strapped Ham with some suggestions.
> >
> > Thanks in Advance!

> >
> > The eCaveMan
> >
> >
>
>

15. Re: Need some help!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-04-12 10:34:32 UTC
From: eCaVeMaN <me@home.com>
Message-ID: <YYRIa.47596$Xd1.15610708@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 322
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sorry about the desription of symptoms....
If I try to speak there is no meter deflection , only if i tap on the
microphone or whistle will the meter deflect....NOTHING if I speak ! and
there seems to be no transmit unless i tap on the microphone and then it
only deflects for the duration of the tap. I have looked in the mike and all
connections seem OK.

Any ideas?

"eCaVeMaN" <me@home.com> wrote in message
news:eHIla.44467$Xd1.14059444@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Hello All!
>
> I have a problem I'm hoping someone can help me with.
>
> I have a Kenwood TS-140S that seems to have a transmit problem. I think
its
> the Microphone but I'm not sure. My funds are VERY limited at the moment
so
> I don't want to buy a mike unless I'm sure thats the problem. I try to
> transmit and see no output on the meter. When I tap on the mike I see the
> meter deflect, or if i tap the mike the meter deflects with the taps. I
> checked all the connections and they are fine (Inside the mike also). If I
> whistle I also see the meter deflect for a second. The radio was fine and
> then this started the other day. I tried the mod for intemittent TX power
> that i found during a search but that didnt help (and the problem isnt
> intermittent).
>
> Can someone steer a financially strapped Ham with some suggestions.
>
> Thanks in Advance!
>
> The eCaveMan
>
>

16. Re: Need some help!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-04-12 11:56:05 UTC
From: Peter <pse@stop.com>
Message-ID: <3E97FED4.C94970BA@stop.com>
Source record: 323
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

And how is the mic pot meter. Turn it full with the clock. And is the processor turn on?

peter

> If I try to speak there is no meter deflection , only if i tap on the
> microphone or whistle will the meter deflect....NOTHING if I speak ! and
> there seems to be no transmit unless i tap on the microphone and then it
> only deflects for the duration of the tap. I have looked in the mike and all
> connections seem OK.

--
Internet DX-cluster telnet://dxc.pi4cc.net
DX-cluster info http://www.pi4cc.nl/dxcluster

17. Re: Need some help!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-04-12 12:06:48 UTC
From: Matt <piss.off@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <t8Tla.12697$1s1.207911@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 324
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Have you only tried SSB - or have you also tried AM/CW or FM? If the radio still doesn't transmit with any of these, then it may well be more than a bad mic. If there is a carrier, try monitoring your signal and see if there is any audio - if none, then you have a pretty good idea that the problem is on the audio line, and from your description, it could well be in the microphone - having said that, there could well be other probems internal to the radio.

Matt

"eCaVeMaN" <me@home.com> wrote in message
news:YYRla.47596$Xd1.15610708@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Sorry about the desription of symptoms....
> If I try to speak there is no meter deflection , only if i tap on the
> microphone or whistle will the meter deflect....NOTHING if I speak ! and
> there seems to be no transmit unless i tap on the microphone and then it
> only deflects for the duration of the tap. I have looked in the mike and
all
> connections seem OK.
>
> Any ideas?
>
>
> "eCaVeMaN" <me@home.com> wrote in message
> news:eHIla.44467$Xd1.14059444@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > Hello All!
> >
> > I have a problem I'm hoping someone can help me with.
> >
> > I have a Kenwood TS-140S that seems to have a transmit problem. I think
> its
> > the Microphone but I'm not sure. My funds are VERY limited at the moment
> so
> > I don't want to buy a mike unless I'm sure thats the problem. I try to
> > transmit and see no output on the meter. When I tap on the mike I see
the
> > meter deflect, or if i tap the mike the meter deflects with the taps. I
> > checked all the connections and they are fine (Inside the mike also). If
I
> > whistle I also see the meter deflect for a second. The radio was fine
and
> > then this started the other day. I tried the mod for intemittent TX
power

> > that i found during a search but that didnt help (and the problem isnt
> > intermittent).
> >
> > Can someone steer a financially strapped Ham with some suggestions.
> >
> > Thanks in Advance!
> >
> > The eCaveMan
> >
> >
>
>

18. Tranciever comparison chart

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-05-11 00:56:36 UTC
From: ve3sjv@rac.ca
Message-ID: <d03iZwcXbXOo-pn2-T9OHOXEmTmdP@localhost>
Source record: 325
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

-- I vaguely seem to remember seeing a Tranciever comparison chart in one of the magazines. Can someone tell me which mag/issue? Or is there a web chart somewher? It's time to look for a new toy for the shack. The ancient and venerable TS440 works great but for how long is another matters. (2nd hand to me in 1990).

Thanks

John
ve3sjv@rac.ca

19. Re: Tranciever comparison chart

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-05-11 10:09:09 UTC
From: Paolo Odone <paolo@odone.it>
Message-ID: <hjpva.47265$DN.1158493@tornado.fastwebnet.it>
Source record: 326
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio

Try
http://www.elecraft.com/index.htm

Paul

"John Lindsay" <ve3sjv@rac.ca> ha scritto nel messaggio
news:d03iZwcXbXOo-pn2-T9OHOXEmTmdP@localhost...
>
> -- I vaguely seem to remember seeing a Tranciever comparison chart in
> one of the magazines. Can someone tell me which mag/issue? Or is there
> a web chart somewher? It's time to look for a new toy for the shack.
> The ancient and venerable TS440 works great but for how long is
> another matters. (2nd hand to me in 1990).
>
> Thanks
>
> John
> ve3sjv@rac.ca
>

20. check out our homepage, Owen Sound, Ontario, CANADA

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-05-20 00:03:26 UTC
From: gstamand <gstd223aman@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <iheya.244827$kYH.21174@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 327
Cross-posted to: japan.ham-radio,japan.ham-radio.dxcc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swapmeet.hamcomm.tailgate.parties,relcom.radio.ham,sfnet.harrastus.radio.ham

http://greynet.net/~gbarc/

21. Re: Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-05-28 02:35:16 UTC
From: "\"Sparky\"" <sparks@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <t8icnU10K6VSrEmjXTWcpA@vnet-inc.com>
Source record: 331
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

How are you powering your radio? If from an AC power supply, you may
have a failing power supply. Your display's brightness shouldn't
change at all regardless of your transmit power setting.

73, Sam

<olllllllo@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:XkVAa.106$aa7.73@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com...
| Hello--
|
| I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
| when transmitting on high power, the display
| will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
| output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
| to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.
|
| I believe that the radio is trying to protect itself
| from damage.
|
| On low power, all seems well, except that the
| display dims more than I seem to remember it
| dimming during transmit.
|
| Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
| send it for analysis and/or repair? It is approx 10
| years old, so I have to consider the cost of a new
| radio over the cost of repairing this one.
|
| Thanks for any helpful input!
|
| 73
|
|

22. Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-05-28 02:40:55 UTC
From: olllllllo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <XkVAa.106$aa7.73@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 328
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hello--

I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
when transmitting on high power, the display
will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.

I believe that the radio is trying to protect itself
from damage.

On low power, all seems well, except that the
display dims more than I seem to remember it
dimming during transmit.

Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
send it for analysis and/or repair? It is approx 10
years old, so I have to consider the cost of a new
radio over the cost of repairing this one.

Thanks for any helpful input!

73

23. Re: Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-05-28 02:59:27 UTC
From: Clif Holland <radio.service@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <jCVAa.17469$8i4.8951@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 329
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

You don't have enough current going to the radio. Too small of wire or
plugged into the cigarette lighter jack...

--
Clif Holland, KA5IPF
AVVid
Authorized Kenwood and Icom Amateur Service
www.avvid.com
1-800-214-5779

<olllllllo@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:XkVAa.106$aa7.73@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com...
> Hello--
>
> I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
> when transmitting on high power, the display
> will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
> output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
> to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.
>
> I believe that the radio is trying to protect itself
> from damage.
>
> On low power, all seems well, except that the
> display dims more than I seem to remember it
> dimming during transmit.
>
> Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
> send it for analysis and/or repair? It is approx 10
> years old, so I have to consider the cost of a new
> radio over the cost of repairing this one.
>
> Thanks for any helpful input!
>
> 73
>
>

24. Re: Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-05-28 03:25:56 UTC
From: olllllllo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <8%VAa.128$Gh5.75@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 330
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Clif--

You are 100% correct.

I took your advice, and looked more closely at the cabling,
and sure enough, I used a power pigtail that appeared to be
the same guage as my other red/black power cables, except
it wasn't...the insulation was just thicker.

Thanks for the heads-up.

This should be enough motivation for me to solder on all of
the Anderson PowerPole connectors I received, and do it
to the kit's heavy guage wire.

Thanks, and 73.

"Clif Holland" <radio.service@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:jCVAa.17469$8i4.8951@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> You don't have enough current going to the radio. Too small of wire or
> plugged into the cigarette lighter jack...
>
> --
> Clif Holland, KA5IPF
> AVVid
> Authorized Kenwood and Icom Amateur Service
> www.avvid.com
> 1-800-214-5779
>
>
> <olllllllo@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:XkVAa.106$aa7.73@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com...
> > Hello--
> >
> > I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
> > when transmitting on high power, the display
> > will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
> > output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
> > to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.
> >
> > I believe that the radio is trying to protect itself
> > from damage.
> >
> > On low power, all seems well, except that the
> > display dims more than I seem to remember it

> > dimming during transmit.
> >
> > Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
> > send it for analysis and/or repair? It is approx 10
> > years old, so I have to consider the cost of a new
> > radio over the cost of repairing this one.
> >
> > Thanks for any helpful input!
> >
> > 73
> >
> >
>
>

25. Re: Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-05-28 04:55:23 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <%iXAa.115724$823.17923@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 332
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

> I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
> when transmitting on high power, the display
> will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
> output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
> to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.
>
> Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
> send it for analysis and/or repair?

I realize you found the problem, but the answer to your question is:

http://www.therfshop.com/

I also have a DR-570, and Randy fixed my dead display.

Randy does FAST quality work. He had my radio back before
I even missed it.

26. FA many things

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-06-04 04:49:05 UTC
From: Carl Walthall <motorola1@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <5TeDa.35274$DV.61419@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 333
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Motorola Rib and computer

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2535529517&category=25300&rd=1

Kepco Power Supply

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2535531277&category=11772&rd=1

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2535531312&category=11772&rd=1

Motorola HC12 Eval board

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3028049714&category=4671&rd=1

Motorola Mcore Eval board

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3028050038&category=4671&rd=1

Tek Scope

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3028050954&category=4671&rd=1

Bird Watt meter

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3028051425&category=4671&rd=1

RG-142U coax

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewIem&item=3028052546&category=4672&rd=1

Heliax Superflexible coax

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3028052771&category=4672&rd=1

Motorola SM120

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2535790639&category=4669&rd=1

Motorola Radius P110's and SM50

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2535796779&category=4669&rd=1

27. beware of scammer SIMON S CHANG in vancouver canada

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-06-19 02:49:18 UTC
From: JR <rss8000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Lv9Ia.34910$b67.4315366@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio

beware of Simon S chang from vancouver (604) 889-2287 he is a scam
artist.
and will have you mail him payment with leaving the name blank on the check.

he uses aliases like john law. he is on ebay as brabus 430

28. beware of simon c cheng of vancouver canada

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-06-19 03:20:39 UTC
From: JR <rss8000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Y1ala.14687$h7.1657764@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 335
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

this guy is a scam artist , do not buy any amateur radio
equipment from him

his ebay id is brabus 430

his phone number is 604-889-2287

29. check out our web page

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-11 00:49:53 UTC
From: tre <ve3tsa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RQnPa.117847$2ay.48394@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 336
Cross-posted to: japan.ham-radio.dxcc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swapmeet.hamcomm.tailgate.parties,relcom.radio.ham,sfnet.harrastus.radio.ham

http://greynet.net/~gbarc/

30. ATN Log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-12 21:13:17 UTC
From: TS <tstone12002@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <NR_Pa.2174$wU5.390@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 337
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.digital,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

Hi all

Was wondering if there is anyone out there who can help? I have just purchased a 7 element ATN 18-30-7 log periodic that covers 18-30Mhz. I believe there is another ATN aerial with the same boom length of 6 metres that covers 14-30Mhz. I was hoping someone with one of these beasts could advise the element lengths etc so i can convert mine to cover 20metres. I assume i will need to rearrange the elements, drill alternate mounting holes and change some element lengths and make some crossover straps for phasing harnesses but thats just a formality. My aerial comes with a diagram of the element lengths etc so was hoping someone could scan and send. I could start from scratch and try and design a unit but this would save me a heap of time. It appears that ATN is long gone. Any help would be greatly appreciated. I have quite a collection of amateur radio related service manuals in pdf and or jpg format so maybe i can return the favour.

email for reference is tstone12002@yahoo.com.au

Thanks in advance.
Cheers
Tony
VK2TS

31. Re: ATN Log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-12 23:26:28 UTC
From: Matt <piss.off@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <CC0Qa.326$k57.11763@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 338
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.digital,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

```
According to their add in AR, ATN are still around, and still in business.
Email address is atnant at iinet.net.au - as sourced from page 54 of this
edition of AR.

Matt

"TS" <tstone12002@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:NR_Pa.2174$wU5.390@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Hi all
>
> Was wondering if there is anyone out there who can help? I have just
> purchased a 7 element ATN 18-30-7 log periodic that covers 18-30Mhz. I
> believe there is another ATN aerial with the same boom length of 6 metres
> that covers 14-30Mhz. I was hoping someone with one of these beasts could
> advise the element lengths etc so i can convert mine to cover 20metres. I
> assume i will need to rearrange the elements, drill alternate mounting
holes
> and change some element lengths and make some crossover straps for phasing
> harnesses but thats just a formality. My aerial comes with a diagram of
the
> element lengths etc so was hoping someone could scan and send. I could
start
> from scratch and try and design a unit but this would save me a heap of
> time. It appears that ATN is long gone. Any help would be greatly
> appreciated. I have quite a collection of amateur radio related service
> manuals in pdf and or jpg format so maybe i can return the favour.
>
> email for reference is tstone12002@yahoo.com.au
>
> Thanks in advance.
> Cheers
> Tony
> VK2TS
>
>
```

32. Re: ATN Log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-13 08:05:35 UTC
From: TS <tstone12002@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <jp8Qa.2747$wU5.2210@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 339
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.digital,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

Thanks Matt
Cheers
VK2TS
"Matt" <piss.off@spammers.com> wrote in message
news:CC0Qa.326$k57.11763@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> According to their add in AR, ATN are still around, and still in business.
> Email address is atnant at iinet.net.au - as sourced from page 54 of this
> edition of AR.
>
>
>
>
>
> Matt
>
> "TS" <tstone12002@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
> news:NR_Pa.2174$wU5.390@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> > Hi all
> >
> > Was wondering if there is anyone out there who can help? I have just
> > purchased a 7 element ATN 18-30-7 log periodic that covers 18-30Mhz. I
> > believe there is another ATN aerial with the same boom length of 6
metres
> > that covers 14-30Mhz. I was hoping someone with one of these beasts
could
> > advise the element lengths etc so i can convert mine to cover 20metres.
I
> > assume i will need to rearrange the elements, drill alternate mounting
> holes
> > and change some element lengths and make some crossover straps for
phasing
> > harnesses but thats just a formality. My aerial comes with a diagram of
> the
> > element lengths etc so was hoping someone could scan and send. I could
> start
> > from scratch and try and design a unit but this would save me a heap of
> > time. It appears that ATN is long gone. Any help would be greatly
> > appreciated. I have quite a collection of amateur radio related service
> > manuals in pdf and or jpg format so maybe i can return the favour.
> >
> > email for reference is tstone12002@yahoo.com.au
> >

> > Thanks in advance.
> > Cheers
> > Tony
> > VK2TS
> >
> >
>
>

33. FS: Yaesu FT-50 Talkies

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-16 00:34:21 UTC
From: Anthony Mikulastik <wb5tty@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h41Ra.1028$GI.545@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 340
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

I have 2 very clean Yaesu FT-50 talkies with a NC-50 Dual Slot Rapid Charger (Has the CA-14 Inserts for the FT-50) for sale. The FT-50s are $165 each and the NC-50 Rapid Charger is $79 shipped in USA. I will take $399 for the set of 2 FT-50s and the Rapid Charger. Email Anthony, WB5TTY at wb5tty@hotmail.com .

34. FS: Yaesu VX-1R Dual Band Micro Talkie

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-16 00:46:14 UTC
From: Anthony Mikulastik <wb5tty@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qf1Ra.160$sD5.122058885@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 341
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

I have a very clean Yaesu VX-1R Micro Talkie with 2 Lit-Ion batteries for sale for only $99 shipped in USA. Contact Anthony, WB5TTY at wb5tty@hotmail.com .

35. GPS Navigation

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-20 06:18:49 UTC
From: David Holland <david@innovativecomputer.co.nz>
Message-ID: <fvqSa.86501$JA5.1781917@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 342
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet

Hi

I know that this is out of the Ham area but as I am one, and I am looking for information on Honda Navigation systems. I have Honda Odyssey with a Navigation system and it's all in Japanese. (Import Car)

I am looking for any old CD's to see if I can reverse engineer the thing to make maps for New Zealand or find any info on how to make a cd to load the maps ? Any ifo much appreciated. thanks !

73 David
ZL2TAA
Nelson
New Zealand

36. Re: GPS Navigation

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-20 13:48:05 UTC
From: "Gary S." <Idontwantspam@net>
Message-ID: <j87lhv8v1o2iadbcftgebo9fv4saq18gn0@4ax.com>
Source record: 343
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet

On Sun, 20 Jul 2003 18:18:49 +1200, "David Holland"
<david@innovativecomputer.co.nz> wrote:

>I know that this is out of the Ham area but as I am one, and I am looking
>for information on Honda Navigation systems. I have Honda Odyssey with a
>Navigation system and it's all in Japanese. (Import Car)
>
>I am looking for any old CD's to see if I can reverse engineer the thing to
>make maps for New Zealand or find any info on how to make a cd to load the
>maps ? Any ifo much appreciated. thanks !
>
>73 David

This topic would get far more productive answers on the group
sci.geo.satellite-nav.

There have been some recent threads related to New Zealand mapping.

In general, though, these systems are not set up to allow you to load
your own maps.

Happy trails,
Gary (net.yogi.bear)
---
at the 51st percentile of ursine intelligence

Gary D. Schwartz, Needham, MA, USA
Please reply to: garyDOTschwartzATpoboxDOTcom

37. WTB: Yaesu YF-116A

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-21 04:15:57 UTC
From: W4ADT <w4adt@intergate.com>
Message-ID: <3f19ed51_1@newsfeed>
Source record: 344
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for reading my posting!

I'm looking for a reasonably priced Yaseu YF-116A 6 KHZ AM Filter.

Please email me at w4adt@intergate.com with best price shipped.

73 Daniel W4ADT

38. Re: WTB: Yaesu YF-116A

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-21 13:25:18 UTC
From: max_brant@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <dcd70b38.0307210525.c10223@posting.google.com>
Source record: 345
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

"W4ADT" <w4adt@intergate.com> wrote in message news:<3f19ed51_1@newsfeed>...
> Thanks for reading my posting!
>
> I'm looking for a reasonably priced Yaseu YF-116A 6 KHZ AM Filter.
>
> Please email me at w4adt@intergate.com with best price shipped.
>
> 73 Daniel W4ADT

agreed

39. Re: ATN Log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-22 17:12:25 UTC
From: llaa1100@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7c811853.0307220912.39e5962e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 346
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,att.ham-radio,aus.radio.amateur.digital,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

```
al owens
"TS" <tstone12002@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:<jp8Qa.2747$wU5.2210@news-server.bigpond.net.au>...
> Thanks Matt
> Cheers
> VK2TS
> "Matt" <piss.off@spammers.com> wrote in message
> news:CC0Qa.326$k57.11763@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> > According to their add in AR, ATN are still around, and still in business.
> > Email address is atnant at iinet.net.au - as sourced from page 54 of this
> > edition of AR.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Matt
> >
> > "TS" <tstone12002@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
> > news:NR_Pa.2174$wU5.390@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> > > Hi all
> > >
> > > Was wondering if there is anyone out there who can help? I have just
> > > purchased a 7 element ATN 18-30-7 log periodic that covers 18-30Mhz. I
> > > believe there is another ATN aerial with the same boom length of 6
> metres
> > > that covers 14-30Mhz. I was hoping someone with one of these beasts
> could
> > > advise the element lengths etc so i can convert mine to cover 20metres.
> I
> > > assume i will need to rearrange the elements, drill alternate mounting
> holes
> > > and change some element lengths and make some crossover straps for
> phasing
> > > harnesses but thats just a formality. My aerial comes with a diagram of
> the
> > > element lengths etc so was hoping someone could scan and send. I could
> start
> > > from scratch and try and design a unit but this would save me a heap of
> > > time. It appears that ATN is long gone. Any help would be greatly
> > > appreciated. I have quite a collection of amateur radio related service
> > > manuals in pdf and or jpg format so maybe i can return the favour.
```

> > >
> > > email for reference is tstone12002@yahoo.com.au
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance.
> > > Cheers
> > > Tony
> > > VK2TS
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

40. Re: GPS Navigation

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-07-23 18:31:00 UTC
From: Walter Treftz <penguino@atlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3F1ED44A.57DC9E06@atlantic.net>
Source record: 347
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet

. Our club is trying to help in an
estate . We are trying to dispose of the following:
Mercury-wetted relays
8 Ea.
SPDT
110 VAC coil
Mfr: C. P. Clare & Co., of Chicago
Octal (tube-type) base
Model: HSG 1009
Marked "high speed"
If anyone is interested, make us a reasonable offer plus postage for one,
several,
all, etc. Would think the mailing weight would be about a pound.
73
Walt (N4GL)(peronal: penguino/at/atlantic/dot/net)

41. SEA esp520 220 mhz radio's

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-03 04:34:47 UTC
From: Bill Frovik n0mnb <n0mnb@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KKWcnU-9K8OVDbGiU-KYuQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 348
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.swap

hello
i am looking for any info on this radio, like service manuals and programing material, will pay $ ...ASAP

73's de n0mnb

42. Re: SEA esp520 220 mhz radio's

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-05 21:30:23 UTC
From: Skipp knows some of the story <usethesonicservertocontactme@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bgp7lf$3q1$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 349
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.swap

Hi Bill,

The SEA and Midland LMR 220Mhz repeaters & radios are now appearing on the surplus market, pulled from the (now bankrupt) narrow band trunking systems.

Securecor and Intek were large 220 smr operators in the late 90's. They had systems out here on on the US West Coast from Texas to Alaska.

They are hybrid ACSB units, the LMR and SEA formats do not work with the other.

SEA is still on line selling repeaters, however they probably wont do a lot to help you convert the equipment to Amateur Operation.

Two Surplus Dealers are selling used ACSB radios and some repeaters, look for them using the Ebay IDs of erac and rhoadeseller (might be rhodeseller).

I bought much/most of the 220 antenna combiner equipment as it is very high quality (Sinclair, Decibel, Wacom & Telewave) gear, of which I'm slowly parting out to get some of my money back. Watch for my auctions on Ebay if you have interest in this sort of stuff.

You might be able to make some of the radios talk to each other on simplex (direct), then figure a way to move them up onto the amateur band after you get the programming right, but it's not a beginers task.

There are a few 220 smr system operators still in operation around the country, you might do a reverse lookup on the fcc web page and contact those people you can find for information.

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

contact me through the sonic server Email icons if you like.

: In rec.radio.swap Bill Frovik n0mnb <n0mnb@comcast.net> wrote:
: hello
: i am looking for any info on this radio, like service manuals and programing
: material, will pay $ ...ASAP

: 73's de n0mnb

43. WTB: UHF Duplexer

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-08 19:06:54 UTC
From: Anthony Mikulastik <wb5tty@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ixSYa.40$SO4.29@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 350
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I am looking for a set of Celwave 633-6A-1 Mobile Duplexers. This model operates in the 406-450 MHZ range.

Email,

wb5tty@hotmail.com

Thanks,
Anthony Mikulastik, WB5TTY

44. How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-17 22:17:36 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com>
Message-ID: <3F3FFF00.6EB8E115@NoDirectMail.com>
Source record: 351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

I'm trying to find a way to measure the capacity of single -- mainly AA -- batteries. Some of my battery packs don't last as long as they should because of mismatches in cell capacity.

I've got a good assortment of electronic equipment, variable power supplies, high power resistors, etc. I've even got a Maha MH-C777PLUS charger/discharger. But this doesn't let you do single cells.

I can come up with some -- not so great -- ways to measure capacity, like discharging thru a resistor while monitoring voltage. This would allow me to at least match batteries somewhat but not really measure true capacity. For true capacity I need a constant current device, and that's where I'm stumped.

This could be electronic, if it's inexpensive and I can get the parts at Radio Shack. But I'm thinking more along the lines of a mechanical device to drain the battery in a constant current fashion. An electric motor would just slow down, so that wouln't work. What type of mechanical device pulls a constant current regardless of input voltage?

Does anyone have any suggestions on how to do this?

Thanks for your help.

45. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-17 22:30:04 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <y9KdnYSs7r8On92iXTWJjg@ctc.net>
Source record: 352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com> wrote in message
news:3F3FFF00.6EB8E115@NoDirectMail.com...
> I'm trying to find a way to measure the capacity of single -- mainly AA
> -- batteries. Some of my battery packs don't last as long as they
> should because of mismatches in cell capacity.
>
> I've got a good assortment of electronic equipment, variable power
> supplies, high power resistors, etc. I've even got a Maha MH-C777PLUS
> charger/discharger. But this doesn't let you do single cells.
>
> I can come up with some -- not so great -- ways to measure capacity,
> like discharging thru a resistor while monitoring voltage. This would
> allow me to at least match batteries somewhat but not really measure
> true capacity. For true capacity I need a constant current device, and
> that's where I'm stumped.
>
> This could be electronic, if it's inexpensive and I can get the parts at
> Radio Shack. But I'm thinking more along the lines of a mechanical
> device to drain the battery in a constant current fashion. An electric
> motor would just slow down, so that wouln't work. What type of
> mechanical device pulls a constant current regardless of input voltage?
>
> Does anyone have any suggestions on how to do this?

You can use a 3 terminal voltage regulator and a resistor or two. They can
be wired for a constant current generator instead of constant voltage.

46. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-17 23:15:20 UTC
From: "John D. Adamson" <josttech@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <c0U%a.171866$o%2.76661@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Put an AMP meter across the battery. A "AA" should be good
for about 1.2 amps or so. Make sure the multi meter is in
the 10 amp position before you do this!

Good luck, John w5cgu

Bruce W.1 wrote:
> I'm trying to find a way to measure the capacity of single -- mainly AA
> -- batteries. Some of my battery packs don't last as long as they
> should because of mismatches in cell capacity.
>
> I've got a good assortment of electronic equipment, variable power
> supplies, high power resistors, etc. I've even got a Maha MH-C777PLUS
> charger/discharger. But this doesn't let you do single cells.
>
> I can come up with some -- not so great -- ways to measure capacity,
> like discharging thru a resistor while monitoring voltage. This would
> allow me to at least match batteries somewhat but not really measure
> true capacity. For true capacity I need a constant current device, and
> that's where I'm stumped.
>
> This could be electronic, if it's inexpensive and I can get the parts at
> Radio Shack. But I'm thinking more along the lines of a mechanical
> device to drain the battery in a constant current fashion. An electric
> motor would just slow down, so that wouln't work. What type of
> mechanical device pulls a constant current regardless of input voltage?
>
> Does anyone have any suggestions on how to do this?
>
> Thanks for your help.

47. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 00:59:05 UTC
From: budgie <budgie@nowhere.cantech.net.au>
Message-ID: <3f4024a6.1382474@news.cantech.net.au>
Source record: 354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Sun, 17 Aug 2003 18:30:04 -0400, "Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net>
wrote:

>
>"Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com> wrote in message
>news:3F3FFF00.6EB8E115@NoDirectMail.com...
>> I'm trying to find a way to measure the capacity of single -- mainly AA
>> -- batteries. Some of my battery packs don't last as long as they
>> should because of mismatches in cell capacity.
>>
>> I've got a good assortment of electronic equipment, variable power
>> supplies, high power resistors, etc. I've even got a Maha MH-C777PLUS
>> charger/discharger. But this doesn't let you do single cells.
>>
>> I can come up with some -- not so great -- ways to measure capacity,
>> like discharging thru a resistor while monitoring voltage. This would
>> allow me to at least match batteries somewhat but not really measure
>> true capacity. For true capacity I need a constant current device, and
>> that's where I'm stumped.
>>
>> This could be electronic, if it's inexpensive and I can get the parts at
>> Radio Shack. But I'm thinking more along the lines of a mechanical
>> device to drain the battery in a constant current fashion. An electric
>> motor would just slow down, so that wouln't work. What type of
>> mechanical device pulls a constant current regardless of input voltage?
>>
>> Does anyone have any suggestions on how to do this?
>
>You can use a 3 terminal voltage regulator and a resistor or two. They can
>be wired for a constant current generator instead of constant voltage.

That was going to be my suggestion, for a split second. Then I
realised the Vin-Vout differential wouldn't let this work on a single
AA.

48. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 01:05:33 UTC
From: budgie <budgie@nowhere.cantech.net.au>
Message-ID: <3f4024e3.1444084@news.cantech.net.au>
Source record: 355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Sun, 17 Aug 2003 18:17:36 -0400, "Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com>
wrote:

>I'm trying to find a way to measure the capacity of single -- mainly AA
>-- batteries. Some of my battery packs don't last as long as they
>should because of mismatches in cell capacity.
>
>I've got a good assortment of electronic equipment, variable power
>supplies, high power resistors, etc. I've even got a Maha MH-C777PLUS
>charger/discharger. But this doesn't let you do single cells.
>
>I can come up with some -- not so great -- ways to measure capacity,
>like discharging thru a resistor while monitoring voltage. This would
>allow me to at least match batteries somewhat but not really measure
>true capacity. For true capacity I need a constant current device, and
>that's where I'm stumped.

You don't need a constant current sink to determine "true" capacity.
If your load resistor is suitable rated and reasonably low tempco,
then monitoring the voltage tells you the current to a fair degree of
accuracy, so you can even work out how many coulombs are extracted
before a chosen end voltage is reached.

Besides, how many loads in your assorted appliances are constant
current?

We do discharge testing (as part of evaluating Li-Ion charging
systems) using precision logging equipment monitoring voltage while
discharging into resistive loads of known characteristics. The only
limit is the accuracy you require, and in your application a couple of
percent is probably the spec.

>This could be electronic, if it's inexpensive and I can get the parts at
>Radio Shack. But I'm thinking more along the lines of a mechanical
>device to drain the battery in a constant current fashion. An electric
>motor would just slow down, so that wouln't work. What type of
>mechanical device pulls a constant current regardless of input voltage?
>
>Does anyone have any suggestions on how to do this?
>
>Thanks for your help.

49. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 02:10:15 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com>
Message-ID: <3F403587.7B70615@NoDirectMail.com>
Source record: 356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

budgie wrote:
>
> On Sun, 17 Aug 2003 18:17:36 -0400, "Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm trying to find a way to measure the capacity of single -- mainly AA
> >-- batteries. Some of my battery packs don't last as long as they
> >should because of mismatches in cell capacity.
> >
> >I've got a good assortment of electronic equipment, variable power
> >supplies, high power resistors, etc. I've even got a Maha MH-C777PLUS
> >charger/discharger. But this doesn't let you do single cells.
> >
> >I can come up with some -- not so great -- ways to measure capacity,
> >like discharging thru a resistor while monitoring voltage. This would
> >allow me to at least match batteries somewhat but not really measure
> >true capacity. For true capacity I need a constant current device, and
> >that's where I'm stumped.
>
> You don't need a constant current sink to determine "true" capacity.
> If your load resistor is suitable rated and reasonably low tempco,
> then monitoring the voltage tells you the current to a fair degree of
> accuracy, so you can even work out how many coulombs are extracted
> before a chosen end voltage is reached.
>
> Besides, how many loads in your assorted appliances are constant
> current?
>
> We do discharge testing (as part of evaluating Li-Ion charging
> systems) using precision logging equipment monitoring voltage while
> discharging into resistive loads of known characteristics. The only
> limit is the accuracy you require, and in your application a couple of
> percent is probably the spec.
>
==========

I could monitor and log the voltage across a fixed resistance, draw a
curve, calculate the area... This would be a real pain in the rear for
the number of batteries I want to test. So I'd really like to find a
simple constant current device.

This Radio Shack digital multimeter does voltage logging on a PC:
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F011%5F008
%5F002%5F000&product%5Fid=22%2D812

The details on the software are vague. Does anyone have one of these?

Here's a cool device that would do the job, and then some. But I'd like to keep it just a bit simpler:
http://www.yoredale.uklinux.net/electronics/capacity1cell.htm

50. Battery matching solution?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 04:11:14 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com>
Message-ID: <3F4051E2.64CD6570@NoDirectMail.com>
Source record: 357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Please see previous thread.

I'm trying to match AA battery capacities so they can be used together in battery packs and I think created a low-tech way to do this. I'd like to hear more informed opinions.

This would apply to NiCads and NiMh but it should work with lithium-ions too.

Take all your batteries of the same type and charge them all in parallel to the same voltage. Then one at a time remove them from the float voltage and attach them to a fixed size resistor (say 6 ohms) for a fixed period of time (say 3 hours) then measure their voltage. Well matched batteries should have similar voltages.

Does this wash?

The only thing I wonder about is the full charge state voltage. Say I'm doing Ni-Cads which usually top-out around 1.65 volts (where they experience a voltage drop), and I set the float voltage for the bunch at 1.5 volts. Would the maximum voltage of each cell make a difference here?

Thanks for your help.

51. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 04:37:48 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <vk0m0si3ut9mea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

In article <3F3FFF00.6EB8E115@NoDirectMail.com>,
Bruce W.1 <bw@NoDirectMail.com> wrote:

>I can come up with some -- not so great -- ways to measure capacity,
>like discharging thru a resistor while monitoring voltage. This would
>allow me to at least match batteries somewhat but not really measure
>true capacity. For true capacity I need a constant current device, and
>that's where I'm stumped.
>
>This could be electronic, if it's inexpensive and I can get the parts at
>Radio Shack.

One possibility is an LM317 voltage regulator, wired up with one
resistor between Vout and Vadj to create a current source (see the
data sheet).

Another possibility is a JFET, with source and gate shorted together.

Problem with both of these approaches is, they probably need several
volts of "headroom" before they begin acting as "stiff"
constant-current sources. If you're hoping to run an individual
1.2-volt cell down to, say, 1.0 volts to measure its useful capacity,
neither of these will work.

One thing you could do is simply discharge through a fixed resistor,
measure the voltage periodically, and use Ohm's Law to calculate the
current being discharged at each measurement time.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

52. Re: Battery matching solution?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 04:52:09 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <vk0mroti0dnrda@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

What's really important for matching in a battery pack is that the cells have very close to the same capacity. If one has lower capacity than the others, the others will reverse charge it after it discharges. This further reduces its capacity, making it go flat even sooner the next time. The absolute cell voltage at any point in the discharge process is unimportant.

Unfortunately, you can't discern the remaining capacity of a NiCd or NiMH cell by looking at the cell voltage. The only way to accomplish the matching is to discharge each cell until its energy is essentially completely gone (i.e., to 1.0 volt at moderate drains), and select cells on the basis of how long they take to get there. Ideally, the discharge rate would be about the same as in the device you intend to use the battery for. But in practice, the capacity of NiCd and NiMH cells isn't a very strong function of the load current, so you've got quite a bit of leeway.

Many years ago I made up a discharger for this purpose. It's basically an adjustable constant current load with an adjustable voltage comparator. When the voltage reaches the comparison voltage, it disconnects the load. An old analog electric clock is used to record the time -- the comparator also removes power from the clock. Initially set at 12:00, it directly reads the time it took for the cell to discharge to the specified voltage level. These days it would make a lot more sense to use an A/D converter computer board and/or a microcomputer chip of some sort.

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

Bruce W.1 wrote:
> Please see previous thread.
>
> I'm trying to match AA battery capacities so they can be used together
> in battery packs and I think created a low-tech way to do this. I'd
> like to hear more informed opinions.
>
> This would apply to NiCads and NiMh but it should work with lithium-ions
> too.
>
> Take all your batteries of the same type and charge them all in parallel
> to the same voltage. Then one at a time remove them from the float
> voltage and attach them to a fixed size resistor (say 6 ohms) for a
> fixed period of time (say 3 hours) then measure their voltage. Well
> matched batteries should have similar voltages.

>
> Does this wash?
>
> The only thing I wonder about is the full charge state voltage. Say I'm
> doing Ni-Cads which usually top-out around 1.65 volts (where they
> experience a voltage drop), and I set the float voltage for the bunch at
> 1.5 volts. Would the maximum voltage of each cell make a difference
> here?
>
> Thanks for your help.

53. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 07:05:43 UTC
From: Paul Burridge <redscar@waitrose.notformail.com>
Message-ID: <das0kvo2t4isbvjds0b8qs99g0hc7n96cm@4ax.com>
Source record: 360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Sun, 17 Aug 2003 22:10:15 -0400, "Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com>
wrote:

>I could monitor and log the voltage across a fixed resistance, draw a
>curve, calculate the area... This would be a real pain in the rear for
>the number of batteries I want to test.

How about using a storage scope to plot the discharge curves and a
computer program to work out the areas under the curves?
--

"I believe history will be kind to me, since I intend
to write it." - Winston Churchill

54. Re: Battery matching solution?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 09:59:37 UTC
From: Bill <lbj@congress.com>
Message-ID: <bhq82n$21afs$1@ID-175813.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Many years ago someone associated with NASA figured out that the
life expectancy of nicads (series or parallel use) could be increased
significantly by matching the internal impedances. The recharge/charge
life did not just double, but went up by a factor of ten (approx).
I think this was published in the some NASA Tech journal. It used to
be published about 10 times a year, it might still be.

Bill Cook

Roy Lewallen wrote:
> What's really important for matching in a battery pack is that the cells
> have very close to the same capacity. If one has lower capacity than the
> others, the others will reverse charge it after it discharges. This
> further reduces its capacity, making it go flat even sooner the next
> time. The absolute cell voltage at any point in the discharge process is
> unimportant.
>
> Unfortunately, you can't discern the remaining capacity of a NiCd or
> NiMH cell by looking at the cell voltage. The only way to accomplish the
> matching is to discharge each cell until its energy is essentially
> completely gone (i.e., to 1.0 volt at moderate drains), and select cells
> on the basis of how long they take to get there. Ideally, the discharge
> rate would be about the same as in the device you intend to use the
> battery for. But in practice, the capacity of NiCd and NiMH cells isn't
> a very strong function of the load current, so you've got quite a bit of
> leeway.
>
> Many years ago I made up a discharger for this purpose. It's basically
> an adjustable constant current load with an adjustable voltage
> comparator. When the voltage reaches the comparison voltage, it
> disconnects the load. An old analog electric clock is used to record the
> time -- the comparator also removes power from the clock. Initially set
> at 12:00, it directly reads the time it took for the cell to discharge
> to the specified voltage level. These days it would make a lot more
> sense to use an A/D converter computer board and/or a microcomputer chip
> of some sort.
>
> Roy Lewallen, W7EL
>
> Bruce W.1 wrote:
>
>> Please see previous thread.
>>
>> I'm trying to match AA battery capacities so they can be used together

>> in battery packs and I think created a low-tech way to do this. I'd
>> like to hear more informed opinions.
>>
>> This would apply to NiCads and NiMh but it should work with lithium-ions
>> too.
>>
>> Take all your batteries of the same type and charge them all in parallel
>> to the same voltage. Then one at a time remove them from the float
>> voltage and attach them to a fixed size resistor (say 6 ohms) for a
>> fixed period of time (say 3 hours) then measure their voltage. Well
>> matched batteries should have similar voltages.
>>
>> Does this wash?
>>
>> The only thing I wonder about is the full charge state voltage. Say I'm
>> doing Ni-Cads which usually top-out around 1.65 volts (where they
>> experience a voltage drop), and I set the float voltage for the bunch at
>> 1.5 volts. Would the maximum voltage of each cell make a difference
>> here?
>>
>> Thanks for your help.
>
>

55. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 12:40:29 UTC
From: budgie <budgie@nowhere.cantech.net.au>
Message-ID: <3f40c7c0.6185151@news.cantech.net.au>
Source record: 362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Sun, 17 Aug 2003 22:10:15 -0400, "Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com>
wrote:

>budgie wrote:
>>
>> On Sun, 17 Aug 2003 18:17:36 -0400, "Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I'm trying to find a way to measure the capacity of single -- mainly AA
>> >-- batteries. Some of my battery packs don't last as long as they
>> >should because of mismatches in cell capacity.
>> >
>> >I've got a good assortment of electronic equipment, variable power
>> >supplies, high power resistors, etc. I've even got a Maha MH-C777PLUS
>> >charger/discharger. But this doesn't let you do single cells.
>> >
>> >I can come up with some -- not so great -- ways to measure capacity,
>> >like discharging thru a resistor while monitoring voltage. This would
>> >allow me to at least match batteries somewhat but not really measure
>> >true capacity. For true capacity I need a constant current device, and
>> >that's where I'm stumped.
>>
>> You don't need a constant current sink to determine "true" capacity.
>> If your load resistor is suitable rated and reasonably low tempco,
>> then monitoring the voltage tells you the current to a fair degree of
>> accuracy, so you can even work out how many coulombs are extracted
>> before a chosen end voltage is reached.
>>
>> Besides, how many loads in your assorted appliances are constant
>> current?
>>
>> We do discharge testing (as part of evaluating Li-Ion charging
>> systems) using precision logging equipment monitoring voltage while
>> discharging into resistive loads of known characteristics. The only
>> limit is the accuracy you require, and in your application a couple of
>> percent is probably the spec.
>>
>==========
>
>I could monitor and log the voltage across a fixed resistance, draw a
>curve, calculate the area... This would be a real pain in the rear for
>the number of batteries I want to test. So I'd really like to find a
>simple constant current device.

As I alluded to in my previous, I suspect you will find simple constant current and 1v5 are relatively mutually exclusive unless you want to go to low voltage op amps and roll your own.

I don't know what you are using to post your n/g messages, but I use a PC. They DO have other capabilities besides internet connectivity. It takes but a few lines of code - even in humble QBasic - to log the voltages at set intervals, calculate current, and do time integration to obtain joule or coulomb figures. Having a constant current device as your load won't make this task any easier in reality.

>This Radio Shack digital multimeter does voltage logging on a PC:
>http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F011%5F008%5F002%5F000&product%5Fid=22%2D812
>The details on the software are vague. Does anyone have one of these?

The average "affordable" RS-232 equipped multimeter seems to invariably have a poor overall accuracy spec. This won't affect repeatability or the validity of comparisons, but does obviously impact on absolute numbers you obtain.

>Here's a cool device that would do the job, and then some. But I'd like
>to keep it just a bit simpler:
>http://www.yoredale.uklinux.net/electronics/capacity1cell.htm

56. Re: Battery matching solution?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 14:59:57 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com>
Message-ID: <3F40E9ED.CA7BD0B1@NoDirectMail.com>
Source record: 363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Roy Lewallen wrote:
>
> What's really important for matching in a battery pack is that the cells
> have very close to the same capacity. If one has lower capacity than the
> others, the others will reverse charge it after it discharges. This
> further reduces its capacity, making it go flat even sooner the next
> time. The absolute cell voltage at any point in the discharge process is
> unimportant.
>
> Unfortunately, you can't discern the remaining capacity of a NiCd or
> NiMH cell by looking at the cell voltage. The only way to accomplish the
> matching is to discharge each cell until its energy is essentially
> completely gone (i.e., to 1.0 volt at moderate drains), and select cells
> on the basis of how long they take to get there. Ideally, the discharge
> rate would be about the same as in the device you intend to use the
> battery for. But in practice, the capacity of NiCd and NiMH cells isn't
> a very strong function of the load current, so you've got quite a bit of
> leeway.
>
> Many years ago I made up a discharger for this purpose. It's basically
> an adjustable constant current load with an adjustable voltage
> comparator. When the voltage reaches the comparison voltage, it
> disconnects the load. An old analog electric clock is used to record the
> time -- the comparator also removes power from the clock. Initially set
> a_pag:00, it directly reads the time it took for the cell to discharge
> to the specified voltage level. These days it would make a lot more
> sense to use an A/D converter computer board and/or a microcomputer chip
> of some sort.
>
> Roy Lewallen, W7EL
>
==========

Seems the RC car community matches batteries based on a number of
criteria like capacity, internal resistance, and average voltage. The
websites I found on this don't give specifics.

Buying a $200 pieces of test equipment to measure all this is slight
overkill. I think I came up with a way. What to you think of this?

Charge all the batteries in parallel to the same voltage, say 1.5
volts. Then partially discharge them all in series. At this point
quickly measure the voltage of each cell while still under load.
Matched cells would have the same voltage.

57. Re: Battery matching solution?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 16:15:10 UTC
From: Tarmo Tammaru <t-tammaru@home.com>
Message-ID: <gEKdnTJZnvMNZt2iXTWJhw@comcast.com>
Source record: 364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Why charge them in parallel? Having them all charge the same in the real world should be part of the matching. In fact, if you start out with all batteries in the same state, and charge them in series, you can quickly pick out oddball by measuring the charging voltage across each cell.

Tam/WB2TT
"Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com> wrote in message
news:3F4051E2.64CD6570@NoDirectMail.com...
> Please see previous thread.
>
> I'm trying to match AA battery capacities so they can be used together
> in battery packs and I think created a low-tech way to do this. I'd
> like to hear more informed opinions.
>
> This would apply to NiCads and NiMh but it should work with lithium-ions
> too.
>
> Take all your batteries of the same type and charge them all in parallel
> to the same voltage. Then one at a time remove them from the float
> voltage and attach them to a fixed size resistor (say 6 ohms) for a
> fixed period of time (say 3 hours) then measure their voltage. Well
> matched batteries should have similar voltages.
>
> Does this wash?
>
> The only thing I wonder about is the full charge state voltage. Say I'm
> doing Ni-Cads which usually top-out around 1.65 volts (where they
> experience a voltage drop), and I set the float voltage for the bunch at
> 1.5 volts. Would the maximum voltage of each cell make a difference
> here?
>
> Thanks for your help.

58. Hams with Corvettes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 17:55:35 UTC
From: aRKay <arkay@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <BB667D47.154BD%arkay@qsl.net>
Source record: 365
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.corvette,rec.ham-radio

If you are a ham (amateur radio operator) and have a Corvette, check out the list at

http://forums.corvetteforum.com/zerothread?id=628598

Please send additions, corrections and updates to aa5vu@arrl.net.

Dick, AA5VU

59. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 18:00:43 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <fv80b.172267$TJ.10243344@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

In article <3F3FFF00.6EB8E115@NoDirectMail.com>,
Bruce W.1 <bw@NoDirectMail.com> wrote:

| I'm trying to find a way to measure the capacity of single -- mainly AA
| -- batteries. Some of my battery packs don't last as long as they
| should because of mismatches in cell capacity.

Well, if you don't mind spending some money --

http://www2.towerhobbies.com/cgi-bin/wti0001p?&I=LXCJG7&P=7

This is a great charger. Not only does it do a great job with my R/C
plane batteries, but it does my ham batteries too. It can charge or
discharge 1-24 cells, and can do NiCd, NiMH, Li-Ion, Li-Poly or lead
acid bateries.

For one battery, you just charge the battery, then set it to discharge
at perhaps 1/4 C, and tell it to cut off at 0.9 volts. Once done,
you'll know exactly what capacity it has.

As another poster mentioned, the R/C communities know all about
batteries. Read their stuff on it, you'll learn a lot. :)

Oh, if you do use a charger like this to charge a battery, be sure to
make sure you've got a direct path to the battery itself -- trying to
push 1 A through a charge circuit that lights up a LED and such is a
great way to let out the magic smoke -- even through the battery can
handle it no problem.

If this is too much for you, there are other alternatives, but few are
so flexible for this `little' money.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com
`You're all clear now, kid. Now blow this thing so we can all go home.'

60. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 19:11:14 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <vk296fiv7n3321@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

budgie wrote:
>
> As I alluded to in my previous, I suspect you will find simple
> constant current and 1v5 are relatively mutually exclusive unless you
> want to go to low voltage op amps and roll your own.
> . . .

It's not difficult. I use an supply to power an op amp which senses the current across a small resistor and drives a power FET. It does fine down to less than one volt. In fact, it was actually designed to use as a constant current source for charging a NiCd battery from a solar panel, and it does double duty as a constant current load for testing battery and cell capacity.

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

61. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 19:13:23 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <vk29ag6gik6ga8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Doug McLaren wrote:
> . . .
> As another poster mentioned, the R/C communities know all about
> batteries. Read their stuff on it, you'll learn a lot. :)

But be aware that the way they're using batteries is very different from the way you're likely to. So some of the characteristics important to them might not be important to you, and vice versa.

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

62. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 19:51:36 UTC
From: Tarmo Tammaru <t-tammaru@home.com>
Message-ID: <rAudnQYuqMTRs9yiU-KYvw@comcast.com>
Source record: 369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Bruce,

Here is a crude way to do unattended tests. There are any number of cheap battery powered clocks that run off 1 or 2 AA cells; you would use external power instead. Put one of these in parallel with your load, and see how long the clock runs. You could add an opamp to set the trip point to a particular voltage. Use an opamp whose common mode range includes the negative rail.

Tam/WB2TT
"Bruce W.1" <bw@NoDirectMail.com> wrote in message
news:3F3FFF00.6EB8E115@NoDirectMail.com...
> I'm trying to find a way to measure the capacity of single -- mainly AA
> -- batteries. Some of my battery packs don't last as long as they
> should because of mismatches in cell capacity.
>
> I've got a good assortment of electronic equipment, variable power
> supplies, high power resistors, etc. I've even got a Maha MH-C777PLUS
> charger/discharger. But this doesn't let you do single cells.
>
> I can come up with some -- not so great -- ways to measure capacity,
> like discharging thru a resistor while monitoring voltage. This would
> allow me to at least match batteries somewhat but not really measure
> true capacity. For true capacity I need a constant current device, and
> that's where I'm stumped.
>
> This could be electronic, if it's inexpensive and I can get the parts at
> Radio Shack. But I'm thinking more along the lines of a mechanical
> device to drain the battery in a constant current fashion. An electric
> motor would just slow down, so that wouln't work. What type of
> mechanical device pulls a constant current regardless of input voltage?
>
> Does anyone have any suggestions on how to do this?
>
> Thanks for your help.

63. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 20:03:24 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <vk2c8al02kco95@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

That's a great idea.

It wouldn't really be important to trip at a particular voltage if you're interested in finding out the capacity, provided that the clock will run at one volt (which any device designed to run from an alkaline cell certainly should)(*). There's very little energy left when a NiCd or NiMH cell reaches one volt, and the cell voltage will drop from there to the point where the clock shuts off in a very short time compared to the total discharge time.

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

(*)Unlike NiCd and NiMH cells, an alkaline cell still has a subtantial amount of energy left when the cell voltage is one volt, assuming a low or moderate load. Well designed devices running from alkaline cells should operate down to about 0.9 volt per cell at low or moderate drain, or about 0.8 volt at heavy drain, in order to extract nearly all the cell's energy. Ideally, a NiCd or NiMH powered device should disconnect from the battery at one volt/cell to prevent reverse charging of a weak cell. Even that isn't sure-fire if there are more than about 5 cells in series, and if one is considerbly weaker than the others.

Tarmo Tammaru wrote:
> Bruce,
>
> Here is a crude way to do unattended tests. There are any number of cheap
> battery powered clocks that run off 1 or 2 AA cells; you would use external
> power instead. Put one of these in parallel with your load, and see how long
> the clock runs. You could add an opamp to set the trip point to a particular
> voltage. Use an opamp whose common mode range includes the negative rail.
>
> Tam/WB2TT

64. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-18 20:52:44 UTC
From: Tarmo Tammaru <t-tammaru@home.com>
Message-ID: <2XWdnQiWU_wCodyiU-KYvw@comcast.com>
Source record: 371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Popular Photography tested AA alk batteries by repeatedly firing off the flash until the recharge time became 10 seconds, or something like that.

Tam/WB2TT

65. Re: How to measure battery capacity?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-19 05:58:56 UTC
From: budgie <budgie@nowhere.cantech.net.au>
Message-ID: <3f41bc8d.1951486@news.cantech.net.au>
Source record: 372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Mon, 18 Aug 2003 12:11:14 -0700, Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
wrote:

>budgie wrote:
>>
>> As I alluded to in my previous, I suspect you will find simple
>> constant current and 1v5 are relatively mutually exclusive unless you
>> want to go to low voltage op amps and roll your own.
>> . . .
>
>It's not difficult. I use an supply to power an op amp which senses the
>current across a small resistor and drives a power FET. It does fine
>down to less than one volt. In fact, it was actually designed to use as
>a constant current source for charging a NiCd battery from a solar
>panel, and it does double duty as a constant current load for testing
>battery and cell capacity.

i.e. roll your own, as I indicated.

66. Re: Battery matching solution?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-20 06:14:19 UTC
From: Rob Judd <judd@ob-wan.com>
Message-ID: <3F4311BB.FD163EAC@ob-wan.com>
Source record: 373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

```
Bruce W.1 wrote:
>
> Roy Lewallen wrote:
> >
> > What's really important for matching in a battery pack is that the cells
> > have very close to the same capacity. If one has lower capacity than the
> > others, the others will reverse charge it after it discharges. This
> > further reduces its capacity, making it go flat even sooner the next
> > time. The absolute cell voltage at any point in the discharge process is
> > unimportant.
> >
> > Unfortunately, you can't discern the remaining capacity of a NiCd or
> > NiMH cell by looking at the cell voltage. The only way to accomplish the
> > matching is to discharge each cell until its energy is essentially
> > completely gone (i.e., to 1.0 volt at moderate drains), and select cells
> > on the basis of how long they take to get there. Ideally, the discharge
> > rate would be about the same as in the device you intend to use the
> > battery for. But in practice, the capacity of NiCd and NiMH cells isn't
> > a very strong function of the load current, so you've got quite a bit of
> > leeway.
> >
> > Many years ago I made up a discharger for this purpose. It's basically
> > an adjustable constant current load with an adjustable voltage
> > comparator. When the voltage reaches the comparison voltage, it
> > disconnects the load. An old analog electric clock is used to record the
> > time -- the comparator also removes power from the clock. Initially set
> > a_pag:00, it directly reads the time it took for the cell to discharge
> > to the specified voltage level. These days it would make a lot more
> > sense to use an A/D converter computer board and/or a microcomputer chip
> > of some sort.
> >
> > Roy Lewallen, W7EL
> >
> ============================================================
>
> Seems the RC car community matches batteries based on a number of
> criteria like capacity, internal resistance, and average voltage. The
> websites I found on this don't give specifics.
>
> Buying a $200 pieces of test equipment to measure all this is slight
> overkill. I think I came up with a way. What to you think of this?
>
> Charge all the batteries in parallel to the same voltage, say 1.5
```

> volts. Then partially discharge them all in series. At this point
> quickly measure the voltage of each cell while still under load.
> Matched cells would have the same voltage.

I'd add a prior step: Fully discharge the batteries.

Rob

67. Re: Hams with Corvettes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-23 04:40:03 UTC
From: "Dick, AA5VU" <aa5vu@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <aa5vu-803597.23400322082003@central.isp.giganews.com>
Source record: 374
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.corvette,rec.ham-radio

The correct URL is

http://forums.corvetteforum.com/zeropost?cmd=reply&id=1544858319

In article <BB667D47.154BD%arkay@qsl.net>, aRKay <arkay@qsl.net> wrote:

> If you are a ham (amateur radio operator) and have a Corvette, check out the
> list at
>
> http://forums.corvetteforum.com/zerothread?id=628598
>
> Please send additions, corrections and updates to aa5vu@arrl.net.
>
> Dick, AA5VU
>
>

68. Re: Hams with Corvettes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-23 16:52:48 UTC
From: "Dick, AA5VU" <aa5vu@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <aa5vu-52C355.11524823082003@central.isp.giganews.com>
Source record: 375
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.corvette,rec.ham-radio

In article <aa5vu-803597.23400322082003@central.isp.giganews.com>,
"Dick, AA5VU" <aa5vu@qsl.net> wrote:

> The correct URL is
>
> http://forums.corvetteforum.com/zeropost?cmd=reply&id=1544858319
>
>
> In article <BB667D47.154BD%arkay@qsl.net>, aRKay <arkay@qsl.net> wrote:
>
> > If you are a ham (amateur radio operator) and have a Corvette, check out the
> > list at
> >
> > http://forums.corvetteforum.com/zerothread?id=628598
> >
> > Please send additions, corrections and updates to aa5vu@arrl.net.
> >
> > Dick, AA5VU
> >
> >

There is someting broken at the CorvetteForum.com and the above URL no
longer works. Just send me an e-mail if you are interested.

Dick, aa5vu

69. Re: Hams with Corvettes

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-24 00:22:36 UTC
From: "Dick, AA5VU" <aa5vu@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <aa5vu-F28C83.19223623082003@central.isp.giganews.com>
Source record: 376
Cross-posted to: alt.autos.corvette,rec.ham-radio

The correct URL is

http://forums.corvetteforum.com/zerothread?id=634889

70. Icom m-800 Marine Parts WANTED to Buy or Swap

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-27 10:23:58 UTC
From: OrSoN <orson0001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2F%2b.66324$bo1.7703@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 377
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hi all I am after some parts for the Icom M-800 HF Marine Radio

I need a remote controller unit with all the leads and I need another set of connector leads and a mic Oh and the proper DC Leads :0)

does any one out there have any of these parts or any contacts for these parts ????

I have two Radio bodies and one controller but no connector leads or mics ..

The controller part number is EX-803 Remote Controller
DC Power cable for Controller 12v-1 amp OPC-089A
Interface Cable for Controller OPC-172A

Main Unit Power Cable 12v-30 amps OPC-077

Also is there a mod available to get general coverage TX ???

Please feel free to reply to my email.

maleaton@bigpond.net.au

My Kindest Regards to the Group.

73 De VK3UME

Mal

71. maximum permissible exposure limits for 2m mobile

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-28 22:22:50 UTC
From: Joshua K Drumeller <lkfightr@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <_gv3b.848$rc4.201@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 378
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

First of all, forgive my cross posting. I was not sure where would be best.

I am a new ham. I have been looking around for some mobile 2m radios to put in my car. Some of them I see are capable of 50w 65w or 75w transmit power. Would I need to do any kind of MPE calculations for radios that transmit above 50watts for mobile radios? Considering that an antenna mounted on the top of the car and that exposure would be only momentary to anyone I drive by.

72. Re: maximum permissible exposure limits for 2m mobile

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-31 14:47:07 UTC
From: dansawyer@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <d8df9861.0308310647.52930775@posting.google.com>
Source record: 379
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

"Joshua K Drumeller" <lkfightr@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:<_gv3b.848$rc4.201@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>...
> First of all, forgive my cross posting. I was not sure where would be best.
>
>
> I am a new ham. I have been looking around for some mobile 2m radios to put
> in my car. Some of them I see are capable of 50w 65w or 75w transmit power.
> Would I need to do any kind of MPE calculations for radios that transmit
> above 50watts for mobile radios? Considering that an antenna mounted on the
> top of the car and that exposure would be only momentary to anyone I drive
> by.

Technically yes. There is a site that will do this for you. I have
lost the link, however a search should find it.

I should show that you are within limits. (A 5 watt HT held next to
your head is worse then a 50 watt transmitter 8 feet away.)

Dan

73. Re: maximum permissible exposure limits for 2m mobile

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-08-31 16:24:38 UTC
From: Fluxuated <Fluxuated@XHotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ajp4b.51484$kH3.4894@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 380
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Eat right, excercise, Die Anyways.

Don't worry about it.

"Joshua K Drumeller" <lkfightr@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:_gv3b.848$rc4.201@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com...
> First of all, forgive my cross posting. I was not sure where would be
best.
>
>
> I am a new ham. I have been looking around for some mobile 2m radios to
put
> in my car. Some of them I see are capable of 50w 65w or 75w transmit
power.
> Would I need to do any kind of MPE calculations for radios that transmit
> above 50watts for mobile radios? Considering that an antenna mounted on
the
> top of the car and that exposure would be only momentary to anyone I drive
> by.
>
>

74. Re: Battery matching solution?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-09-04 05:25:14 UTC
From: kavall@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a393ed14.0309032125.53a64a9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Battery matching.

Here is how I would go about it. Charge the cells individually untill their voltage starts to drop, aka. peak charge them. Measure the peak voltage. Then hook them to a fixed load and measure their discharge time to 0.9V. Let the cell rest. The next day repeat. Take the measurements and compare close discharge times and close peak voltages. If you could measure internal resistance it would be nice, but I don't know of a simple way. In my opinion the important qualities are.
1. Close discharge times
2. Close and low internal resistances
3. Close peak voltages

75. Re: maximum permissible exposure limits for 2m mobile

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-09-04 06:17:24 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <newsgroups@rogerhalstead.com>
Message-ID: <b5mdlvc0nf7dd8ikpk2k2ftaldqnkmqi9c@4ax.com>
Source record: 382
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

On 31 Aug 2003 07:47:07 -0700, dansawyer@earthlink.net (Dan Sawyer)
wrote:

>"Joshua K Drumeller" <lkfightr@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:<_gv3b.848$rc4.201@newssvr24.news.prodigy.com>...
>> First of all, forgive my cross posting. I was not sure where would be best.
>>
>>
>> I am a new ham. I have been looking around for some mobile 2m radios to put
>> in my car. Some of them I see are capable of 50w 65w or 75w transmit power.
>> Would I need to do any kind of MPE calculations for radios that transmit
>> above 50watts for mobile radios? Considering that an antenna mounted on the
>> top of the car and that exposure would be only momentary to anyone I drive
>> by.
>
>Technically yes. There is a site that will do this for you. I have
>lost the link, however a search should find it.
>
>I should show that you are within limits. (A 5 watt HT held next to
>your head is worse then a 50 watt transmitter 8 feet away.)
>

With out doing the math, I'd bet the HT has a stronger signal level
against the side of your head than a KW at 10 feet.

Any one care to do the math?

Roger Halstead (K8RI EN73 & ARRL Life Member)
www.rogerhalstead.com
N833R World's oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)
>Dan

76. Re: Battery matching solution?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-09-04 15:47:40 UTC
From: Joshua K Drumeller <lkfightr@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <w8J5b.69$dC6.47@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

The internal resistance of the battery changes as the battery is discharged.
Also things like temperature, age and other factors effect it.
I think the proper way to test your battery is to fully charge it to the
manufacturer specification and discharge it at a given amp hour rate.
Compare your discharge curve with the manufacturer. Choose the same
discharge rate that the manufacturer does btw. If your curve is a little
less than what the manufacturer says then your probably ok. If it is much
less then you need a new battery.

Josh

"Kavall" <kavall@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a393ed14.0309032125.53a64a9a@posting.google.com...
> Battery matching.
>
> Here is how I would go about it. Charge the cells individually untill
> their voltage starts to drop, aka. peak charge them. Measure the peak
> voltage. Then hook them to a fixed load and measure their discharge
> time to 0.9V. Let the cell rest. The next day repeat. Take the
> measurements and compare close discharge times and close peak
> voltages. If you could measure internal resistance it would be nice,
> but I don't know of a simple way. In my opinion the important
> qualities are.
> 1. Close discharge times
> 2. Close and low internal resistances
> 3. Close peak voltages

77. Re: maximum permissible exposure limits for 2m mobile

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-09-12 13:29:09 UTC
From: BuckEye <Bill@comecast.net>
Message-ID: <FSj8b.43239$mp.18874@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 384
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

Who gives a shit., been doing it for years.

Has nott t affectttеddd myyy sssppppeellinngg yetttt.

78. Re: maximum permissible exposure limits for 2m mobile

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-09-13 12:57:56 UTC
From: VE1BLL@rac.ca
Message-ID: <8a615218.0309130457.8d49c48@posting.google.com>
Source record: 385
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

"Joshua K Drumeller"
> ...MPE calculations for radios that
> transmit above 50watts for mobile radios?

Canada just happens to be a world leader in this field. The body of knowledge is summed up quite nicely in Health Canada's 'Safety Code 6'.

www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hecs-sesc/ccrpb/publication/99ehd237/toc.htm

More links:
www.google.com/search?q=health+canada+safety+code+6

In general, if your 2m antenna was mounted on the trunk lid and someone was sitting in the back seat and you transmitted 50 or 75 watts for the full six minute averaging interval, you might be in a situation where you'd be in excess of the guidelines. In other words, your passenger's head might get slightly hotter than recommended. With a top mounted (VHF) antenna, there is not likely to be any problem - but who knows without checking... You're in the nearfield and that complicates things...

The whole RF Safety field is still somewhat immature (IMHO).

79. Re: maximum permissible exposure limits for 2m mobile

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-09-15 12:52:53 UTC
From: harryhydro@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <10e8ec27.0309150452.744cd125@posting.google.com>
Source record: 386
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

VE1BLL@rac.ca (J. Harvey) wrote in message
news:<8a615218.0309130457.8d49c48@posting.google.com>...
> "Joshua K Drumeller"
> > ...MPE calculations for radios that
> > transmit above 50watts for mobile radios?
>
> Canada just happens to be a world leader in this field. The body of
> knowledge is summed up quite nicely in Health Canada's 'Safety Code
> 6'.
>
> www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hecs-sesc/ccrpb/publication/99ehd237/toc.htm
>
> More links:
> www.google.com/search?q=health+canada+safety+code+6
>
> In general, if your 2m antenna was mounted on the trunk lid and
> someone was sitting in the back seat and you transmitted 50 or 75
> watts for the full six minute averaging interval, you might be in a
> situation where you'd be in excess of the guidelines. In other words,
> your passenger's head might get slightly hotter than recommended.
> With a top mounted (VHF) antenna, there is not likely to be any
> problem - but who knows without checking... You're in the nearfield
> and that complicates things...
>
> The whole RF Safety field is still somewhat immature (IMHO).

Hi Folks:
A buddy of mine in the RF field of the military use to stand in
front of microwave satellite transmitting dishes to keep warm on the
really cold days. He said you, "..feel warm all over!". Sounds nuts!
Today he's well over 80 and still cuts his own grass.. Must be OK..
Harry

80. Re: maximum permissible exposure limits for 2m mobile

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-09-16 07:47:06 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <newsgroups@rogerhalstead.com>
Message-ID: <qrfdmv09m9gnof4hcajqvlt5bde86utjro@4ax.com>
Source record: 387
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur

On 13 Sep 2003 05:57:56 -0700, VE1BLL@rac.ca (J. Harvey) wrote:

>"Joshua K Drumeller"
>> ...MPE calculations for radios that
>> transmit above 50watts for mobile radios?
>
>Canada just happens to be a world leader in this field. The body of
>knowledge is summed up quite nicely in Health Canada's 'Safety Code
>6'.
>
>www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hecs-sesc/ccrpb/publication/99ehd237/toc.htm

The problem is, no one really knows what is safe and what is
not...Unless it is doing obvious damage.

With a 2-meter mobile I'd not worry a bit running 160 to 320 watts to
the antenna. Standing beside the car should be no worse than using an
HT with the antenna against the side of your head.

Roger Halstead (K8RI EN73 & ARRL Life Member)
www.rogerhalstead.com
N833R World's oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)
>
>More links:
>www.google.com/search?q=health+canada+safety+code+6
>
>In general, if your 2m antenna was mounted on the trunk lid and
>someone was sitting in the back seat and you transmitted 50 or 75
>watts for the full six minute averaging interval, you might be in a
>situation where you'd be in excess of the guidelines. In other words,
>your passenger's head might get slightly hotter than recommended.
>With a top mounted (VHF) antenna, there is not likely to be any
>problem - but who knows without checking... You're in the nearfield
>and that complicates things...
>
>The whole RF Safety field is still somewhat immature (IMHO).

81. FA: Power Cords for Heathkit SB-400 and SB-401

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-09-28 14:27:25 UTC
From: Larry <oops@poops.net>
Message-ID: <hdCdb.10735$BI7.10645@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 388
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap

FA: Power Cords for Heathkit SB-400 and SB-401

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=3049635268

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=3049472172
A: Power Cords for Heathkit SB-400 and SB-401

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=3049635268

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=3049472172

82. FS Ham Equiptment.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-10-06 05:52:50 UTC
From: Peter Christensen <peter.christensen@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Sq7gb.30445$pl3.24898@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 389
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Blank1. Kenwood transceiver TS-430s, with am, fm,
cw, ssb and cw crystal. With this transceiver
comes a PS-430 power supply, Mc-60 mike,
a hand mike, a AT-250 automatic antenna
tuner, and all their manuals. The price is
$475.00 US plus shipping costs.

2 One Kenwood 9R-59D communications
receiver and its' manual. The cost of this
rare receiver is $100.00 US plus shipping costs.

3 One Kenwood KPS-12 power supply. $50.00 US
plus shipping costs.

4. One Yaesu FRG-7700 communications receiver
with its' manual, a Yaesu FRT-7700 antenna tuner, a
Bencher audio filter and a Palomar lf converter.
The price is $250.00 US plus shipping costs.

5. One Radio Shack DX 440 portable communications
receiver. $50.00 plus shipping costs.

6 One ICOM IC-505 6 meter all mode transceiver.
$125.00 US plus shipping costs.

7.One Amrco 1.6-54 mcs pre-amp. $30.00 US plus shipping
costs.

8. One Astatic chromed and amplified mike. $50.00 us
plus shipping costs.

9. One Eico resistance-captaincy bridge. $30.00 US
plus shipping.

All this equipment looks good and it works well.

If you are interested please email me at
peter.christensen@shaw.ca
Thank you. Peter Christensen.

83. Re: FS Ham Equiptment.

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-10-08 20:21:04 UTC
From: Peter Christensen <peter.christensen@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Qk_gb.47455$9l5.4152@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 390
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

I have sold the Icom 505 and the DX 440.Peter
"Peter Christensen" <peter.christensen@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Sq7gb.30445$pl3.24898@pd7tw3no...
> Blank1. Kenwood transceiver TS-430s, with am, fm,
> cw, ssb and cw crystal. With this transceiver
> comes a PS-430 power supply, Mc-60 mike,
> a hand mike, a AT-250 automatic antenna
> tuner, and all their manuals. The price is
> $475.00 US plus shipping costs.
>
> 2 One Kenwood 9R-59D communications
> receiver and its' manual. The cost of this
> rare receiver is $100.00 US plus shipping costs.
>
> 3 One Kenwood KPS-12 power supply. $50.00 US
> plus shipping costs.
>
> 4. One Yaesu FRG-7700 communications receiver
> with its' manual, a Yaesu FRT-7700 antenna tuner, a
> Bencher audio filter and a Palomar lf converter.
> The price is $250.00 US plus shipping costs.
>
> 5. One Radio Shack DX 440 portable communications
> receiver. $50.00 plus shipping costs.
>
> 6 One ICOM IC-505 6 meter all mode transceiver.
> $125.00 US plus shipping costs.
>
> 7.One Amrco 1.6-54 mcs pre-amp. $30.00 US plus shipping
> costs.
>
> 8. One Astatic chromed and amplified mike. $50.00 us
> plus shipping costs.
>
> 9. One Eico resistance-captaincy bridge. $30.00 US
> plus shipping.
>
> All this equipment looks good and it works well.
>
> If you are interested please email me at
> peter.christensen@shaw.ca
> Thank you. Peter Christensen.
>

>
>

84. Re: Frequency Steps 5/10kHz as opposed to 12.5/25kHz

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-10-16 17:41:30 UTC
From: Neil Hosker <neil@radioamateur.org.uk>
Message-ID: <tHM5omOKhtj$EwpC@morgan2.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 391
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,aus.radio.amateur.misc, rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur

In message <3f8e541c_1@news.tm.net.my>, noone <noone@nowhere.com> writes
>How do I change my FT23R to tune in 5kHz/10kHz steps as opposed to
>12.5kHz/25kHz ?
>
>I asked Yaesu and they replied:
>> On the FT-23 there were 5 versions .Ver A,D and E have the 5 and 10 kHz
>> steps .The ver B and C have the 12.5 and 25 kHz steps. The steps are most
>> of the time able to be changed with jumper settings. I don't know what the
>> jumper setting are for either the USA ver or EUR ver.I don't have a radio
>> in house to open up to take a look at.
>
>I opened up my unit and the following pad configuration was noted:
>3 Open
>5 Open
>6 Open
>7 Open
>8 Open
>9 Closed
>10 Open
>
>Pads 7, 8, 9 change the frequency range and whether the radio operates in
>commercial (readout in channels) or amateur mode (readout in MHz). I have
>searched the Internet and found hundreds of frequency *range* mods but could
>not find any frequency *step* modifications.
>
>Can anyone provide the jumper setting or other information (perhaps someone
>with a 5/10kHz ft23r could look into their unit and report their jumper
>settings)?
>
>

I believe you need to change a reference crystal as well as fiddle with
the jumpers.....

--
Neil Hosker

* Reply to neil@radioamateur.org.uk *

85. Re: Frequency Steps 5/10kHz as opposed to 12.5/25kHz

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-10-16 19:52:59 UTC
From: mike <mike-g7bnf@nospam.co.uk>
Message-ID: <DGCjb.4194$mM1.1137@newsfep4-winn.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 392
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,aus.radio.amateur.misc, rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur

```
no u don't it is a jumper change
working on it
reply soon
mike

"Neil Hosker" <neil@radioamateur.org.uk> wrote in message
news:tHM5omOKhtj$EwpC@morgan2.demon.co.uk...
> In message <3f8e541c_1@news.tm.net.my>, noone <noone@nowhere.com> writes
> >How do I change my FT23R to tune in 5kHz/10kHz steps as opposed to
> >12.5kHz/25kHz ?
> >
> >I asked Yaesu and they replied:
> >> On the FT-23 there were 5 versions .Ver A,D and E have the 5 and 10 kHz
> >> steps .The ver B and C have the 12.5 and 25 kHz steps. The steps are
most
> >> of the time able to be changed with jumper settings. I don't know what
the
> >> jumper setting are for either the USA ver or EUR ver.I don't have a
radio
> >> in house to open up to take a look at.
> >
> >I opened up my unit and the following pad configuration was noted:
> >3 Open
> >5 Open
> >6 Open
> >7 Open
> >8 Open
> >9 Closed
> >10 Open
> >
> >Pads 7, 8, 9 change the frequency range and whether the radio operates in
> >commercial (readout in channels) or amateur mode (readout in MHz). I have
> >searched the Internet and found hundreds of frequency *range* mods but
could
> >not find any frequency *step* modifications.
> >
> >Can anyone provide the jumper setting or other information (perhaps
someone
> >with a 5/10kHz ft23r could look into their unit and report their jumper
> >settings)?
> >
> >
>
```

> I believe you need to change a reference crystal as well as fiddle with
> the jumpers.....
>
> --
> Neil Hosker
>
> * Reply to neil@radioamateur.org.uk *

86. Re: Frequency Steps 5/10kHz as opposed to 12.5/25kHz

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-10-16 20:07:16 UTC
From: mike <mike-g7bnf@nospam.co.uk>
Message-ID: <%TCjb.4210$mM1.2550@newsfep4-winn.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 393
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,aus.radio.amateur.misc, rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur

1stly sorry Nick!!!...it is an xtal change as well!.
my jpg file was rather small!

go here for the service manual ftp://80.164.5.88/yaesu-service/
then see image47 in the ft23r service manual file.

i have had one for almost 20yrs now, magic 'bullet proof' radio.

though i warn u...the mod needs the VCO unit removing!!
NOT easy.

mike
P.S sri agn to Nick.

"mike" <mike-g7bnf@nospam.co.uk> wrote in message
news:DGCjb.4194$mM1.1137@newsfep4-winn.server.ntli.net...
> no u don't it is a jumper change
> working on it
> reply soon
> mike
>
> "Neil Hosker" <neil@radioamateur.org.uk> wrote in message
> news:tHM5omOKhtj$EwpC@morgan2.demon.co.uk...
> > In message <3f8e541c_1@news.tm.net.my>, noone <noone@nowhere.com> writes
> > >How do I change my FT23R to tune in 5kHz/10kHz steps as opposed to
> > >12.5kHz/25kHz ?
> > >
> > >I asked Yaesu and they replied:
> > >> On the FT-23 there were 5 versions .Ver A,D and E have the 5 and 10
kHz
> > >> steps .The ver B and C have the 12.5 and 25 kHz steps. The steps are
> most
> > >> of the time able to be changed with jumper settings. I don't know
what
> the
> > >> jumper setting are for either the USA ver or EUR ver.I don't have a
> radio
> > >> in house to open up to take a look at.
> > >
> > >I opened up my unit and the following pad configuration was noted:
> > >3 Open
> > >5 Open
> > >6 Open
> > >7 Open

> > >8 Open
> > >9 Closed
> > >10 Open
> > >
> > >Pads 7, 8, 9 change the frequency range and whether the radio operates
in
> > >commercial (readout in channels) or amateur mode (readout in MHz). I
have
> > >searched the Internet and found hundreds of frequency *range* mods but
> could
> > >not find any frequency *step* modifications.
> > >
> > >Can anyone provide the jumper setting or other information (perhaps
> someone
> > >with a 5/10kHz ft23r could look into their unit and report their jumper
> > >settings)?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I believe you need to change a reference crystal as well as fiddle with
> > the jumpers.....
> >
> > --
> > Neil Hosker
> >
> > * Reply to neil@radioamateur.org.uk *
>
>

87. Re: Frequency Steps 5/10kHz as opposed to 12.5/25kHz

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-10-17 05:35:23 UTC
From: Neil Hosker <neil@radioamateur.org.uk>
Message-ID: <iN4aqnPb+3j$Ewp8@morgan2.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 395
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,aus.radio.amateur.misc, rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur

In message <DGCjb.4194$mM1.1137@newsfep4-winn.server.ntli.net>, mike <mike-g7bnf@nospam.co.uk> writes
>no u don't it is a jumper change
>working on it
>reply soon
>mike
>

I am curious to see what the outcome is. I have had a couple of the PMR versions of those sets (basically the same as the FT23) and you can make the display read in 5/10khz or 12.5/25khz steps by changing a jumper (not sure which now) but to actually change its RF operation from one to the other a reference crystal change was required.....

Regards

Neil

--
Neil Hosker

* Reply to neil@radioamateur.org.uk *

88. Re: Frequency Steps 5/10kHz as opposed to 12.5/25kHz

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-10-17 14:15:25 UTC
From: noone <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <3f8ff96b_1@news.tm.net.my>
Source record: 394
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.dxing,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,aus.radio.amateur.misc, rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,uk.radio.amateur

"mike" <mike-g7bnf@nospam.co.uk> wrote in message
news:%TCjb.4210$mM1.2550@newsfep4-winn.server.ntli.net...
> 1stly sorry Nick!!!...it is an xtal change as well!.
> my jpg file was rather small!
> go here for the service manual ftp://80.164.5.88/yaesu-service/
> then see image47 in the ft23r service manual file.
> i have had one for almost 20yrs now, magic 'bullet proof' radio.
> though i warn u...the mod needs the VCO unit removing!!
> NOT easy.
Thanks for the information.
I looked at the .jpg file, it wasnt all that clear (resolution).
The crystal needs to be replaced from 12.8MHz to 10.24MHz? (guess - can you verify?).
-> Guess based on following idea that the crystal will be pulled by the trimmer capacitor hanging
-> at the end of it and squinting at the side comments on the service manual:
-> 12.8MHz in ratio to 12.5MHz
-> 10.24MHz in ratio to 10MHz

Looks like I have to remove a lot to get to the main board (i.e. free it from the case)
and then unsolder the PLL board (which is a right angles to it) before I can unsolder/solder the bridges and perform the crystal replacement.

The ensure that the frequency is correct - by altering the trimmer and using a frequency counter.

It does look a bit difficult.

Regards,
GW7ABW

89. ECC81/12AT7 - 6SL7GT - 6V6GT - 6L6GC - KT88

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-11-05 06:23:40 UTC
From: ETOLS <etols@halfin.com>
Message-ID: <3fa89457$0$29055$ba620e4c@reader1.news.skynet.be>
Source record: 396
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

We would like to invite you to discover our recent updates on
http://etols.halfin.com (electron tubes online shopping)

- new presentation of the homepage
- a more friendly search engine
- quick links to most wanted tubes
- new products such as tube sockets, boxes, top caps

Special offer on :

ECC81/12AT7 RFT 11.05 US$
6SL7GT RUSSIAN 3.05 US$
6V6GT RUSSIAN 3.15 US$
6L6GC SVETLANA 13.90 US$
KT88 SVETLANA 40.00 US$

Matching 1.75 US$ per tube

But also : EM4 - F2A - GZ37 - 5300B - 6C33/CB - EF86 - F2A11 - VT4B -
RS1003 - EL34 - 12AX7 - EL84 - ECC83 - 12AU7 - 6L6 - 6SN7 .

Major brand : MULLARD - SIEMENS - TELEFUNKEN - WESTINGHOUSE - DARIO - VALVE
ART - VALVO ..

Worldwide delivery
For more : Visit http://etols.halfin.com

90. FS: Philips BGA2031/1 MMIC variable gain amps

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-11-22 03:08:12 UTC
From: Mike Adams <adams@ftdsb.com>
Message-ID: <3FBEDF80.70109@ftdsb.com>
Source record: 397
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.design,sci.research.careers,sci.physics.relativity,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Distributors, Contract Manufacturers, OEMs, or microwave circuitry designers, this message may be of interest to you.

If you have a current or future need for Philips BGA2031/1 MMIC's, you should check out the deal at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2574612712

91. OT: Man gets "spam rage" over penis ad

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-11-22 06:14:26 UTC
From: Renegade <ren190@cox.kom>
Message-ID: <j6Dvb.10204$kl6.6450@fed1read03>
Source record: 398
Cross-posted to: rec.boats,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

Saturday November 22, 04:46 AM

Man gets "spam rage" over penis ad
By Adam Tanner

SAN FRANCISCO (Reuters) - Call it spam rage: A Silicon Valley computer programmer has been arrested for threatening to torture and kill employees of the company he blames for bombarding his computer with Web ads promising to enlarge his penis.

In one of the first prosecutions of its kind in the state that made "road rage" famous, Charles Booher, 44, was arrested on Thursday and released on bail for making repeated threats to staff of a Canadian company between May and July.

Booher threatened to send a "package full of Anthrax spores" to the company, to "disable" an employee with a bullet and torture him with a power drill and ice pick; and to hunt down and castrate the employees unless they removed him from their e-mail list, prosecutors said.

He used return e-mail addresses including Satan@hell.org.

In a telephone interview with Reuters on Friday, Booher acknowledged that he had behaved badly but said his computer had been rendered almost unusable for about two months by a barrage of pop-up advertising and e-mail.

"Here's what happened: I go to their Web site and start complaining to them, would you please, please, please stop bothering me," he said. "It just sort of escalated ... and I sort of lost my cool at that point."

The Sunnyvale, California man now faces up to five years in prison and a $250,000 fine, with a preliminary hearing scheduled for next month on charges of threatening to injure someone. He said he did not own any guns or have access to anthrax.

Booher said the problem stemmed from a program he mistakenly downloaded from the Internet that brought a continuous stream of advertising to his computer.

The object of the Californian's anger was Douglas Mackay, president of DM Contact Management, which works for Albion Medical, a firm advertising the "Only Reliable, Medically Approved Penis Enhancement."

"This went for a long, long time. He seemed really dedicated to this," Mackay said from Victoria, British Columbia in Canada. "He seemed like a guy just crazy enough with nothing to lose that might actually do something."

He said his firm does not send spam but blamed a rival firm which he said routes much of their unsolicited bulk e-mail through Russia and eastern Europe. Mackay said such firms gave a bad name to the penis enhancement business.

In other cases, Internet vigilantes have bombarded spammers with both unsolicited e-mail and regular mail and phone calls, launched attacks on spammers' computers and posted spammers' personal information on the Internet, according to reports.

Separately, lawmakers in Washington said the U.S. House of Representatives was poised to vote for on a measure to outlaw most Internet spam. Lawmakers hope to pass a national anti-spam bill before a much tougher California state law goes into effect on January 1.

92. Re: Philips BGA2031/1 MMIC variable gain amps

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-11-22 08:54:30 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <avFvb.96784$Ec1.4518874@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 399
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.design,sci.research.careers,sci.physics.relativity,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Aren't those dollar a pound surplus sales great?

Pete

Mike Adams <adams@ftdsb.com> wrote in message
news:3FBEDF80.70109@ftdsb.com...
> Distributors, Contract Manufacturers, OEMs, or microwave circuitry
> designers, this message may be of interest to you.
>
> If you have a current or future need for Philips BGA2031/1 MMIC's, you
> should check out the deal at:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2574612712
>

93. Re: OT: Man gets "spam rage" over penis ad

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-11-22 13:45:56 UTC
From: Harry Krause <piedtypecase@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bpnpaq$1pp1j1$2@ID-21096.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 400
Cross-posted to: rec.boats,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

Renegade wrote:

> Saturday November 22, 04:46 AM
>
> Man gets "spam rage" over penis ad
> By Adam Tanner
>
>
> SAN FRANCISCO (Reuters) - Call it spam rage: A Silicon Valley computer
> programmer has been arrested for threatening to torture and kill employees
> of the company he blames for bombarding his computer with Web ads promising
> to enlarge his penis.

Snipped to get to the funny part:

> Mackay said such firms gave a bad name to the penis enhancement
> business.

--
Email sent to piedtypecase@yahoo.com is never read.

94. Re: OT: Man gets "spam rage" over penis ad

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-11-22 14:29:48 UTC
From: bb <blackies@work.com>
Message-ID: <9jsurvgnfv3t7nen4vvmn9kgmvs0j0js19@4ax.com>
Source record: 251
Cross-posted to: rec.boats,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 22 Nov 2003 08:45:56 -0500, Harry Krause
<piedtypecase@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Snipped to get to the funny part:
>
>> Mackay said such firms gave a bad name to the penis enhancement
>> business.

I still don't get what the big deal is all about. I've yet to be with
a woman who had any trouble enlarging my penis whenever she wanted to.

bb

95. Re: Man gets "spam rage" over penis ad

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-11-22 18:36:54 UTC
From: John Gaquin <john.gaquin@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <BpOdnWX0odHeMSKi4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 252
Cross-posted to: rec.boats,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

"Renegade" <ren190@cox.kom> wrote in message
news:j6Dvb.10204$kl6.6450@fed1read03...
> Saturday November 22, 04:46 AM
>
> Man gets "spam rage" over penis ad

This guy's mistake was opening his mouth. He should have simply tracked them down and launched his campaign. No violence, merely anonymous harassment.

96. Re: Man gets "spam rage" over penis ad

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-11-23 03:56:13 UTC
From: N8WWM@dickmysuck.com
Message-ID: <f92f4353.0311221956.6228d1c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 253
Cross-posted to: rec.boats,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

"John Gaquin" <john.gaquin@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<BpOdnWX0odHeMSKi4p2dnA@comcast.com>...
> "Renegade" <ren190@cox.kom> wrote in message
> news:j6Dvb.10204$kl6.6450@fed1read03...
> > Saturday November 22, 04:46 AM
> >
> > Man gets "spam rage" over penis ad
>
> This guy's mistake was opening his mouth. He should have simply tracked
> them down and launched his campaign. No violence, merely anonymous
> harassment.

thats almost as bad ragewise as stevie and cranus the anus get
bliss-wise when they see penises...

97. Re: Philips BGA2031/1 MMIC variable gain amps

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-11-23 17:43:20 UTC
From: Steve Ratzlaff <steveratz@eoni.com>
Message-ID: <3FC0F1B8.9D61E7E@eoni.com>
Source record: 254
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.design,sci.research.careers,sci.physics.relativity,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Make sure you read the data sheet first if you're thinking these might
make good general purpose amps! Those MMICs are only for the cellular
frequency range--minimum freq of 800MHz.
Steve AA7U

Pete KE9OA wrote:
>
> Aren't those dollar a pound surplus sales great?
>
> Pete
>
> Mike Adams <adams@ftdsb.com> wrote in message
> news:3FBEDF80.70109@ftdsb.com...
> > Distributors, Contract Manufacturers, OEMs, or microwave circuitry
> > designers, this message may be of interest to you.
> >
> > If you have a current or future need for Philips BGA2031/1 MMIC's, you
> > should check out the deal at:
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2574612712
> >

98. FS: Yaesu ATAS-120

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-03 00:49:28 UTC
From: 007 <nospam.but@thank.you.anyway>
Message-ID: <Bnazb.16133$Qc.13210@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 255
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio

http://www.eham.net/classifieds/detail/115811

99. FC-757 to FT-980 Cable

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-03 01:56:47 UTC
From: Topaz305RK <2smoore@nemontel.net>
Message-ID: <n4-dnQaAzMSS21Ci4p2dnA@vnet-inc.com>
Source record: 256
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Posting this for a non-newsgroup amateur

Wanted: Interface cable from Yaesu FC-757 tuner to Yaesu FT-980 transceiver

If you have one of these cables and would like to sell it please
contact
Paul - N0PL - at plinnel@nemontel.net

Thanks for the help

K7SAM

100. FS: Heil HM-10 Microphone

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-04 23:36:48 UTC
From: W4ADT <danielw4adt@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <dzPzb.9$R%4.0@fe10.private.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 257
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Heil HM-10 Microphone Excellent Condition

Picture available at www.dbgeneralstore.com

73 Daniel W4ADT

101. Re: Philips BGA2031/1 MMIC variable gain amps

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-05 08:59:40 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0OXzb.153001$Ec1.6033939@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 258
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.design,sci.research.careers,sci.physics.relativity,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

I've picked up a few of those kinds of things over the
years.....the imaginary terms are so large, that you can't
really tune many of them below a few hundred MHz.

Pete

Steve Ratzlaff <steveratz@eoni.com> wrote in message
news:3FC0F1B8.9D61E7E@eoni.com...
> Make sure you read the data sheet first if you're thinking these might
> make good general purpose amps! Those MMICs are only for the cellular
> frequency range--minimum freq of 800MHz.
> Steve AA7U
>
> Pete KE9OA wrote:
> >
> > Aren't those dollar a pound surplus sales great?
> >
> > Pete
> >
> > Mike Adams <adams@ftdsb.com> wrote in message
> > news:3FBEDF80.70109@ftdsb.com...
> > > Distributors, Contract Manufacturers, OEMs, or microwave circuitry
> > > designers, this message may be of interest to you.
> > >
> > > If you have a current or future need for Philips BGA2031/1 MMIC's, you
> > > should check out the deal at:
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2574612712
> > >

102. FA: Kenwood TK-840 5-25W UHF Radio (GMRS, BUSINESS, PUBLIC SAFETY, ETC)

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-07 21:14:18 UTC
From: Ivory Kid <ivorykid@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <HKMAb.33779$9O5.9062@fed1read06>
Source record: 259
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

FA: Kenwood TK-840 5-25W UHF Radio (GMRS, BUSINESS, PUBLIC SAFETY, ETC)

Brand New Condition.

Starting at 2:00 PM PST

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3064103819

103. FA: Yaesu VR-5000 Communications Receiver: Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-07 21:19:31 UTC
From: Ivory Kid <ivorykid@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zPMAb.33784$9O5.29673@fed1read06>
Source record: 260
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.rec.radio.shortwave,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

FA: Yaesu VR-5000 Communications Receiver in Excellent Condition.

Firmware Ver: 1u.13, JP:**** USA

Starting at 2:00 PM PST

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3064104121

104. FS:Heil HM-10 Microphone

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-13 12:02:02 UTC
From: W4ADT <danielw4adt@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <HeDCb.1145$WF.603@fe07.private.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 261
Cross-posted to: atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Excellent condition - $85.00 shipped

Email me for more details.

73 Daniel W4ADT

danielw4adt@alltel.net

For picture and description please click the link below

http://www.dbgeneralstore.com/electronics.htm

105. Re: A MESSAGE FROM THE TRUMAN

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-13 13:35:05 UTC
From: "David H. Lipman" <DLipman~nospam~@Verizon.Net>
Message-ID: <dAECb.1472$D66.1464@nwrdny03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 262
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.sport.hockey.field,soc.support,comp.mail.pegasus-mail.ms-windows,comp.music

```
Send full header messages to: abuse@wcom.com.au

Dave
```

106. Relm WHS450 UHF (450-480)MHZ RADIO NR For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-15 15:44:23 UTC
From: Compsimple <compsimple@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rFkDb.27355$Dt6.622835@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 263
Cross-posted to: in.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

For Sale no reserve. see ebay link below. This radio cost almost $500

and has never been used.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3065770970

107. FS: Moto, Pro-96, Kenwood HT & More..

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-20 17:19:34 UTC
From: Mitch <mkatz3@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Gw%Eb.103205$b01.2297045@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 264
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have a new in box Motorola M1225 LS 25-40Watt Mobile Unit,
MINT/UNPROGRAMMED. still with mic and clip, hardware, manual, and safe op
manuals. The split is 450-470 UHF. Never programmed. Photos upon request
Model # M44DGC95A2AA
asking $275.00

With whip rubber duckie, Kenwood rapid charger and 1 good battery.
I will sell for $90 shipped CONUS. Photo upon request

Also for sale, cleaning up:

I was given a new RS pro-96 for a present. We do not have digital
here. I am selling it since I already have a BC780XLT. The package
is complete from RS, box, manual, antenna, clip and regulated
external PS. I will sell the package for $475 shipped. POSTAL MO
only.

Also, 1 pair (2) Motorola 2 channel Radius P110 with 1 good battery (new one
costs $30) ,
antennas, 2 chargers.
Model #'s P44QLC20A2AA

Asking $220 for the set OBO

Photos of
all units available. Postal MO preferred. I do not use paypal. I will
consider COD if paid by buyer.

108. WANTED: Garmin GPS III +

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-21 02:51:48 UTC
From: Daniel W4ADT <danielw4adt@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <vX7Fb.2263$gD1.1560@fe01>
Source record: 265
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for a excellent condition Garmin GPS III + If you have one that you are not using, I would be interested in hearing from you. I do have a few items that I could offer up for trade + some cash if needed for the GPS III +.

They are as follows:

Heil HM-10 Microphone Excellent Condition
PK-232 MBX
A Cannon Digital Camera

Any of these items may be offered in full or partial trade for your GPS III +. If interested, please visit my website below.

73 Daniel W4ADT

Picture available at www.dbgeneralstore.com

109. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-21 04:15:24 UTC
From: Roland <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3FE51E5C.9010801@nospam.com>
Source record: 266
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Please let me enlighten some of you "youngsters" and some rather lazy ones I might add on what us "oldtimers" consider ham radio really is. Amateur Radio is truly changing ..... right. It truly started with a Mission Statement to serve the public in case of emergency. It's become a super CB'er gab medium "legally" allowing more distance and power.
1) Back in the mid 50's we had to travel to an FCC office and pay a few bucks to take a supervised exam both written and International Morse code. Under those non VEC conditions I received 4 full college college credits for my General Class license that to this day appears on my transcript from Univ of the State of NY.
2) Back then ALL us hams read thoroughly the ARRL Amateur Radio Handbook, some of us built our first receiver (usually super-regenerative) others purchased our receiver but definitely we all built our first transmitter from "junk" parts or purchased a kit.
3) In keeping with the Amateur Radio Mission Statement us "old timer real ham" ultimate dream was and still is today to possibly someday save some lives of public that may be in distress by monitoring one of the international distress frequencies almost daily.
4) For those of you interested try some of these links for ideas to set up a schedule.
http://www.tpub.com/content/istts/14225/css/14225_25.htm
Because of propagation skip I monitor at home here in Phoenix:
* 500 kHz -- International CW/MCW distress and calling (Because I do copy CW 60+wpm)
* 2182 kHz-- International voice distress, safety, and calling (Thanks to Ham radio I speak 6 languages fluently and 9 more broken enough to pass an urgent message)
* 8364 kHz-- International CW/MCW lifeboat, life raft, and survival craft (CW proficiency plus past USN enlistment)
* 121.5 MHz-- International voice aeronautical emergency (am licensed private pilot - single engine/land)
* 3023.5 and 5680 kHz-- International SAR frequencies for the use of all mobile units at the scene of a search. Also for use of shore stations communicating with aircraft proceeding to or from the scene of the search. CW and voice. (I am involved in Search & Rescue being member of volunteer branch of local Sheriffs Department and a private pilot)
5) In case some of you "modern VEC hams" aren't aware a signaling device capable of CW can be made from something as simple as a "back wired" cheap transistor broadcast receiver capable of signaling a monitoring "old-timer antiquated" ham like me to summon help thus saving lives. I have to date logged nineteen (19) actual distress calls since 1954 including 9 merchant ships, 4 military aircraft, 2 commercial

pleasure cruisers, one 52 ft pleasure sailboat in S Pacific, and 3 lost private aircraft. Most have been "CW" and only two were AM voice. Lets hope that if you happen to be among survivors of a disaster there is a "real old time ham" available that can from the most simplest "junk" signal for help to another "real old time ham antiquated" just in case your $3000 SSB transceiver is damaged beyond toast and unusable. (hihi) It never ceases to amaze me that you die hards seem to think that the only thing not damaged in a distress situation will be your modern technology transceiver (of which I have three).
6) Lastly: Believe me I have spent my share of years "ragchewing" SSB, SSTV, ATV, AM, packet (both landbased and with space shuttle), but mainly daily my specialty is mucho, mucho CW. Man I do love it!!!

Summary: Well, if you have read this thread this far you must know that I know my xyl and I are excessive because we can signal with a flash lights, automobile horn, and even with semaphore, etc. Repeating Amateur Radio's Mission Statement deals first with the commitment to aid the public in case of emergency and secondly with self pleasure. I personally don't feel a person should be able to call themselves a "Amateur Radio Ham" if they can't from the simplest means be able communicate with others in an emergency situation to offer service to the public. A lot can be done with just 5wpm CW by signaling with horns, lights or a simple "dirty" (spurious) transmitter. We recently had a ham with a flash light AND a mirror that did not know simplest CW go as far as setting an entire forest on fire destroying property and homes to signal a helicopter for help. Dumb!!!!

No, my friend CW will never be "toast", thank god for the lives that have been saved in the past and will be in the far future centuries to come and forever. You and others like you definitely won't be a part of it though ....unless you change your attitude. Nothing will ever replace it because it is so basic and simple!! Unfortunately many hundreds or even thousands have been lost because of not knowing this simplest means of communications .... International Morse Code. Some old timer friends and I have been working on a transmitter technique using natural surrounding minerals using damp tree branches for an antenna and without using batteries, tubes, or transistors, that generate legible spurious noise heard by any sensitive receiver for signally .... that is by CW, of course, sorry no USB/USB or AM!! (HIHI)

Roland S Geter PhD - WB6LNA ex W2RPQ since 1954
Madell M Geter RN - KD6QCH xyl since 1959
roland@geter.org

Jim McDermott wrote:

> Hamguy?!?! Who are YOU kidding?
>
> Let's see....we all had to mumble jibberish, before we talked. Learned to
> suck before we could eat. Learned to crawl before we could walk.
>
> What was your point?

>
> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:zDUCb.11793$JW3.1413@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>
>>Just because my Great Grandfather had to 'crank start' his car, we should
>>ALL have to learn how to do it prior to driving. And his wife had to use a
>>'crank style' telephone, therefore we ALL should have to master that
>>technology PRIOR to using a cell-phone just because they did.
>>
> Additionally,
>
>>we should ALL have to learn the computer language known as 'Basic' or
>>'Fortran' PRIOR to using a PC because I and so many others had to learn
>>
> it.
>
>>And EVERYONE should be required to learn an antiquated mode known as CW
>>before they get on HF because some 18th century guy invented it. Either
>>catch-up with the times, or get the HELL out of the way! Like it or not,
>>CW is TOAST!
>>
>>
>>
>
>

110. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-21 13:48:04 UTC
From: Tony <Tony@mail.com>
Message-ID: <vu8buvo2hisq2e9sg89reb1g9vinsogcsu@4ax.com>
Source record: 267
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I want to be a doctor but why should I have to take those tests for.
Lets get rid of thoses tests.

The Man said it all only those cry babes that are to lazy to learn
something new. Get a Life If you dont like learning code then go to
the Internet or CB.....

On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 04:15:24 +0000, Roland <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

>Please let me enlighten some of you "youngsters" and some rather lazy
>ones I might add on what us "oldtimers" consider ham radio really is.
>Amateur Radio is truly changing ..... right. It truly started with
>a Mission Statement to serve the public in case of emergency. It's
>become a super CB'er gab medium "legally" allowing more distance and power.
>1) Back in the mid 50's we had to travel to an FCC office and pay a few
>bucks to take a supervised exam both written and International Morse
>code. Under those non VEC conditions I received 4 full college college
>credits for my General Class license that to this day appears on my
>transcript from Univ of the State of NY.
>2) Back then ALL us hams read thoroughly the ARRL Amateur Radio
>Handbook, some of us built our first receiver (usually
>super-regenerative) others purchased our receiver but definitely we all
>built our first transmitter from "junk" parts or purchased a kit.
>3) In keeping with the Amateur Radio Mission Statement us "old timer
>real ham" ultimate dream was and still is today to possibly someday save
>some lives of public that may be in distress by monitoring one of the
>international distress frequencies almost daily.
>4) For those of you interested try some of these links for ideas to set
>up a schedule.
>http://www.tpub.com/content/istts/14225/css/14225_25.htm
>Because of propagation skip I monitor at home here in Phoenix:
>* 500 kHz -- International CW/MCW distress and calling (Because I do copy
>CW 60+wpm)
>* 2182 kHz-- International voice distress, safety, and calling (Thanks to
>Ham radio I speak 6 languages fluently and 9 more broken enough to pass
>an urgent message)
>* 8364 kHz-- International CW/MCW lifeboat, life raft, and survival craft
>(CW proficiency plus past USN enlistment)
>* 121.5 MHz-- International voice aeronautical emergency (am licensed
>private pilot - single engine/land)
>* 3023.5 and 5680 kHz-- International SAR frequencies for the use of all
>mobile units at the scene of a search. Also for use of shore stations
>communicating with aircraft proceeding to or from the scene of the

>search. CW and voice. (I am involved in Search & Rescue being member of
>volunteer branch of local Sheriffs Department and a private pilot)
>5) In case some of you "modern VEC hams" aren't aware a signaling
>device capable of CW can be made from something as simple as a "back
>wired" cheap transistor broadcast receiver capable of signaling a
>monitoring "old-timer antiquated" ham like me to summon help thus saving
>lives. I have to date logged nineteen (19) actual distress calls since
>1954 including 9 merchant ships, 4 military aircraft, 2 commercial
>pleasure cruisers, one 52 ft pleasure sailboat in S Pacific, and 3 lost
>private aircraft. Most have been "CW" and only two were AM voice. Lets
>hope that if you happen to be among survivors of a disaster there is a
>"real old time ham" available that can from the most simplest "junk"
>signal for help to another "real old time ham antiquated" just in case
>your $3000 SSB transceiver is damaged beyond toast and unusable. (hihi)
>It never ceases to amaze me that you die hards seem to think that the
>only thing not damaged in a distress situation will be your modern
>technology transceiver (of which I have three).
>6) Lastly: Believe me I have spent my share of years "ragchewing" SSB,
>SSTV, ATV, AM, packet (both landbased and with space shuttle), but
>mainly daily my specialty is mucho, mucho CW. Man I do love it!!!
>
>Summary: Well, if you have read this thread this far you must know that
> I know my xyl and I are excessive because we can signal with a flash
>lights, automobile horn, and even with semaphore, etc. Repeating
>Amateur Radio's Mission Statement deals first with the commitment to aid
>the public in case of emergency and secondly with self pleasure. I
>personally don't feel a person should be able to call themselves a
>"Amateur Radio Ham" if they can't from the simplest means be able
>communicate with others in an emergency situation to offer service to
>the public. A lot can be done with just 5wpm CW by signaling with
>horns, lights or a simple "dirty" (spurious) transmitter. We recently
>had a ham with a flash light AND a mirror that did not know simplest CW
>go as far as setting an entire forest on fire destroying property and
>homes to signal a helicopter for help. Dumb!!!!
>
>No, my friend CW will never be "toast", thank god for the lives that
>have been saved in the past and will be in the far future centuries to
>come and forever. You and others like you definitely won't be a part of
>it though ....unless you change your attitude. Nothing will ever
>replace it because it is so basic and simple!! Unfortunately many
>hundreds or even thousands have been lost because of not knowing this
>simplest means of communications .... International Morse Code. Some
>old timer friends and I have been working on a transmitter technique
>using natural surrounding minerals using damp tree branches for an
>antenna and without using batteries, tubes, or transistors, that
>generate legible spurious noise heard by any sensitive receiver for
>signally .... that is by CW, of course, sorry no USB/USB or AM!! (HIHI)
>
>Roland S Geter PhD - WB6LNA ex W2RPQ since 1954
>Madell M Geter RN - KD6QCH xyl since 1959

>roland@geter.org
>
>
>Jim McDermott wrote:
>
>> Hamguy?!?! Who are YOU kidding?
>>
>> Let's see....we all had to mumble jibberish, before we talked. Learned to
>> suck before we could eat. Learned to crawl before we could walk.
>>
>> What was your point?
>>
>> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>> news:zDUCb.11793$JW3.1413@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>>
>>>Just because my Great Grandfather had to 'crank start' his car, we should
>>>ALL have to learn how to do it prior to driving. And his wife had to use a
>>>'crank style' telephone, therefore we ALL should have to master that
>>>technology PRIOR to using a cell-phone just because they did.
>>>
>> Additionally,
>>
>>>we should ALL have to learn the computer language known as 'Basic' or
>>>'Fortran' PRIOR to using a PC because I and so many others had to learn
>>>
>> it.
>>
>>>And EVERYONE should be required to learn an antiquated mode known as CW
>>>before they get on HF because some 18th century guy invented it. Either
>>>catch-up with the times, or get the HELL out of the way! Like it or not,
>>>CW is TOAST!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>

111. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-21 15:36:28 UTC
From: Clint <"rattlehead at computron dot net">
Message-ID: <vubffugvb7mpb7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 268
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Tony" <Tony@mail.com> wrote in message
news:vu8buvo2hisq2e9sg89reb1g9vinsogcsu@4ax.com...
>
> I want to be a doctor but why should I have to take those tests for.
> Lets get rid of thoses tests.
>
> The Man said it all only those cry babes that are to lazy to learn
> something new. Get a Life If you dont like learning code then go to
> the Internet or CB.....
>

Incorrect analogy.

Here is an appropriate one; if I want to become a doctor in society
TODAY, as in, right now, the time that I live in, what's the point in
takeing classes, courses and earning degrees in alchemy and astrology
and waisting time learning about the black arts, potions, witch's spells
and/or voodoo? They're out of date.... there are new more effective
and applicable methods to get the job done.

Would you call a person with a PhD in brain surgery "lazy" if he
didn't want to learn how to brew bat wings in the blood of a newborn
pig under a full moon in order to get rid of headaches?

Thank you for playing, goodbye.

Clint

112. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-21 19:39:13 UTC
From: Me <justme@home.com>
Message-ID: <4ftbuv8nq6cim8ddfdlei5d77t9cpim8iv@4ax.com>
Source record: 269
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

While I do tend to agree that cw is mostly an older form of
communications and agree with Roland (btw, well said Roland) I would
like to ask the "old timers" as to how many of you have recently,
within the last 3 years, have actually taken someone aside and offered
to try and teach them cw??? I have been slowly trying to learn cw but
have found that locally VERY few people actually willing to try and
teach cw to anyone. It seems odd to me that so many people are so
willing to complain that no one wishes to learn cw when in fact I
belive its the other side of the coin in that so many are unwilling to
teach it to younger people. Thanks for your time, 73, Billy
KE4PJR

On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 13:48:04 GMT, Tony <Tony@mail.com> wrote:

>
>I want to be a doctor but why should I have to take those tests for.
>Lets get rid of thoses tests.
>
>The Man said it all only those cry babes that are to lazy to learn
>something new. Get a Life If you dont like learning code then go to
>the Internet or CB.....
>
>
>
>On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 04:15:24 +0000, Roland <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>>Please let me enlighten some of you "youngsters" and some rather lazy
>>ones I might add on what us "oldtimers" consider ham radio really is.
>>Amateur Radio is truly changing ..... right. It truly started with
>>a Mission Statement to serve the public in case of emergency. It's
>>become a super CB'er gab medium "legally" allowing more distance and power.
>>1) Back in the mid 50's we had to travel to an FCC office and pay a few
>>bucks to take a supervised exam both written and International Morse
>>code. Under those non VEC conditions I received 4 full college college
>>credits for my General Class license that to this day appears on my
>>transcript from Univ of the State of NY.
>>2) Back then ALL us hams read thoroughly the ARRL Amateur Radio
>>Handbook, some of us built our first receiver (usually
>>super-regenerative) others purchased our receiver but definitely we all
>>built our first transmitter from "junk" parts or purchased a kit.
>>3) In keeping with the Amateur Radio Mission Statement us "old timer
>>real ham" ultimate dream was and still is today to possibly someday save
>>some lives of public that may be in distress by monitoring one of the

>>international distress frequencies almost daily.
>>4) For those of you interested try some of these links for ideas to set
>>up a schedule.
>>http://www.tpub.com/content/istts/14225/css/14225_25.htm
>>Because of propagation skip I monitor at home here in Phoenix:
>>* 500 kHz -- International CW/MCW distress and calling (Because I do copy
>>CW 60+wpm)
>>* 2182 kHz-- International voice distress, safety, and calling (Thanks to
>>Ham radio I speak 6 languages fluently and 9 more broken enough to pass
>>an urgent message)
>>* 8364 kHz-- International CW/MCW lifeboat, life raft, and survival craft
>>(CW proficiency plus past USN enlistment)
>>* 121.5 MHz-- International voice aeronautical emergency (am licensed
>>private pilot - single engine/land)
>>* 3023.5 and 5680 kHz-- International SAR frequencies for the use of all
>>mobile units at the scene of a search. Also for use of shore stations
>>communicating with aircraft proceeding to or from the scene of the
>>search. CW and voice. (I am involved in Search & Rescue being member of
>>volunteer branch of local Sheriffs Department and a private pilot)
>>5) In case some of you "modern VEC hams" aren't aware a signaling
>>device capable of CW can be made from something as simple as a "back
>>wired" cheap transistor broadcast receiver capable of signaling a
>>monitoring "old-timer antiquated" ham like me to summon help thus saving
>>lives. I have to date logged nineteen (19) actual distress calls since
>>1954 including 9 merchant ships, 4 military aircraft, 2 commercial
>>pleasure cruisers, one 52 ft pleasure sailboat in S Pacific, and 3 lost
>>private aircraft. Most have been "CW" and only two were AM voice. Lets
>>hope that if you happen to be among survivors of a disaster there is a
>>"real old time ham" available that can from the most simplest "junk"
>>signal for help to another "real old time ham antiquated" just in case
>>your $3000 SSB transceiver is damaged beyond toast and unusable. (hihi)
>>It never ceases to amaze me that you die hards seem to think that the
>>only thing not damaged in a distress situation will be your modern
>>technology transceiver (of which I have three).
>>6) Lastly: Believe me I have spent my share of years "ragchewing" SSB,
>>SSTV, ATV, AM, packet (both landbased and with space shuttle), but
>>mainly daily my specialty is mucho, mucho CW. Man I do love it!!!
>>
>>Summary: Well, if you have read this thread this far you must know that
>> I know my xyl and I are excessive because we can signal with a flash
>>lights, automobile horn, and even with semaphore, etc. Repeating
>>Amateur Radio's Mission Statement deals first with the commitment to aid
>>the public in case of emergency and secondly with self pleasure. I
>>personally don't feel a person should be able to call themselves a
>>"Amateur Radio Ham" if they can't from the simplest means be able
>>communicate with others in an emergency situation to offer service to
>>the public. A lot can be done with just 5wpm CW by signaling with
>>horns, lights or a simple "dirty" (spurious) transmitter. We recently
>>had a ham with a flash light AND a mirror that did not know simplest CW
>>go as far as setting an entire forest on fire destroying property and

>>homes to signal a helicopter for help. Dumb!!!!
>>
>>No, my friend CW will never be "toast", thank god for the lives that
>>have been saved in the past and will be in the far future centuries to
>>come and forever. You and others like you definitely won't be a part of
>>it though ....unless you change your attitude. Nothing will ever
>>replace it because it is so basic and simple!! Unfortunately many
>>hundreds or even thousands have been lost because of not knowing this
>>simplest means of communications .... International Morse Code. Some
>>old timer friends and I have been working on a transmitter technique
>>using natural surrounding minerals using damp tree branches for an
>>antenna and without using batteries, tubes, or transistors, that
>>generate legible spurious noise heard by any sensitive receiver for
>>signally .... that is by CW, of course, sorry no USB/USB or AM!! (HIHI)
>>
>>Roland S Geter PhD - WB6LNA ex W2RPQ since 1954
>>Madell M Geter RN - KD6QCH xyl since 1959
>>roland@geter.org
>>
>>
>>Jim McDermott wrote:
>>
>>> Hamguy?!?! Who are YOU kidding?
>>>
>>> Let's see....we all had to mumble jibberish, before we talked. Learned to
>>> suck before we could eat. Learned to crawl before we could walk.
>>>
>>> What was your point?
>>>
>>> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>>> news:zDUCb.11793$JW3.1413@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>>>
>>>>Just because my Great Grandfather had to 'crank start' his car, we should
>>>>ALL have to learn how to do it prior to driving. And his wife had to use a
>>>>'crank style' telephone, therefore we ALL should have to master that
>>>>technology PRIOR to using a cell-phone just because they did.
>>>>
>>> Additionally,
>>>
>>>>we should ALL have to learn the computer language known as 'Basic' or
>>>>'Fortran' PRIOR to using a PC because I and so many others had to learn
>>>>
>>> it.
>>>
>>>>And EVERYONE should be required to learn an antiquated mode known as CW
>>>>before they get on HF because some 18th century guy invented it. Either
>>>>catch-up with the times, or get the HELL out of the way! Like it or not,
>>>>CW is TOAST!
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>
>>>

113. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-21 20:15:22 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <vubvqvc9p0i761@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 270
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Me wrote:

> I would
> like to ask the "old timers" as to how many of you have recently,
> within the last 3 years, have actually taken someone aside and offered
> to try and teach them cw???

Perhaps a better question would be: "How many of you old timers have had people express an interest in ham radio and asked for help in learning CW".

Answer: Several for the first part, zero for the second part.

--
Bill W6WRT

114. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-22 00:54:03 UTC
From: Roland <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3FE640AB.3020600@nospam.com>
Source record: 272
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hi Billy,

Thanks for answering with your very intelligent response. Although I am 60+wpm I was not naturally that speed at birth. Here is the very simple secret to learn CW and is taught to USN Radio operators with amazing 99.9% results. I was already a ham for 5 yrs with I joined the USN in 1959 but I saw guys & gals from tractors on farms to large urban cities learn CW with great ease and fun.

Yes, Billy, I have directly taught scores of boys/girls men/women CW with the following method:
1) CW is rythmn!!!!!! Like music with a metronome!!!! CW was taught in the USN with music playing in the background at a "medium" speed.
2) Every student Radioman had an old fashioned "straight key" connected to a code oscillator and then learned to SEND CW starting with the letter A, B, C, etc. They learned the structure of letters by sending them thus "automatically" learning to RECEIVE CW. Great, huh?
3) So, forget code tapes and code broadcasts until you learn the structure of the characters again by sending plain text (newspaper, magazines, etc) to yourself at the rythmn of music or just patting your foot!!!
4) If you want some help getting started I can do it by telephone to start you with the rythmn needed. Simply email me with your telephone number at address below.
Roland - WB6LNA
roland@geter.org
480-991-7300 anytime 24/7

Me wrote:

> While I do tend to agree that cw is mostly an older form of
> communications and agree with Roland (btw, well said Roland) I would
> like to ask the "old timers" as to how many of you have recently,
> within the last 3 years, have actually taken someone aside and offered
> to try and teach them cw??? I have been slowly trying to learn cw but
> have found that locally VERY few people actually willing to try and
> teach cw to anyone. It seems odd to me that so many people are so
> willing to complain that no one wishes to learn cw when in fact I
> belive its the other side of the coin in that so many are unwilling to
> teach it to younger people. Thanks for your time, 73, Billy
> KE4PJR
>
>
>

> On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 13:48:04 GMT, Tony <Tony@mail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>I want to be a doctor but why should I have to take those tests for.
>>Lets get rid of thoses tests.
>>
>>The Man said it all only those cry babes that are to lazy to learn
>>something new. Get a Life If you dont like learning code then go to
>>the Internet or CB.....
>>
>>
>>
>>On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 04:15:24 +0000, Roland <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Please let me enlighten some of you "youngsters" and some rather lazy
>>>ones I might add on what us "oldtimers" consider ham radio really is.
>>>Amateur Radio is truly changing ..... right. It truly started with
>>>a Mission Statement to serve the public in case of emergency. It's
>>>become a super CB'er gab medium "legally" allowing more distance and power.
>>>1) Back in the mid 50's we had to travel to an FCC office and pay a few
>>>bucks to take a supervised exam both written and International Morse
>>>code. Under those non VEC conditions I received 4 full college college
>>>credits for my General Class license that to this day appears on my
>>>transcript from Univ of the State of NY.
>>>2) Back then ALL us hams read thoroughly the ARRL Amateur Radio
>>>Handbook, some of us built our first receiver (usually
>>>super-regenerative) others purchased our receiver but definitely we all
>>>built our first transmitter from "junk" parts or purchased a kit.
>>>3) In keeping with the Amateur Radio Mission Statement us "old timer
>>>real ham" ultimate dream was and still is today to possibly someday save
>>>some lives of public that may be in distress by monitoring one of the
>>>international distress frequencies almost daily.
>>>4) For those of you interested try some of these links for ideas to set
>>>up a schedule.
>>>http://www.tpub.com/content/istts/14225/css/14225_25.htm
>>>Because of propagation skip I monitor at home here in Phoenix:
>>>* 500 kHz -- International CW/MCW distress and calling (Because I do copy
>>>CW 60+wpm)
>>>* 2182 kHz-- International voice distress, safety, and calling (Thanks to
>>>Ham radio I speak 6 languages fluently and 9 more broken enough to pass
>>>an urgent message)
>>>* 8364 kHz-- International CW/MCW lifeboat, life raft, and survival craft
>>>(CW proficiency plus past USN enlistment)
>>>* 121.5 MHz-- International voice aeronautical emergency (am licensed
>>>private pilot - single engine/land)
>>>* 3023.5 and 5680 kHz-- International SAR frequencies for the use of all
>>>mobile units at the scene of a search. Also for use of shore stations
>>>communicating with aircraft proceeding to or from the scene of the
>>>search. CW and voice. (I am involved in Search & Rescue being member of

>>>volunteer branch of local Sheriffs Department and a private pilot)
>>>5) In case some of you "modern VEC hams" aren't aware a signaling
>>>device capable of CW can be made from something as simple as a "back
>>>wired" cheap transistor broadcast receiver capable of signaling a
>>>monitoring "old-timer antiquated" ham like me to summon help thus saving
>>>lives. I have to date logged nineteen (19) actual distress calls since
>>>1954 including 9 merchant ships, 4 military aircraft, 2 commercial
>>>pleasure cruisers, one 52 ft pleasure sailboat in S Pacific, and 3 lost
>>>private aircraft. Most have been "CW" and only two were AM voice. Lets
>>>hope that if you happen to be among survivors of a disaster there is a
>>>"real old time ham" available that can from the most simplest "junk"
>>>signal for help to another "real old time ham antiquated" just in case
>>>your $3000 SSB transceiver is damaged beyond toast and unusable. (hihi)
>>>It never ceases to amaze me that you die hards seem to think that the
>>>only thing not damaged in a distress situation will be your modern
>>>technology transceiver (of which I have three).
>>>6) Lastly: Believe me I have spent my share of years "ragchewing" SSB,
>>>SSTV, ATV, AM, packet (both landbased and with space shuttle), but
>>>mainly daily my specialty is mucho, mucho CW. Man I do love it!!!
>>>
>>>Summary: Well, if you have read this thread this far you must know that
>>> I know my xyl and I are excessive because we can signal with a flash
>>>lights, automobile horn, and even with semaphore, etc. Repeating
>>>Amateur Radio's Mission Statement deals first with the commitment to aid
>>>the public in case of emergency and secondly with self pleasure. I
>>>personally don't feel a person should be able to call themselves a
>>>"Amateur Radio Ham" if they can't from the simplest means be able
>>>communicate with others in an emergency situation to offer service to
>>>the public. A lot can be done with just 5wpm CW by signaling with
>>>horns, lights or a simple "dirty" (spurious) transmitter. We recently
>>>had a ham with a flash light AND a mirror that did not know simplest CW
>>>go as far as setting an entire forest on fire destroying property and
>>>homes to signal a helicopter for help. Dumb!!!!
>>>
>>>No, my friend CW will never be "toast", thank god for the lives that
>>>have been saved in the past and will be in the far future centuries to
>>>come and forever. You and others like you definitely won't be a part of
>>>it though ....unless you change your attitude. Nothing will ever
>>>replace it because it is so basic and simple!! Unfortunately many
>>>hundreds or even thousands have been lost because of not knowing this
>>>simplest means of communications .... International Morse Code. Some
>>>old timer friends and I have been working on a transmitter technique
>>>using natural surrounding minerals using damp tree branches for an
>>>antenna and without using batteries, tubes, or transistors, that
>>>generate legible spurious noise heard by any sensitive receiver for
>>>signally .... that is by CW, of course, sorry no USB/USB or AM!! (HIHI)
>>>
>>>Roland S Geter PhD - WB6LNA ex W2RPQ since 1954
>>>Madell M Geter RN - KD6QCH xyl since 1959
>>>roland@geter.org

>>>
>>>
>>>Jim McDermott wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hamguy?!?! Who are YOU kidding?
>>>>
>>>>Let's see....we all had to mumble jibberish, before we talked. Learned to
>>>>suck before we could eat. Learned to crawl before we could walk.
>>>>
>>>>What was your point?
>>>>
>>>>"Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:zDUCb.11793$JW3.1413@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Just because my Great Grandfather had to 'crank start' his car, we should
>>>>>ALL have to learn how to do it prior to driving. And his wife had to use a
>>>>>'crank style' telephone, therefore we ALL should have to master that
>>>>>technology PRIOR to using a cell-phone just because they did.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>Additionally,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>we should ALL have to learn the computer language known as 'Basic' or
>>>>>'Fortran' PRIOR to using a PC because I and so many others had to learn
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>And EVERYONE should be required to learn an antiquated mode known as CW
>>>>>before they get on HF because some 18th century guy invented it. Either
>>>>>catch-up with the times, or get the HELL out of the way! Like it or not,
>>>>>CW is TOAST!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>

115. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-22 01:33:33 UTC
From: Tony Hwang <dragon40@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <NRrFb.773577$pl3.261597@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 271
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hi,
Wrong! Nothing goes out of date. We learn and build from old.
I am still using CW regularly.
I am on other modes as well but not as much interested as CW.
I see still people riding horse drawn buggy and climb mountains on foot.
I still see people writing letters instead of emails using fountain pen.
Still people paint pictures instead of snapping with digital camera.
I dont see anything wrong with that.
Let it be and let everyone do/enjoy whatever s/he likes.
Season's greetings, 73.
Tony, VE6CGX
P.S. How about guys who do not know how to drive manual?
Are they real drivers? They should give driver test
using stick shift.

Bill Turner wrote:
> On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 09:36:28 -0600, "Clint" <rattlehead at computron dot
> net> wrote:
>
>
>>Incorrect analogy.
>>
>>Here is an appropriate one; if I want to become a doctor in society
>>TODAY, as in, right now, the time that I live in, what's the point in
>>takeing classes, courses and earning degrees in alchemy and astrology
>>and waisting time learning about the black arts, potions, witch's spells
>>and/or voodoo? They're out of date.... there are new more effective
>>and applicable methods to get the job done.
>>
>>Would you call a person with a PhD in brain surgery "lazy" if he
>>didn't want to learn how to brew bat wings in the blood of a newborn
>>pig under a full moon in order to get rid of headaches?
>>
>>Thank you for playing, goodbye.
>>
>>Clint
>
>
> ____________________
>
> I hereby nominate the above for post of the month. Maybe the year.
>

116. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-22 18:20:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <cCGFb.9348$wL6.3384@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 273
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Bill Turner" <noway@nohow.com> wrote in message
news:1lnduv8vjlvaq8jurkfmmj7lc14nmu9eqi@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 22 Dec 2003 00:54:03 +0000, Roland <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>
> >1) CW is rythmn!!!!!! Like music with a metronome!!!! CW was taught
> >in the USN with music playing in the background at a "medium" speed.
> >2) Every student Radioman had an old fashioned "straight key" connected
> >to a code oscillator and then learned to SEND CW starting with the
> >letter A, B, C, etc. They learned the structure of letters by sending
> >them thus "automatically" learning to RECEIVE CW. Great, huh?
> >3) So, forget code tapes and code broadcasts until you learn the
> >structure of the characters again by sending plain text (newspaper,
> >magazines, etc) to yourself at the rythmn of music or just patting your
> >foot!!!
>
> _____________________
>
> This sounds like an excellent method. I know if I were a beginner it
> would work best for me. I wonder why it isn't used more?
>
> --
> Bill W6WRT
>

Because when the FCC, in their infinite wisdom, decided not to require a sending test any longer. Everyone stopped bothering.

That is how I learned CW, back in 61. It worked for me. I now do 50 easy. And have done so for years.

Roland is right on target. And BTW Roland I have saved your comments. Glad to meet a real ham.

Dan/W4NTI

117. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-23 03:27:36 UTC
From: Tony Hwang <dragon40@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ICOFb.785712$pl3.750800@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 274
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hi,
I am a life time tuba player, hi.
There are many musician CW ops.
Season's greetings.
Tony, VE6CGX

Bill Turner wrote:
> On Mon, 22 Dec 2003 00:54:03 +0000, Roland <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>
>>1) CW is rythmn!!!!!! Like music with a metronome!!!! CW was taught
>>in the USN with music playing in the background at a "medium" speed.
>>2) Every student Radioman had an old fashioned "straight key" connected
>>to a code oscillator and then learned to SEND CW starting with the
>>letter A, B, C, etc. They learned the structure of letters by sending
>>them thus "automatically" learning to RECEIVE CW. Great, huh?
>>3) So, forget code tapes and code broadcasts until you learn the
>>structure of the characters again by sending plain text (newspaper,
>>magazines, etc) to yourself at the rythmn of music or just patting your
>>foot!!!
>
>
> ____________________
>
> This sounds like an excellent method. I know if I were a beginner it
> would work best for me. I wonder why it isn't used more?
>

118. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-23 04:46:24 UTC
From: Dean Arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Message-ID: <3FE7C8A0.9BBED8BE@silverstar.com>
Source record: 275
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Tony Hwang wrote:
>
> Hi,
> Wrong! Nothing goes out of date. We learn and build from old.

> I still see people writing letters instead of emails using fountain pen.

> > _____________________
> >
> > I hereby nominate the above for post of the month. Maybe the year.
> >

How does one do [...emails using fountain pen.]?

119. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-25 14:20:10 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <bsg5oa$ct04c$1@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 283
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Roland" <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3FE51E5C.9010801@nospam.com...
> Please let me enlighten some of you "youngsters" and some rather lazy
> ones I might add on what us "oldtimers" consider ham radio really is.
> Amateur Radio is truly changing .... right. It truly started with
> a Mission Statement to serve the public in case of emergency. It's
> become a super CB'er gab medium "legally" allowing more distance and
power.

And we still are capable of performing emergency communications regardless
of your downplaying a hobby that you participate in like a self-hating ham.
Many of us train regularly with ARES/RACES groups and are ready when called.

> 1) Back in the mid 50's we had to travel to an FCC office and pay a few
> bucks to take a supervised exam both written and International Morse
> code. Under those non VEC conditions I received 4 full college college
> credits for my General Class license that to this day appears on my
> transcript from Univ of the State of NY.

Some universities might still do that. As far as the FCC issue, thank god
they did that..... less government intervention in my life is better. Only
a liberal democrat would wish it go back to the old scheme of testing.

> 2) Back then ALL us hams read thoroughly the ARRL Amateur Radio
> Handbook, some of us built our first receiver (usually
> super-regenerative) others purchased our receiver but definitely we all
> built our first transmitter from "junk" parts or purchased a kit.

Guess what.... some of us still do today! Generalizing the whole hobby by
experiences with a selected group does nothing for the growth of the hobby.

> 3) In keeping with the Amateur Radio Mission Statement us "old timer
> real ham" ultimate dream was and still is today to possibly someday save
> some lives of public that may be in distress by monitoring one of the
> international distress frequencies almost daily.

And you were living in a delusional world if you think you were/are that
self-important but training in message handling and communications is
important if that ever does happen. Personally speaking, I also monitor
quite a few frequencies as well.

Get with the times man, instead of dwelling on the past.

120. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-25 17:34:29 UTC
From: Albert Dente <sabbatai_zvi@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tp7muvo612vknp6v326u3vp1l247c0dcv3@4ax.com>
Source record: 276
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 09:36:28 -0600, "Clint" <rattlehead at computron
dot net> wrote:

>"Tony" <Tony@mail.com> wrote in message
>news:vu8buvo2hisq2e9sg89reb1g9vinsogcsu@4ax.com...
>>
>> I want to be a doctor but why should I have to take those tests for.
>> Lets get rid of thoses tests.
>>
>> The Man said it all only those cry babes that are to lazy to learn
>> something new. Get a Life If you dont like learning code then go to
>> the Internet or CB..........
>>
>
>Incorrect analogy.
>
>Here is an appropriate one; if I want to become a doctor in society
>TODAY, as in, right now, the time that I live in, what's the point in
>takeing classes, courses and earning degrees in alchemy and astrology
>and waisting time learning about the black arts, potions, witch's spells
>and/or voodoo? They're out of date.... there are new more effective
>and applicable methods to get the job done.
>
>Would you call a person with a PhD in brain surgery "lazy" if he
>didn't want to learn how to brew bat wings in the blood of a newborn
>pig under a full moon in order to get rid of headaches?
>
>Thank you for playing, goodbye.
>
>Clint

Exactly Clint. You have in fact SUPPORTED his point.

You should stay with the internet and FORGET amateur radio.

It's far too "dull and old fashioned" for a trendy "modern"
guy like you!

Stay off the air and we will ALL be happier, including you!

Can you guys talk to him some more HERE please, so
he will be too pre-occupied to get on the radio?

Thanks!

121. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-25 17:35:14 UTC
From: Albert Dente <sabbatai_zvi@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gt7muvouabpugd965n0go7h7lgnoemecla@4ax.com>
Source record: 277
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 12:34:55 -0800, Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
wrote:

>On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 09:36:28 -0600, "Clint" <rattlehead at computron dot
>net> wrote:
>
>>Incorrect analogy.
>>
>>Here is an appropriate one; if I want to become a doctor in society
>>TODAY, as in, right now, the time that I live in, what's the point in
>>takeing classes, courses and earning degrees in alchemy and astrology
>>and waisting time learning about the black arts, potions, witch's spells
>>and/or voodoo? They're out of date.... there are new more effective
>>and applicable methods to get the job done.
>>
>>Would you call a person with a PhD in brain surgery "lazy" if he
>>didn't want to learn how to brew bat wings in the blood of a newborn
>>pig under a full moon in order to get rid of headaches?
>>
>>Thank you for playing, goodbye.
>>
>>Clint
>
>_____________________
>
>I hereby nominate the above for post of the month. Maybe the year.

I hereby noimnate the above for "Mindless Fawning of the Year."

No!

Of the 45 years!

LOL

122. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-25 17:36:08 UTC
From: Albert Dente <sabbatai_zvi@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0v7muv4e105bti4mlfuor1bm6668rpndut@4ax.com>
Source record: 278
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 22 Dec 2003 01:33:33 GMT, Tony Hwang <dragon40@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Hi,
>Wrong! Nothing goes out of date. We learn and build from old.
>I am still using CW regularly.
>I am on other modes as well but not as much interested as CW.
>I see still people riding horse drawn buggy and climb mountains on foot.
>I still see people writing letters instead of emails using fountain pen.
>Still people paint pictures instead of snapping with digital camera.
>I dont see anything wrong with that.
>Let it be and let everyone do/enjoy whatever s/he likes.
>Season's greetings, 73.
>Tony, VE6CGX
>P.S. How about guys who do not know how to drive manual?
> Are they real drivers? They should give driver test
> using stick shift.

Now Tony - you KNOW that Bill and his little buddy can't STAND any of
that WALKING!

Why would you do THAT when we have cars?

123. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-25 17:38:00 UTC
From: Trippy-Dippy <trippy@hotmail.net>
Message-ID: <j18muvgg9d1dm1euru24knl9o9fqqadg4b@4ax.com>
Source record: 279
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 13:39:13 -0600, Me <justme@home.com> wrote:

>While I do tend to agree that cw is mostly an older form of
>communications and agree with Roland (btw, well said Roland) I would
>like to ask the "old timers" as to how many of you have recently,
>within the last 3 years, have actually taken someone aside and offered
>to try and teach them cw???

Before you start guilt-tripping others, let us ask you how many times
you have offered to teach anyone ANYTHING about ham radio in the last
3 years?

124. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-25 19:57:57 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <9jHGb.9028$IM3.8546@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 280
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Albert Dente" wrote:
>
> (snip) You should stay with the internet
> and FORGET amateur radio.
>
> It's far too "dull and old fashioned" for
> a trendy "modern" guy like you!
>
> Stay off the air and we will ALL be
> happier, including you! (snip)

Lets see what the FCC has to say about that, Albert. In the Report & Order following the last round of restructuring, the FCC said;

"We are persuaded that because the
amateur service is fundamentally a
technical service, the emphasis on
Morse code proficiency as a licensing
requirement does not comport with
the basis and purpose of the service.
We note, moreover, that the design of
modern communications systems,
including personal communication
services, satellite, fiber optic, and high
definition television systems, are based
on digital communication technologies.
We also note that no communication
system has been designed in many years
that depends on hand-keyed telegraphy
or the ability to receive messages in
Morse code by ear. In contrast,
modern communication systems are
designed to be automated systems.
Given the changes that have occurred in
communications in the last fifty years,
we believe that reducing the emphasis on
telegraphy proficiency as a licensing
requirement will allow the amateur service
to, as it has in the past, attract technically
inclined persons, particularly the youth of
our country, and encourage them to learn
and to prepare themselves in the areas
where the United States needs expertise."

Clearly, Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement is out of place in Amateur Radio today, Albert. As is your attempts to urge anyone who opposes code proficiency as a licensing requirement to "forget" about Amateur Radio. The radio world is moving forward. Either we move forward with it or we become irrelevant. If we choose the latter path, we will no longer be worthy of the massive frequencies and operating privileges we enjoy - no irrelevant radio service is.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

125. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-25 23:15:49 UTC
From: N4ZN/2 <sandblaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns945CB9C87A697sandblasterhotmailco@24.24.2.167>
Source record: 281
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Actually, I like the "code quick" program, myself. I am in no way affiliated with the company that markets it, but it's money well spent. I don't recommend the computer program, as much as the tapes, but the computer program does allow you to paste in ANY text, and have it sent in morse code, (your email, etc) thus giving you fresh stuff to copy all the time. The tape set works with you on a more personal level, and actually uses about 5 different methods, at once, to get the code stuck in your head. It ignores the dits and dahs, and teaches you the characters by the sound of the entire character, likening it to a phrase, which sounds just like the character, when sent.

Here is an interesting, and true story. I took a total of 9 cbers, who were all dead set against the code, but finally decided it wasn't going away any time soon. All of them wanting to get on HF, and with a little prodding by the origional fellow who agreed to let me teach him well enough to pass the test in less than two weeks, guaranteed. They showed up for one hour a night for nine days. The nine day mark just happened to be the first available code test, after commencing our little project. All of them, seeing how easy, and fun it was to learn code, decided to take a whack at it at that code test. All of the nine, except 1, passed with perfect copy, and didn't even have to take the multiple guess test. Of the 9, one was totally blind, and took the test with earphones and a "blind typewriter" (not knowing the correct term for this device). The one fellow who failed, had only been attending the group for about 4 days, but just had the balls to give it a go, anyway. He later passed on his own.

I taught them using the code quick method, lacking all the tapes, and materials. I just did it live, using the guys HF rigs built in keyer, set to no break in.

Just to let you know how easy it is to learn code, ONCE YOU DECIDE TO DO IT... I'de be willing to bet you that I could teach a monkey to copy, in his head, 3 characters, in a days time. The hardest code test to pass will be the 5wpm... why? Because first you must get over all the reasons why you

1)don't have time to

2)are never going to use it

3)shouldn't be required to

4)can't learn it

AND JUST DO IT!

Once you see how easy it is, when motivated, you'll probably start using it because learning a new skill is fun to do. when you use it, your speed will increase without even trying. I really feel sorry for you guys who will never do it. All I can say is, upon passing my 20wpm test, and walking out the door to meet my buddies, with that piece of paper in my hand, well.... I haven't felt that good, no... GREAT, since christmas morning, when I was 3 years old, and got my first bicycle. And yes... I did conform to the rules, and learned to ride with training wheels... I didn't think I should just be able to ride it, without working on it first. And by the way... I'm no brain surgeon, and I went from no-code to extra in 4 months time. Since then, serving on a VE team, I've seen several foriegn exchange students sit down, and go from ZIP, to extra, in a matter of hours... including the old 20 wpm code test.

Anyone want help in the WNY area, I'll help you too. It really is much easier to pass the code test, than to learn all the extra info to pass the expanded written exam. Just respond here, and we'll see what we can come up with.

126. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-25 23:44:45 UTC
From: spanky <sandblaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns945CBEAFFE579sandblasterhotmailco@24.24.2.167>
Source record: 282
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Actually, I like the "code quick" program, myself. I am in no way affiliated with the company that markets it, but it's money well spent. I don't recommend the computer program, as much as the tapes, but the computer program does allow you to paste in ANY text, and have it sent in morse code, (your email, etc) thus giving you fresh stuff to copy all the time. The tape set works with you on a more personal level, and actually uses about 5 different methods, at once, to get the code stuck in your head. It ignores the dits and dahs, and teaches you the characters by the sound of the entire character, likening it to a phrase, which sounds just like the character, when sent.

Here is an interesting, and true story. I took a total of 9 cbers, who were all dead set against the code, but finally decided it wasn't going away any time soon. All of them wanting to get on HF, and with a little prodding by the origional fellow who agreed to let me teach him well enough to pass the test in less than two weeks, guaranteed. They showed up for one hour a night for nine days. The nine day mark just happened to be the first available code test, after commencing our little project. All of them, seeing how easy, and fun it was to learn code, decided to take a whack at it at that code test. All of the nine, except 1, passed with perfect copy, and didn't even have to take the multiple guess test. Of the 9, one was totally blind, and took the test with earphones and a "blind typewriter" (not knowing the correct term for this device). The one fellow who failed, had only been attending the group for about 4 days, but just had the balls to give it a go, anyway. He later passed on his own.

I taught them using the code quick method, lacking all the tapes, and materials. I just did it live, using the guys HF rigs built in keyer, set to no break in.

Just to let you know how easy it is to learn code, ONCE YOU DECIDE TO DO IT... I'de be willing to bet you that I could teach a monkey to copy, in his head, 3 characters, in a days time. The hardest code test to pass will be the 5wpm... why? Because first you must get over all the reasons why you

1)don't have time to

2)are never going to use it

3)shouldn't be required to

4)can't learn it

AND JUST DO IT!

Once you see how easy it is, when motivated, you'll probably start using it because learning a new skill is fun to do. when you use it, your speed will increase without even trying. I really feel sorry for you guys who will never do it. All I can say is, upon passing my 20wpm test, and walking out the door to meet my buddies, with that piece of paper in my hand, well.... I haven't felt that good, no... GREAT, since christmas morning, when I was 3 years old, and got my first bicycle. And yes... I did conform to the rules, and learned to ride with training wheels... I didn't think I should just be able to ride it, without working on it first. And by the way... I'm no brain surgeon, and I went from no-code to extra in 4 months time. Since then, serving on a VE team, I've seen several foriegn exchange students sit down, and go from ZIP, to extra, in a matter of hours... including the old 20 wpm code test.

Anyone want help in the WNY area, I'll help you too. It really is much easier to pass the code test, than to learn all the extra info to pass the expanded written exam. Just respond here, and we'll see what we can come up with.

127. For Sale - Heil HM-10

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-26 02:33:37 UTC
From: Daniel W4ADT <danielw4adt@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <R8NGb.75$Vr.59@fe01>
Source record: 284
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

```
Excellent condition - includes the clear carrying case.
$90.00 shipped Call 678-758-1298 if interested
73 Daniel W4ADT
```

128. FS: 50 Channel Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-26 03:27:56 UTC
From: Daniel W4ADT <danielw4adt@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <NXNGb.77$Vr.24@fe01>
Source record: 285
Cross-posted to:
alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

Uniden Bearcat BC248CLT Scanner 50 Channel Excellent Condition

There's a world of drama out there. And with the BC248CLT scanner, you can stay on top of the news as it happens. Tune into police, fire, weather, emergency, "ham", FRS, Military, Government, and more. 29 - 54MHz, 137 - 174MHz, 406 - 512MHz. The BC248CLT scanner brings together the finest engineering and styling plus all the quality that has made Uniden a legend among scanner enthusiast. The first scanner with integrated Alarm clock.
Features:
50 Channels You can program all of your favorite frequencies.
10 Bands Covers public service, ham, federal and military land mobile.
Review Feature Full frequency LCD display shows frequencies entered into each channel plus weather frequencies. Also includes an emergency weather alert feature.
Manual Channel Access Go directly to any channel without stepping through other channels
Channel Lockout This feature allows you to skip over channels you currently don't want to hear.
Clock/Alarm Displays time and features any button snooze

$95.00 + $10.00 shipping

Call Daniel 678-758-1298 or email danielw4adt@alltel.net

129. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-26 04:10:42 UTC
From: Me <justme@home.com>
Message-ID: <j0dnuvgs5343o0eabi9rcq5lnern9ahot7@4ax.com>
Source record: 286
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I wasn't trying to guilt trip anyone, I was only trying to get others
to look at themselves instead of knee jerking and complaining about
the lack of people who seem to be interested in cw. I myself have not
taught anyone in the last 3 years but I am just a no code ham trying
to learn myself. Thinking about an old saying, if you wanna change
the world, start with the man in the mirror. Cheers, 73
Billy ke4pjr

On Thu, 25 Dec 2003 17:38:00 GMT, Trippy-Dippy <trippy@hotmail.net>
wrote:

>On Sun, 21 Dec 2003 13:39:13 -0600, Me <justme@home.com> wrote:
>
>>While I do tend to agree that cw is mostly an older form of
>>communications and agree with Roland (btw, well said Roland) I would
>>like to ask the "old timers" as to how many of you have recently,
>>within the last 3 years, have actually taken someone aside and offered
>>to try and teach them cw???
>
>Before you start guilt-tripping others, let us ask you how many times
>you have offered to teach anyone ANYTHING about ham radio in the last
>3 years?

130. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-26 16:54:08 UTC
From: Albert Dente <sabbatai_zvi@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kapouvsvjeg3s5mu2idv84dbtu1mf15gqi@4ax.com>
Source record: 287
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 25 Dec 2003 19:57:57 GMT, "Dwight Stewart"
<stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> erroneously
misinterpreted things this way:

>"Albert Dente" wrote:
>>
>> (snip) You should stay with the internet
>> and FORGET amateur radio.
>>
>> It's far too "dull and old fashioned" for
>> a trendy "modern" guy like you!
>>
>> Stay off the air and we will ALL be
>> happier, including you! (snip)
>
>
> Lets see what the FCC has to say about that, Albert. In the Report & Order
>following the last round of restructuring, the FCC said;
>
> "We are persuaded that because the
> amateur service is fundamentally a
> technical service, the emphasis on
> Morse code proficiency as a licensing
> requirement does not comport with

[snip]

> Clearly, Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement is out of place
>in Amateur Radio today,

Iff you read afgain at the top I did not address that issue.

You had been going on about the technical frontiers and what is and is
not "with it" today - FOR YOU (unlike the many thousands of CW ops)

My remarks and your response are almost entirely unrelated.
You have difficulty understanding logic and plain English, aparently.

I merely suggested that if you don't like old fashioned things like HF
and CW that you stay on the internet where you will be happier.

It was about YOU not the FCC.

>Albert. As is your attempts to urge anyone who
>opposes code proficiency as a licensing requirement to "forget" about

>Amateur Radio.

Not anyone - YOU!

You obviously don't LIKE muych about amateur radio and are far more
comfortable grousing about it on Usenet. So fine! Have at it!

>The radio world is moving forward.

No shit!

>Either we move forward
>with it or we become irrelevant.

Again you are using totally faulty logic.
I am involved with digital communications using the latest
techniques.
And that is NOT via an old-fashioned cable or twisted pair
like Usenet has to rely on (grin!)

>If we choose the latter path, we will no
>longer be worthy of the massive frequencies and operating privileges we
>enjoy - no irrelevant radio service is.

Well you are entitled to your opinoin, no matter how confused you try
to get yourself! Enjoy the new frontier. I will enjoy BOTH the
traditional AND the new.

Attacking CW is absurd, and as was pointed out in another
thread, the nastiness always starts with the anti-CW mob.

I don't give that much of a rat's arse about whether the testing
gets stopped, because I don't think it will be the death nell of
amateur radio any more than hundreds of other change sthat have
aoccurred in the past 25 years by the FCC and by hams.

However, I DO think that what bodes ill for amateur radio is
the ATTITUDE and BEHAVIOR of aggressive, divisive people!

My comments were for you personally, and not about the
proposed changes for VEC testing etc.

Again, my suggestion for a guy who dislikes CW and HF is
this, Dwight:
You should probably stay with the internet and FORGET
amateur radio.

It's far too "dull and old fashioned" for a trendy "modern" guy
like you!

131. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-26 16:55:53 UTC
From: Albert Dente <sabbatai_zvi@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2vpouv023kmsgvn9lkn7uaigcim87rabh7@4ax.com>
Source record: 288
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 25 Dec 2003 22:10:42 -0600, Me <justme@home.com> wrote:

>I wasn't trying to guilt trip anyone, I was only trying to get others
>to look at themselves instead of knee jerking and complaining about
>the lack of people who seem to be interested in cw. I myself have not
>taught anyone in the last 3 years but I am just a no code ham trying
>to learn myself. Thinking about an old saying, if you wanna change
>the world, start with the man in the mirror. Cheers, 73
> Billy ke4pjr

Thanks for the honest response Billy, and I truly wish you success in
mastering the code. You will NEVER regret it, even if they no longer
require it at soime point.

It's one of the most pleasant and ralzxing modes you can
ever use!

73

132. FS: Yaesu FT-890AT HF Tranciever

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-26 20:49:39 UTC
From: "Richard W. Haviland" <rwhaviland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <l91Hb.41672$m83.4690@fed1read01>
Source record: 289
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,att.ham-radio,man.ham-radio,phx.general,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

YAESU FT-890AT HF transceiver in very good, 100% operational condition. Has built-in Automatic Antenna Tuner, Autokeyer, CW filter, and has been
opened up to allow MARS operation. Also included are original operations manual, schematics, original handmike, mobile braket, and complete service manual.
$450.00 plus shipping. Call 623
764-8213

133. FS: YAESU FT-890AT HF TRANSCEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-26 21:09:25 UTC
From: "Richard W. Haviland" <rwhaviland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Sr1Hb.41706$m83.17529@fed1read01>
Source record: 290
Cross-posted to: phx.buy-sell-trade,phx.general,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap

YAESU FT-890AT ham radio transceiver in very good, 100% operational condition. Has built-in Automatic Antenna Tuner, Autokeyer and has been opened up to allow MARS operation. Also included are original operations manual, schematics, original handmike, and complete service manual. $475.00 plus shipping. Call 623 764-8213

134. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-26 23:17:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <xk3Hb.10655$IM3.2803@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 291
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Albert Dente" wrote:
>
> If you read again at the top I did not
> address that issue.
>
> You had been going on about the
> technical frontiers and what is and is
> not "with it" today - FOR YOU
> (unlike the many thousands of CW
> ops)
>
> My remarks and your response are
> almost entirely unrelated. You have
> difficulty understanding logic and
> plain English, aparently.
>
> I merely suggested that if you don't
> like old fashioned things like HF and
> CW that you stay on the internet
> where you will be happier.
>
> It was about YOU not the FCC.

Good grief, Albert. You can't even figure out which person you're talking to. I didn't say any of those things and you didn't say any of those things to me. That was a discussion you were having with Clint, not me.

I, on the other hand, addressed your opinion that those more interested in modern electronics and radio than CW (what you called "trendy modern guys") should "stay with the internet and forget amateur radio." And I did so by pointing out what the FCC said about the need to reduce the emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement to "attract technically inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States needs expertise." Clearly, even according to the FCC, the United States does not need expertise in CW today.

> Again you are using totally faulty
> logic.

I guess it would appear faulty to a person who can't follow along well enough to figure out which person he or she is talking to.

> Well you are entitled to your opinoin,
> no (snip)

It's not just my opinion, Albert. It's also the FCC's opinion - you know, the federal agency that regulates Amateur Radio.

> Attacking CW is absurd, and as was
> pointed out in another thread, the
> nastiness always starts with the
> anti-CW mob.

I've said nothing nasty to you, Albert. The only nastiness, in the discussion between you and me, is coming from you..

> I don't give that much of a rat's arse about
> whether the testing gets stopped, (snip)

Since the use of CW itself is not threatened in any way, the only issue at hand is the telegraphy proficiency licensing requirement (the code test).

> However, I DO think that what bodes
> ill for amateur radio is the ATTITUDE
> and BEHAVIOR of aggressive, divisive
> people! (snip)

The only bad attitude, bad behavior, or divisive behavior, here is your own. You're telling people who don't like CW to forget Amateur Radio. You've used more foul language in one message than I've used in these newsgroups in perhaps the last ten years.

> Again, my suggestion for a guy who dislikes
> CW and HF is this, Dwight: You should
> probably stay with the internet and FORGET
> amateur radio.

And my suggestion for someone obsessed with CW enough to urge others with different views to stay away would be to get out of Amateur Radio - attitudes like that don't "comport with the basis and purpose" of this radio service.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

135. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 14:32:35 UTC
From: 6GW8 Xmtr <sabbatai_zvi@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <au5ruv4vnfud5d8vv7t9q60va9o22v65jr@4ax.com>
Source record: 292
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

The response was addressed to the person who wrote it,
Clint.

If that wasn't cl;ear I am sorry, but I think we can all figure out
who the writer was even if the attribution got screwed up.

Sorry you are still in shock, OM!

On Fri, 26 Dec 2003 23:17:49 GMT, "Dwight Stewart"
<stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote:

>"Albert Dente" wrote:
>>
>> If you read again at the top I did not
>> address that issue.
>>
>> You had been going on about the
>> technical frontiers and what is and is
>> not "with it" today - FOR YOU
>> (unlike the many thousands of CW
>> ops)
>>
>> My remarks and your response are
>> almost entirely unrelated. You have
>> difficulty understanding logic and
>> plain English, aparently.
>>
>> I merely suggested that if you don't
>> like old fashioned things like HF and
>> CW that you stay on the internet
>> where you will be happier.
>>
>> It was about YOU not the FCC.
>
>
> Good grief, Albert. You can't even figure out which person you're talking
>to. I didn't say any of those things and you didn't say any of those things
>to me. That was a discussion you were having with Clint, not me.
>
> I, on the other hand, addressed your opinion that those more interested in
>modern electronics and radio than CW (what you called "trendy modern guys")
>should "stay with the internet and forget amateur radio." And I did so by
>pointing out what the FCC said about the need to reduce the emphasis on
>telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement to "attract technically

>inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them
>to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States
>needs expertise." Clearly, even according to the FCC, the United States does
>not need expertise in CW today.
>
>
>> Again you are using totally faulty
>> logic.
>
>
> I guess it would appear faulty to a person who can't follow along well
>enough to figure out which person he or she is talking to.
>
>
>> Well you are entitled to your opinoin,
>> no (snip)
>
>
> It's not just my opinion, Albert. It's also the FCC's opinion - you know,
>the federal agency that regulates Amateur Radio.
>
>
>> Attacking CW is absurd, and as was
>> pointed out in another thread, the
>> nastiness always starts with the
>> anti-CW mob.
>
>
> I've said nothing nasty to you, Albert. The only nastiness, in the
>discussion between you and me, is coming from you..
>
>
>> I don't give that much of a rat's arse about
>> whether the testing gets stopped, (snip)
>
>
> Since the use of CW itself is not threatened in any way, the only issue at
>hand is the telegraphy proficiency licensing requirement (the code test).
>
>
>> However, I DO think that what bodes
>> ill for amateur radio is the ATTITUDE
>> and BEHAVIOR of aggressive, divisive
>> people! (snip)
>
>
> The only bad attitude, bad behavior, or divisive behavior, here is your
>own. You're telling people who don't like CW to forget Amateur Radio. You've
>used more foul language in one message than I've used in these newsgroups in
>perhaps the last ten years.

>
>
>> Again, my suggestion for a guy who dislikes
>> CW and HF is this, Dwight: You should
>> probably stay with the internet and FORGET
>> amateur radio.
>
>
> And my suggestion for someone obsessed with CW enough to urge others with
>different views to stay away would be to get out of Amateur Radio -
>attitudes like that don't "comport with the basis and purpose" of this radio
>service.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

136. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 14:33:53 UTC
From: 6GW8 Xmtr <sabbatai_zvi@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <m06ruv8pjv0evp1ggkhp2cj5qqcjmcscfc@4ax.com>
Source record: 293
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 26 Dec 2003 23:17:49 GMT, "Dwight Stewart"
<stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote:

> I, on the other hand, addressed your opinion that those more interested in
>modern electronics and radio than CW (what you called "trendy modern guys")
>should "stay with the internet and forget amateur radio." And I did so by
>pointing out what the FCC said about the need to reduce the emphasis on
>telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement to "attract technically
>inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them
>to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States
>needs expertise." Clearly, even according to the FCC, the United States does
>not need expertise in CW today.

the FCC is not tasked with deciding what we NEED. Rather they are
tasked with regulating what we DO with amateur radio.

Your statement is totally dishonest and is not worthy of discussion.

137. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 14:35:47 UTC
From: 6GW8 Xmtr <sabbatai_zvi@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <356ruv4o756phsuqdljjl3g128ihmqg17o@4ax.com>
Source record: 294
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 26 Dec 2003 23:17:49 GMT, "Dwight Stewart"
<stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote:

> And my suggestion for someone obsessed with CW enough to urge others with
>different views to stay away would be to get out of Amateur Radio -
>attitudes like that don't "comport with the basis and purpose" of this radio
>service.

Twist twist twist....

You would make Chubby Checker proud!!

You are SO adept at twisting what others say.

138. Re: Bye Bye CW?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 14:41:12 UTC
From: FT243 <FT243@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <na6ruv4omv57ojan93i2n3oaqdr8skr59r@4ax.com>
Source record: 295
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 26 Dec 2003 23:17:49 GMT, "Dwight Stewart"
<stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote:

> I, on the other hand, addressed your opinion that those more interested in
>modern electronics and radio than CW (what you called "trendy modern guys")
>should "stay with the internet and forget amateur radio." And I did so by
>pointing out what the FCC said about the need to reduce the emphasis on
>telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement to "attract technically
>inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them
>to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States
>needs expertise." Clearly, even according to the FCC, the United States does
>not need expertise in CW today.

For the confused, grumbling babies like Dwight, who think that
CW is dead, here is great evidence to the contrary:

TEENAGERS WHO LOVE CW:
http://home.alltel.net/johnshan/cw_ss_teens.html

http://home.alltel.net/johnshan/links_ss_cwin.html

YMMV

Try CW - it might just lower your blood pressure about 90%
there Dwight, old boy!

LOL!

139. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 14:50:00 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <bsk6bb$n1m$3@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 296
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Ahhh, so if you are not a CW fanatic, then you don't like amateur radio. Such a negative attitude as yours has been part of the reason why amateur radio has been in decline. Here in VK, recent meetings between the government communications body (ACA) and amateurs has seen overwhelming numbers in favour fo the removal of CW for the access to the HF amateur frequencies. In the meeting that I attended, only one amateur was in favour of the retention of CW as a mandatory access requirement for HF. Of all of the amateurs at the meeting, only one person in favour of the retention! Surprisingly, even the unrestricted operators (equivalent to extra calls) were all (bar the one anti) in favour of removing CW as an uneccesary barrier to HF access. As a result come 01 Jan 04, CW is not required in VK for access to HF. We still support it as a historical part of amateur radio, and indeed hope that it doesn't die off as a mode that amateurs use. Funnily enough, now it is not required (well in about 4 days anyway), the concensus is that it will probably become a more popular mode of comms - but in the end, that is what it is - merely one of the many modes of comms that is available to amateur operators. Long may it prosper, but not as an uneccesary barrier to HF access.
The bitterness does not start with just the anti CW mob - it is both their fault and those of the anti change establishment. To say that the anti CW individuals should stay on the internet is at best shallow, and at worst stupid - sorry, but I say it as I see it. If it hurst, then there may well be an element of truth in it. Have a think about it. Never know your bad luck, you may well hear me on HF come 0100 (LIMA) on 01 Jan 2004 (that would be about 311300Z Dec 03 where the ruling in VK changes all over the country). Hope you welcome all of us newcomers to HF - many of us have been on HF for years on military and commercial HF freqs, so are not exactly newcomers to the band in general. Hope to speak with you then.

Matt

Albert Dente <sabbatai_zvi@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message news:kapouvsvjeg3s5mu2idv84dbtu1mf15gqi@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 25 Dec 2003 19:57:57 GMT, "Dwight Stewart"
> <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> erroneously
> misinterpreted things this way:
>
> >"Albert Dente" wrote:
> >>
> >> (snip) You should stay with the internet
> >> and FORGET amateur radio.

> >>
> >> It's far too "dull and old fashioned" for
> >> a trendy "modern" guy like you!
> >>
> >> Stay off the air and we will ALL be
> >> happier, including you! (snip)
> >
> >
> > Lets see what the FCC has to say about that, Albert. In the Report
& Order
> >following the last round of restructuring, the FCC said;
> >
> > "We are persuaded that because the
> > amateur service is fundamentally a
> > technical service, the emphasis on
> > Morse code proficiency as a licensing
> > requirement does not comport with
>
> [snip]
>
> > Clearly, Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement is out
of place
> >in Amateur Radio today,
>
> Iff you read afgain at the top I did not address that issue.
>
> You had been going on about the technical frontiers and what is and is
> not "with it" today - FOR YOU (unlike the many thousands of CW ops)
>
> My remarks and your response are almost entirely unrelated.
> You have difficulty understanding logic and plain English, aparently.
>
> I merely suggested that if you don't like old fashioned things like HF
> and CW that you stay on the internet where you will be happier.
>
> It was about YOU not the FCC.
>
> >Albert. As is your attempts to urge anyone who
> >opposes code proficiency as a licensing requirement to "forget" about
> >Amateur Radio.
>
> Not anyone - YOU!
>
> You obviously don't LIKE muych about amateur radio and are far more
> comfortable grousing about it on Usenet. So fine! Have at it!
>
> >The radio world is moving forward.
>
> No shit!
>

> >Either we move forward
> >with it or we become irrelevant.
>
> Again you are using totally faulty logic.
> I am involved with digital communications using the latest
> techniques.
> And that is NOT via an old-fashioned cable or twisted pair
> like Usenet has to rely on (grin!)
>
> >If we choose the latter path, we will no
> >longer be worthy of the massive frequencies and operating privileges
we
> >enjoy - no irrelevant radio service is.
>
> Well you are entitled to your opinoin, no matter how confused you try
> to get yourself! Enjoy the new frontier. I will enjoy BOTH the
> traditional AND the new.
>
> Attacking CW is absurd, and as was pointed out in another
> thread, the nastiness always starts with the anti-CW mob.
>
> I don't give that much of a rat's arse about whether the testing
> gets stopped, because I don't think it will be the death nell of
> amateur radio any more than hundreds of other change sthat have
> aoccurred in the past 25 years by the FCC and by hams.
>
> However, I DO think that what bodes ill for amateur radio is
> the ATTITUDE and BEHAVIOR of aggressive, divisive people!
>
> My comments were for you personally, and not about the
> proposed changes for VEC testing etc.
>
> Again, my suggestion for a guy who dislikes CW and HF is
> this, Dwight:
> You should probably stay with the internet and FORGET
> amateur radio.
>
> It's far too "dull and old fashioned" for a trendy "modern" guy
> like you!
>
>

140. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 17:06:14 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <a_iHb.2720$d4.1224@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 297
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"6GW8 Xmtr" wrote:
> The response was addressed to the
> person who wrote it, Clint.

I wrote the words in the message Albert replied to and Albert properly attributed those words to me. However, Albert started out that message by blaming me for the things Clint had said in earlier messages.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

> If that wasn't cl;ear I am sorry, but I think we can all figure out
> who the writer was even if the attribution got screwed up.
>
> Sorry you are still in shock, OM!
>
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote:
>
> >"Albert Dente" wrote:
> >>
> >> If you read again at the top I did not
> >> address that issue.
> >>
> >> You had been going on about the
> >> technical frontiers and what is and is
> >> not "with it" today - FOR YOU
> >> (unlike the many thousands of CW
> >> ops)
> >>
> >> My remarks and your response are
> >> almost entirely unrelated. You have
> >> difficulty understanding logic and
> >> plain English, aparently.
> >>
> >> I merely suggested that if you don't
> >> like old fashioned things like HF and
> >> CW that you stay on the internet
> >> where you will be happier.
> >>
> >> It was about YOU not the FCC.
> > >
> > >
> > > Good grief, Albert. You can't even figure out which person you're

talking
> >to. I didn't say any of those things and you didn't say any of those
things
> >to me. That was a discussion you were having with Clint, not me.
> >
> > I, on the other hand, addressed your opinion that those more interested
in
> >modern electronics and radio than CW (what you called "trendy modern
guys")
> >should "stay with the internet and forget amateur radio." And I did so by
> >pointing out what the FCC said about the need to reduce the emphasis on
> >telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement to "attract technically
> >inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage
them
> >to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States
> >needs expertise." Clearly, even according to the FCC, the United States
does
> >not need expertise in CW today.
> >
> >
> >> Again you are using totally faulty
> >> logic.
> >
> >
> > I guess it would appear faulty to a person who can't follow along well
> >enough to figure out which person he or she is talking to.
> >
> >
> >> Well you are entitled to your opinoin,
> >> no (snip)
> >
> >
> > It's not just my opinion, Albert. It's also the FCC's opinion - you
know,
> >the federal agency that regulates Amateur Radio.
> >
> >
> >> Attacking CW is absurd, and as was
> >> pointed out in another thread, the
> >> nastiness always starts with the
> >> anti-CW mob.
> >
> >
> > I've said nothing nasty to you, Albert. The only nastiness, in the
> >discussion between you and me, is coming from you..
> >
> >
> >> I don't give that much of a rat's arse about
> >> whether the testing gets stopped, (snip)
> >

> >
> > Since the use of CW itself is not threatened in any way, the only issue
at
> >hand is the telegraphy proficiency licensing requirement (the code test).
> >
> >
> >> However, I DO think that what bodes
> >> ill for amateur radio is the ATTITUDE
> >> and BEHAVIOR of aggressive, divisive
> >> people! (snip)
> >
> >
> > The only bad attitude, bad behavior, or divisive behavior, here is your
> >own. You're telling people who don't like CW to forget Amateur Radio.
You've
> >used more foul language in one message than I've used in these newsgroups
in
> >perhaps the last ten years.
> >
> >
> >> Again, my suggestion for a guy who dislikes
> >> CW and HF is this, Dwight: You should
> >> probably stay with the internet and FORGET
> >> amateur radio.
> >
> >
> > And my suggestion for someone obsessed with CW enough to urge others
with
> >different views to stay away would be to get out of Amateur Radio -
> >attitudes like that don't "comport with the basis and purpose" of this
radio
> >service.
> >
> >
> >Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> >http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

141. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 17:26:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <9hjHb.2733$d4.1448@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 298
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"6GW8 Xmtr" wrote:
>
> the FCC is not tasked with deciding what we
> NEED. Rather they are tasked with regulating
> what we DO with amateur radio.

No, the FCC is tasked with deciding what the basis and purpose of the Amateur Radio Service is and what we need, from a regulatory perspective, to be able to meet that basis and purpose. The FCC clearly addressed that when they said "the emphasis on Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement does not comport with the basis and purpose of the service."

> Your statement is totally dishonest and is not
> worthy of discussion.

I've simply quoted, and agreed with, what the FCC has said. If you think that is dishonest, perhaps you should take it up with them. However, I don't think it is dishonest for the FCC to say that "...no communication system has been designed in many years that depends on hand-keyed telegraphy..." And I don't think it is dishonest to say that alone is justification to eliminate Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

142. Re: Bye Bye CW?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 17:34:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <nojHb.2735$d4.97@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 299
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"FT243" wrote:
>
> For the confused, grumbling babies like
> Dwight, who think that CW is dead,
> here is great evidence to the contrary:

Excuse me, but I have never once said, suggested, or even hinted, that "CW is dead." My comments throughout this entire discussion have solely addressed telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement.

> Try CW - it might just lower your blood
> pressure about 90% there Dwight, old boy!

And learning to read what a person has actually said, instead of blaming a person for things that person never said, may help lower yours.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

143. Re: Bye Bye CW?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 18:03:53 UTC
From: "Richard W. Haviland" <rwhaviland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eQjHb.41854$m83.23182@fed1read01>
Source record: 300
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I'm confused! lol

Happy Holidays All!

Rick - N7TAM (I like CW)

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:nojHb.2735$d4.97@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "FT243" wrote:
> >
> > For the confused, grumbling babies like
> > Dwight, who think that CW is dead,
> > here is great evidence to the contrary:
>
>
> Excuse me, but I have never once said, suggested, or even hinted, that
"CW
> is dead." My comments throughout this entire discussion have solely
> addressed telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement.
>
>
> > Try CW - it might just lower your blood
> > pressure about 90% there Dwight, old boy!
>
>
> And learning to read what a person has actually said, instead of blaming
a
> person for things that person never said, may help lower yours.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

144. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 19:10:50 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <_OkHb.2963$d4.2541@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 151
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Matt" wrote:
>
> (snip) Here in VK, recent
> meetings between the government
> communications body (ACA) and
> amateurs has seen overwhelming
> numbers in favour fo the removal
> of CW for the access to the HF
> amateur frequencies. (snip)
> As a result come 01 Jan 04, CW
> is not required in VK for access
> to HF. (snip)

Sadly, the near future is not so bright here in the USA. I suspect it may be five, ten, or perhaps more, years before telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement (code testing) disappears in this country. At this point, the FCC (our government regulatory agency) has decided to do nothing until a consensus on the issue is reached within the Amateur Radio community. Clearly, there is no consensus whatsoever at this time. If a consensus is reached, it will take another one to two years for any change to go through our regulatory process. However, since most Americans can be very pig-headed (stubborn), many doubt a consensus will ever be reached. Therefore, many are hoping the FCC will simply give up on the consensus idea, deciding the issue based on what is needed, from a regulatory perspective, to meet the goals and purpose of the radio service. Nothing so far suggests they intend to do that anytime soon.

> (snip) We still support it as a historical
> part of amateur radio, and indeed hope
> that it doesn't die off as a mode that
> amateurs use. Funnily enough, now it is
> not required (well in about 4 days
> anyway), the concensus is that it will
> probably become a more popular mode
> of comms - but in the end, that is what it
> is - merely one of the many modes of
> comms that is available to amateur
> operators. Long may it prosper, but
> not as an uneccesary barrier to HF
> access. (snip)

Unfortunately, too many in this country cannot separate code use from the code test. They view the possible removal of the code test as an assault on

their CW identity or lifestyle. Another group views the code test as a filter to keep out undesirables ("those people" - a form of bigotry based on Morse code). Still another group views the code test as a solution to this nation's wider social problems (lowered education standards and so on). Whatever the case, almost all of these seem to forget that code testing is simply a licensing step into what is fundamentally a recreational activity (with meaningful undertones, but a recreation nonetheless).

Take care, Matt. Enjoy your new HF privileges. Perhaps all Amateur Radio operators here in the USA will one day be able to join you, and all those in other countries now without a code test, on those frequencies. If that happens, you can darn sure bet Americans will be among the last in the world to do so.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

145. Re: Bye Bye CW?

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-27 19:23:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <J_kHb.2976$d4.1111@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 152
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Richard W. Haviland" wrote:
>
> I'm confused! lol

I'll try to sort it out, Rick. Albert is blaming me for what Clint said and I suspect "FT243" is blaming me for the subject line in this newsgroup thread. I don't think either one of them is actually reading what I've said, at least not without the anger carried over from what others have said. Does that make any sense? If not, don't worry - I'm getting confused trying to figure out what each one is actually talking about also.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

146. For Sale - Heil HM-10 Price Reduced!!

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-28 12:11:58 UTC
From: Daniel W4ADT <danielw4adt@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <wNzHb.8$Qh.4@fe01>
Source record: 153
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

```
YOU MUST CALL FOR THIS PRICE! $75.00 + SHIPPING 678-758-1298
73 Daniel W4ADT

-------------------------

Excellent condition - includes the clear carrying case.
$90.00 shipped Call 678-758-1298 if interested
73 Daniel W4ADT
```

147. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-28 19:42:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <%mGHb.3974$d4.2388@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 154
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

However, I don't
> think it is dishonest for the FCC to say that "...no communication system
> has been designed in many years that depends on hand-keyed telegraphy..."

> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

Just because its old don't make it useless. Actually it just proves how
useful it really is.

The opinion of the FCC about cw is not relevant. The present crew there
doesn't have a good track record of late. I.E. BPL.

Dan/W4NTI

148. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-28 19:48:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <3sGHb.3980$d4.476@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 155
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:_OkHb.2963$d4.2541@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> "Matt" wrote:
> >
> > (snip) Here in VK, recent
> > meetings between the government
> > communications body (ACA) and
> > amateurs has seen overwhelming
> > numbers in favour fo the removal
> > of CW for the access to the HF
> > amateur frequencies. (snip)
> > As a result come 01 Jan 04, CW
> > is not required in VK for access
> > to HF. (snip)
>
>
> Sadly, the near future is not so bright here in the USA. I suspect it
may
> be five, ten, or perhaps more, years before telegraphy proficiency as a
> licensing requirement (code testing) disappears in this country. At this
> point, the FCC (our government regulatory agency) has decided to do
nothing
> until a consensus on the issue is reached within the Amateur Radio
> community. Clearly, there is no consensus whatsoever at this time. If a
> consensus is reached, it will take another one to two years for any change
> to go through our regulatory process. However, since most Americans can be
> very pig-headed (stubborn), many doubt a consensus will ever be reached.
> Therefore, many are hoping the FCC will simply give up on the consensus
> idea, deciding the issue based on what is needed, from a regulatory
> perspective, to meet the goals and purpose of the radio service. Nothing
so
> far suggests they intend to do that anytime soon.
>
>
> > (snip) We still support it as a historical
> > part of amateur radio, and indeed hope
> > that it doesn't die off as a mode that
> > amateurs use. Funnily enough, now it is
> > not required (well in about 4 days
> > anyway), the concensus is that it will
> > probably become a more popular mode
> > of comms - but in the end, that is what it

> > is - merely one of the many modes of
> > comms that is available to amateur
> > operators. Long may it prosper, but
> > not as an uneccesary barrier to HF
> > access. (snip)
>
>
> Unfortunately, too many in this country cannot separate code use from
the
> code test. They view the possible removal of the code test as an assault
on
> their CW identity or lifestyle. Another group views the code test as a
> filter to keep out undesirables ("those people" - a form of bigotry based
on
> Morse code). Still another group views the code test as a solution to this
> nation's wider social problems (lowered education standards and so on).
> Whatever the case, almost all of these seem to forget that code testing is
> simply a licensing step into what is fundamentally a recreational activity
> (with meaningful undertones, but a recreation nonetheless).
>
> Take care, Matt. Enjoy your new HF privileges. Perhaps all Amateur Radio
> operators here in the USA will one day be able to join you, and all those
in
> other countries now without a code test, on those frequencies. If that
> happens, you can darn sure bet Americans will be among the last in the
world
> to do so.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

GOOD !!!!. And your 100 percent correct. WE WILL NOT GO DOWN WITHOUT A
HELL OF A FIGHT. So all you whiners go pass your 5wpm multiple guess test.

Dan/W4NTI

149. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-28 22:31:03 UTC
From: Pappy127@usa.net
Message-ID: <3FEF59A7.33E9DEA9@usa.net>
Source record: 156
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Come on guys, this subject was beat to death some time ago when the FC&C decided to make the changes that exist now. It's going to happen, like it of not.

150. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-29 01:21:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <zkLHb.4430$d4.169@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 157
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote:
> > However, I don't think it is dishonest
> > for the FCC to say that "...no
> > communication system has been
> > designed in many years that depends
> > on hand-keyed telegraphy..."
>
>
> Just because its old don't make it useless.
> Actually it just proves how useful it really
> is.

Nobody said Morse code wasn't useful - it's a fine recreational activity. However, when it comes to a licensing requirement, the sole issue is whether Morse code serves a regulatory purpose. As the FCC said, it doesn't today.

> The opinion of the FCC about cw is not
> relevant. (snip)

Really? Since they regulate this radio service (including the licensing requirements), I somehow suspect the FCC would strongly disagree.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

151. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-29 01:45:34 UTC
From: Tony <Tony@mail.com>
Message-ID: <nh1vuvk7jbslliposcr5p6r2qoodhv04iq@4ax.com>
Source record: 158
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

You panty waist crybabys knew there was code in Ham Radio But was to
lazy to learn it.
It would have show the world you at least knew something other then
crying.
But some of you were in the Boy Scouts and learned then What happened
did you brain die?
Code will pass so you can say I'm a ham Wow be proud hold your head
high.
Now we can attack something else or someone.................Seig Heil

On Sun, 28 Dec 2003 19:42:51 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this
mindspring.com> wrote:

>However, I don't
>> think it is dishonest for the FCC to say that "...no communication system
>> has been designed in many years that depends on hand-keyed telegraphy..."
>
>> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>>
>> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>>
>
>Just because its old don't make it useless. Actually it just proves how
>useful it really is.
>
>The opinion of the FCC about cw is not relevant. The present crew there
>doesn't have a good track record of late. I.E. BPL.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

152. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-29 13:46:01 UTC
From: map <mapalmerlaw@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <teWHb.24668$Pg1.20784@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 159
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Too bad. Your attitude will cause the US to slip one more notch down on the world stage. While the rest of the world gains from expanded comm. You and your buds will keep US citizens from same. Bravo for you. You are a curse on amateur radio, that won't go away soon enough. Sorta like a cancer that will not die till the host dies.
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message news:3sGHb.3980$d4.476@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:_OkHb.2963$d4.2541@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > "Matt" wrote:
> > >
> > > (snip) Here in VK, recent
> > > meetings between the government
> > > communications body (ACA) and
> > > amateurs has seen overwhelming
> > > numbers in favour fo the removal
> > > of CW for the access to the HF
> > > amateur frequencies. (snip)
> > > As a result come 01 Jan 04, CW
> > > is not required in VK for access
> > > to HF. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > Sadly, the near future is not so bright here in the USA. I suspect it
> may
> > be five, ten, or perhaps more, years before telegraphy proficiency as a
> > licensing requirement (code testing) disappears in this country. At this
> > point, the FCC (our government regulatory agency) has decided to do
> nothing
> > until a consensus on the issue is reached within the Amateur Radio
> > community. Clearly, there is no consensus whatsoever at this time. If a
> > consensus is reached, it will take another one to two years for any
change
> > to go through our regulatory process. However, since most Americans can
be
> > very pig-headed (stubborn), many doubt a consensus will ever be reached.
> > Therefore, many are hoping the FCC will simply give up on the consensus
> > idea, deciding the issue based on what is needed, from a regulatory
> > perspective, to meet the goals and purpose of the radio service. Nothing
> so
> > far suggests they intend to do that anytime soon.

> >
> >
> > > (snip) We still support it as a historical
> > > part of amateur radio, and indeed hope
> > > that it doesn't die off as a mode that
> > > amateurs use. Funnily enough, now it is
> > > not required (well in about 4 days
> > > anyway), the concensus is that it will
> > > probably become a more popular mode
> > > of comms - but in the end, that is what it
> > > is - merely one of the many modes of
> > > comms that is available to amateur
> > > operators. Long may it prosper, but
> > > not as an uneccesary barrier to HF
> > > access. (snip)
> >
> >
> > Unfortunately, too many in this country cannot separate code use from
> the
> > code test. They view the possible removal of the code test as an assault
> on
> > their CW identity or lifestyle. Another group views the code test as a
> > filter to keep out undesirables ("those people" - a form of bigotry
based
> on
> > Morse code). Still another group views the code test as a solution to
this
> > nation's wider social problems (lowered education standards and so on).
> > Whatever the case, almost all of these seem to forget that code testing
is
> > simply a licensing step into what is fundamentally a recreational
activity
> > (with meaningful undertones, but a recreation nonetheless).
> >
> > Take care, Matt. Enjoy your new HF privileges. Perhaps all Amateur
Radio
> > operators here in the USA will one day be able to join you, and all
those
> in
> > other countries now without a code test, on those frequencies. If that
> > happens, you can darn sure bet Americans will be among the last in the
> world
> > to do so.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> >
>

> GOOD !!!!. And your 100 percent correct. WE WILL NOT GO DOWN WITHOUT A
> HELL OF A FIGHT. So all you whiners go pass your 5wpm multiple guess
test.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

153. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-29 17:10:10 UTC
From: AA5JJ <aa5jj@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ZdZHb.41939$a44.18064@okepread04>
Source record: 160
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

```
Yep I agree "DO AWAY WITH CODE", it is not worth using anymore, out dated
and just plain hard to learn, do away whit it and we can all be like the
CBer's
10-4 GOOD BUDDY.................

"map" <mapalmerlaw@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:teWHb.24668$Pg1.20784@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Too bad. Your attitude will cause the US to slip one more notch down on
the
> world stage. While the rest of the world gains from expanded comm. You and
> your buds will keep US citizens from same. Bravo for you. You are a curse
on
> amateur radio, that won't go away soon enough. Sorta like a cancer that
will
> not die till the host dies.
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:3sGHb.3980$d4.476@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:_OkHb.2963$d4.2541@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > "Matt" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > (snip) Here in VK, recent
> > > > meetings between the government
> > > > communications body (ACA) and
> > > > amateurs has seen overwhelming
> > > > numbers in favour fo the removal
> > > > of CW for the access to the HF
> > > > amateur frequencies. (snip)
> > > > As a result come 01 Jan 04, CW
> > > > is not required in VK for access
> > > > to HF. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > > Sadly, the near future is not so bright here in the USA. I suspect
it
> > may
> > > be five, ten, or perhaps more, years before telegraphy proficiency as
a
> > > licensing requirement (code testing) disappears in this country. At
this
> > > point, the FCC (our government regulatory agency) has decided to do
> > nothing
```

> > > until a consensus on the issue is reached within the Amateur Radio
> > > community. Clearly, there is no consensus whatsoever at this time. If
a
> > > consensus is reached, it will take another one to two years for any
> change
> > > to go through our regulatory process. However, since most Americans
can
> be
> > > very pig-headed (stubborn), many doubt a consensus will ever be
reached.
> > > Therefore, many are hoping the FCC will simply give up on the
consensus
> > > idea, deciding the issue based on what is needed, from a regulatory
> > > perspective, to meet the goals and purpose of the radio service.
Nothing
> > so
> > > far suggests they intend to do that anytime soon.
> > >
> > >
> > > > (snip) We still support it as a historical
> > > > part of amateur radio, and indeed hope
> > > > that it doesn't die off as a mode that
> > > > amateurs use. Funnily enough, now it is
> > > > not required (well in about 4 days
> > > > anyway), the concensus is that it will
> > > > probably become a more popular mode
> > > > of comms - but in the end, that is what it
> > > > is - merely one of the many modes of
> > > > comms that is available to amateur
> > > > operators. Long may it prosper, but
> > > > not as an uneccesary barrier to HF
> > > > access. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > > Unfortunately, too many in this country cannot separate code use
from
> > the
> > > code test. They view the possible removal of the code test as an
assault
> > on
> > > their CW identity or lifestyle. Another group views the code test as a
> > > filter to keep out undesirables ("those people" - a form of bigotry
> based
> > on
> > > Morse code). Still another group views the code test as a solution to
> this
> > > nation's wider social problems (lowered education standards and so
on).
> > > Whatever the case, almost all of these seem to forget that code
testing

> is
> > > simply a licensing step into what is fundamentally a recreational
> activity
> > > (with meaningful undertones, but a recreation nonetheless).
> > >
> > > Take care, Matt. Enjoy your new HF privileges. Perhaps all Amateur
> Radio
> > > operators here in the USA will one day be able to join you, and all
> those
> > in
> > > other countries now without a code test, on those frequencies. If that
> > > happens, you can darn sure bet Americans will be among the last in the
> > world
> > > to do so.
> > >
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> > >
> > > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> > >
> >
> > GOOD !!!!. And your 100 percent correct. WE WILL NOT GO DOWN WITHOUT A
> > HELL OF A FIGHT. So all you whiners go pass your 5wpm multiple guess
> test.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.555 / Virus Database: 347 - Release Date: 12/23/03

154. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-29 17:43:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <sJZHb.5347$d4.4220@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 161
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:zkLHb.4430$d4.169@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
> > "Dwight Stewart" wrote:
> > > However, I don't think it is dishonest
> > > for the FCC to say that "...no
> > > communication system has been
> > > designed in many years that depends
> > > on hand-keyed telegraphy..."
> >
> >
> > Just because its old don't make it useless.
> > Actually it just proves how useful it really
> > is.
>
>
> Nobody said Morse code wasn't useful - it's a fine recreational
activity.
> However, when it comes to a licensing requirement, the sole issue is
whether
> Morse code serves a regulatory purpose. As the FCC said, it doesn't today.
>
>
> > The opinion of the FCC about cw is not
> > relevant. (snip)
>
>
> Really? Since they regulate this radio service (including the licensing
> requirements), I somehow suspect the FCC would strongly disagree.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

It is not relevant because the FCC has stated many times that it will do
what the members of the ARS want on certain issues. This is one of them.

Dan/W4NTI

155. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-29 17:47:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <ZMZHb.5352$d4.1068@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 162
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Cancer? boy you really show your ignorance. Without old timers you would
all be running AM with beep boxes and yelling ten four all over 20 meters.

We are the ones that keep trash like you out of the service. And will
continue to do so.

Dan/W4NTI

"map" <mapalmerlaw@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:teWHb.24668$Pg1.20784@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Too bad. Your attitude will cause the US to slip one more notch down on
the
> world stage. While the rest of the world gains from expanded comm. You and
> your buds will keep US citizens from same. Bravo for you. You are a curse
on
> amateur radio, that won't go away soon enough. Sorta like a cancer that
will
> not die till the host dies.
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:3sGHb.3980$d4.476@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:_OkHb.2963$d4.2541@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > "Matt" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > (snip) Here in VK, recent
> > > > meetings between the government
> > > > communications body (ACA) and
> > > > amateurs has seen overwhelming
> > > > numbers in favour fo the removal
> > > > of CW for the access to the HF
> > > > amateur frequencies. (snip)
> > > > As a result come 01 Jan 04, CW
> > > > is not required in VK for access
> > > > to HF. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > > Sadly, the near future is not so bright here in the USA. I suspect
it
> > may
> > > be five, ten, or perhaps more, years before telegraphy proficiency as
a
> > > licensing requirement (code testing) disappears in this country. At

this
> > > point, the FCC (our government regulatory agency) has decided to do
> > nothing
> > > until a consensus on the issue is reached within the Amateur Radio
> > > community. Clearly, there is no consensus whatsoever at this time. If
a
> > > consensus is reached, it will take another one to two years for any
> change
> > > to go through our regulatory process. However, since most Americans
can
> be
> > > very pig-headed (stubborn), many doubt a consensus will ever be
reached.
> > > Therefore, many are hoping the FCC will simply give up on the
consensus
> > > idea, deciding the issue based on what is needed, from a regulatory
> > > perspective, to meet the goals and purpose of the radio service.
Nothing
> > so
> > > far suggests they intend to do that anytime soon.
> > >
> > >
> > > > (snip) We still support it as a historical
> > > > part of amateur radio, and indeed hope
> > > > that it doesn't die off as a mode that
> > > > amateurs use. Funnily enough, now it is
> > > > not required (well in about 4 days
> > > > anyway), the concensus is that it will
> > > > probably become a more popular mode
> > > > of comms - but in the end, that is what it
> > > > is - merely one of the many modes of
> > > > comms that is available to amateur
> > > > operators. Long may it prosper, but
> > > > not as an uneccesary barrier to HF
> > > > access. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > > Unfortunately, too many in this country cannot separate code use
from
> > the
> > > code test. They view the possible removal of the code test as an
assault
> > on
> > > their CW identity or lifestyle. Another group views the code test as a
> > > filter to keep out undesirables ("those people" - a form of bigotry
> based
> > on
> > > Morse code). Still another group views the code test as a solution to
> this
> > > nation's wider social problems (lowered education standards and so

on).
> > > Whatever the case, almost all of these seem to forget that code
testing
> is
> > > simply a licensing step into what is fundamentally a recreational
> activity
> > > (with meaningful undertones, but a recreation nonetheless).
> > >
> > > Take care, Matt. Enjoy your new HF privileges. Perhaps all Amateur
> Radio
> > > operators here in the USA will one day be able to join you, and all
> those
> > in
> > > other countries now without a code test, on those frequencies. If that
> > > happens, you can darn sure bet Americans will be among the last in the
> > world
> > > to do so.
> > >
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> > >
> > > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> > >
> >
> > GOOD !!!!. And your 100 percent correct. WE WILL NOT GO DOWN WITHOUT A
> > HELL OF A FIGHT. So all you whiners go pass your 5wpm multiple guess
> test.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
>

156. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-30 02:45:06 UTC
From: spanky <sandblaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9460DD438A85Bsandblasterhotmailco@24.24.2.167>
Source record: 163
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in
news:_OkHb.2963$d4.2541@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> "Matt" wrote:
>>
>> (snip) Here in VK, recent
>> meetings between the government
>> communications body (ACA) and
>> amateurs has seen overwhelming
>> numbers in favour fo the removal
>> of CW for the access to the HF
>> amateur frequencies. (snip)
>> As a result come 01 Jan 04, CW
>> is not required in VK for access
>> to HF. (snip)
>
>
> Sadly, the near future is not so bright here in the USA. I suspect
> it may
> be five, ten, or perhaps more, years before telegraphy proficiency as
> a licensing requirement (code testing) disappears in this country. At
> this point, the FCC (our government regulatory agency) has decided to
> do nothing until a consensus on the issue is reached within the
> Amateur Radio community. Clearly, there is no consensus whatsoever at
> this time. If a consensus is reached, it will take another one to two
> years for any change to go through our regulatory process. However,
> since most Americans can be very pig-headed (stubborn), many doubt a
> consensus will ever be reached. Therefore, many are hoping the FCC
> will simply give up on the consensus idea, deciding the issue based on
> what is needed, from a regulatory perspective, to meet the goals and
> purpose of the radio service. Nothing so far suggests they intend to
> do that anytime soon.
>
>
>> (snip) We still support it as a historical
>> part of amateur radio, and indeed hope
>> that it doesn't die off as a mode that
>> amateurs use. Funnily enough, now it is
>> not required (well in about 4 days
>> anyway), the concensus is that it will
>> probably become a more popular mode
>> of comms - but in the end, that is what it
>> is - merely one of the many modes of

>> comms that is available to amateur
>> operators. Long may it prosper, but
>> not as an uneccesary barrier to HF
>> access. (snip)
>
>
> Unfortunately, too many in this country cannot separate code use
> from the
> code test. They view the possible removal of the code test as an
> assault on their CW identity or lifestyle. Another group views the
> code test as a filter to keep out undesirables ("those people" - a
> form of bigotry based on Morse code). Still another group views the
> code test as a solution to this nation's wider social problems
> (lowered education standards and so on). Whatever the case, almost all
> of these seem to forget that code testing is simply a licensing step
> into what is fundamentally a recreational activity (with meaningful
> undertones, but a recreation nonetheless).
>
> Take care, Matt. Enjoy your new HF privileges. Perhaps all Amateur
> Radio
> operators here in the USA will one day be able to join you, and all
> those in other countries now without a code test, on those
> frequencies. If that happens, you can darn sure bet Americans will be
> among the last in the world to do so.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

So.... all you whining crybabies..... herin lies your salvation.
Don't like the code??? Move to fuckin' Australia!

157. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-30 04:37:52 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <Ai7Ib.14983$IM3.2443@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 164
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"AA5JJ" wrote:
> Yep I agree "DO AWAY WITH
> CODE", it is not worth using anymore,
> out dated and just plain hard to learn,
> do away whit it and we can all be like
> the CBer's
> 10-4 GOOD BUDDY.................

BS, Wayne. In case you haven't noticed, Morse code hasn't been a requirement to get a Ham license (the Technician license) for many years. And, during that time period, there hasn't been, based on FCC enforcement reports, any significant increase in "CB-like" behavior on the frequencies used by those Technician license holders. In other words, the "CB" threat just isn't supported by the facts.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

158. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-30 04:48:10 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <es7Ib.14991$IM3.11525@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 165
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
>
> Cancer? boy you really show your
> ignorance. Without old timers you
> would all be running AM with beep
> boxes and yelling ten four all over
> 20 meters.
>
> We are the ones that keep trash
> like you out of the service. And
> will continue to do so.

Keep it up, Dan. Attitudes like this will certainly increase the numbers of those opposed to your way of thinking. The rhetoric from you and Bruce only makes my goal of ending code testing that much easier.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

159. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-30 06:04:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <Gz8Ib.15047$IM3.10089@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Harold Burton" wrote:
>
> Tho' I tend to agree that the
> minimal 5 wpm code requirement
> should be kept (What can I say,
> I'm tradition bound), (snip)

Hey, I love traditions also (perhaps more so now that I'm getting older). But, I also realise tradition has to bow to the realities at some point. I simply cannot see code testing being a requirement for Ham radio for the next several hundred years. In other words, it has to end at some point. Since no communications system has been designed in many years that depends on code skills, perhaps now is that point.

> (snip) a couple of the guys in this
> thread on that same side of the
> argument are sounding more like
> the '50s CB "Channelmasters"
> than the kind of Hams I tend to
> respect. (snip)

Noise and static, Harold. Clearly, some have decided that if code testing dies, Amateur radio should die with it. Some have even said so. In that vein of thinking, they have taken a very destructive approach to the whole issue. However, Ham radio is obviously not going down that easily and we all have to go on together regardless of the outcome of this debate. Therefore, I think it is wise to keep the debate civil.

> You have, at least, kept a nominally
> polite attitude in your responses. It
> will be interesting to see what those
> with the final say on licensing will
> finally decide, won't it?

Since the ITU has now ended the international requirement for Morse code on HF, I think it is inevitable that code testing will eventually end. The question now is when and how that will happen. At this point, we can only guess.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

160. Re: Bye Bye CW

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-30 06:59:58 UTC
From: Harold Burton <hwburton@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <vv21feqh215e70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 166
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.boatanchors,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@NOearthlinkSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:Ai7Ib.14983$IM3.2443@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "AA5JJ" wrote:
> > Yep I agree "DO AWAY WITH
> > CODE", it is not worth using anymore,
> > out dated and just plain hard to learn,
> > do away whit it and we can all be like
> > the CBer's
> > 10-4 GOOD BUDDY.................
>
>
> BS, Wayne. In case you haven't noticed, Morse code hasn't been a
> requirement to get a Ham license (the Technician license) for many years.
> And, during that time period, there hasn't been, based on FCC enforcement
> reports, any significant increase in "CB-like" behavior on the frequencies
> used by those Technician license holders. In other words, the "CB" threat
> just isn't supported by the facts.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
Tho' I tend to agree that the minimal 5 wpm code requirement should be
kept(What can I say, I'm tradition bound), a couple of the guys in this
thread on that same side of the argument are sounding more like the '50s CB
"Channelmasters" than the kind of Hams I tend to respect. You have, at
least, kept a nominally polite attitude in your responses. It will be
interesting to see what those with the final say on licensing will finally
decide, won't it?

Harold Burton
KD5SAK

161. FOR SALE: Kenwood KSC-7 Rapid Charger

Newsgroup: rec.ham-radio
Date: 2003-12-31 02:26:33 UTC
From: Daniel W4ADT <danielw4adt@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <swqlb.2$eu4.1@fe01>
Source record: 168
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,atl.forsale,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.swap

FOR SALE : KSC-7 Kenwood Rapid Charger
Excellent Condition, will work with the following batteries:
Kenwood KSC-7 RAPID DESK CHARGER FOR PB-5,6,7,8
I am using it to charge my Kenwood TH-75A dualbander.
$60.00 shipped

Phone: 678-758-1298 Email: danielw4adt@alltel.net